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In many university curricula, the power electronics field has evolved beyond the status of comprising one or two special-topics courses. Often there are several courses dealing with the power electronics field, covering the topics of converters, motor drives, and power devices, with possibly additional advanced courses in these areas as well. There may also be more traditional power-area courses in energy conversion, machines, and power systems. In the breadth vs. depth tradeoff, it no longer makes sense for one textbook to attempt to cover all of these courses; indeed, each course should ideally employ a dedicated textbook. This text is intended for use in introductory power electronics courses on converters, taught at the senior or first-year graduate level. There is sufficient material for a one year course or, at a faster pace with some material omitted, for two quarters or one semester.

The first class on converters has been called a way of enticing control and electronics students into the power area via the "back door". The power electronics field is quite broad, and includes fundamentals in the areas of

- Converter circuits and electronics
- Control systems
- Magnetics
- Power applications
- Design-oriented analysis

This wide variety of areas is one of the things which makes the field so interesting and appealing to newcomers. This breadth also makes teaching the field a challenging undertaking, because one cannot assume that all students enrolled in the class have solid prerequisite knowledge in so many areas. Indeed, incoming students may have individual backgrounds in the power, control, or electronics areas, but rarely in all three. Yet it is usually desired to offer the class to upper-division undergraduate and entering graduate students. Hence, in teaching a class on converters (and in writing a textbook), there are two choices:

1. Avoid the problem of prerequisites, by either (a) assuming that the students have all of the prerequisites and discussing the material at a high level (suitable for an advanced graduate class), or (b) leaving out detailed discussions of the various contributing fields.
2. Attack the problem directly, by teaching or reviewing material from prerequisite areas as it is
needed. This material can then be directly applied to power electronics examples. This approach is suitable for a course in the fourth or fifth year, in which fundamentals are stressed.

Approach (2) is employed here. Thus, the book is not intended for survey courses, but rather, it treats fundamental concepts and design problems in sufficient depth that students can actually build converters. An attempt is made to deliver specific results. Completion of core circuits and electronics courses is the only prerequisite assumed; prior knowledge in the areas of magnetics, power, and control systems is helpful but not required.

In the power electronics literature, much has been made of the incorporation of other disciplines such as circuits, electronic devices, control systems, magnetics, and power applications, into the power electronics field. Yet the field has evolved, and now is more than a mere collection of circuits and applications linked to the fundamentals of other disciplines. There is a set of fundamentals that are unique to the field of power electronics. It is important to identify these fundamentals, and to explicitly organize our curricula, academic conferences, and other affairs around these fundamentals. This book is organized around the fundamental principles, while the applications and circuits are introduced along the way as examples.

A concerted effort is made to teach converter modeling. Fundamental topics covered include:

- Fundamentals of PWM converter analysis, including the principles of inductor volt-second balance and capacitor charge balance, and the small-ripple approximation (Chapter 2).
- Converter modeling, including the use of the dc transformer model, to predict efficiency and losses (Chapter 3).
- Realization of switching elements using semiconductor devices. One-, two-, and four-quadrant switches. A brief survey of power semiconductor devices (Chapter 4).
- An up-to-date treatment of switching losses and their origins. Diode stored charge, device capacitances, and ringing waveforms (Chapter 4).
- Origin and steady-state analysis of the discontinuous conduction mode (Chapter 5).
- Converter topologies (Chapter 6).
- The use of averaging to model converter small-signal ac behavior. Averaged switch modeling (Chapter 7).
- Converter small-signal ac transfer functions, including the origins of resonances and right half-plane zeroes. Control-to-output and line-to-output transfer functions, and output impedance (Chapter 8).
- A basic discussion of converter control systems, including objectives, the system block diagram, and the effect of feedback on converter behavior (Chapter 9).
- Ac modeling of the discontinuous conduction mode. Quantitative behavior of DCM small-signal transfer functions (Chapter 10).
- Current-programmed control. Oscillation for $\mathrm{D}>0.5$. Equivalent circuit modeling (Chapter 11).
- Basic magnetics, including inductor and transformer modeling, and loss mechanisms in high-frequency power magnetics (Chapter 12).
- An understanding of what determines the size of power inductors and transformers. Power inductor and transformer design issues (Chapters 13 and 14).
- Harmonics in power systems (Chapter 15).
- A modern viewpoint of rectifiers, including harmonics, power factor, and mitigation techniques in conventional rectifiers, and operation of sophisticated low-harmonic rectifiers (Chapters 16-18).
- Analysis and modeling of low-harmonic rectifiers (Chapters 17-18).
- Resonant inverters and dc-dc converters: approximate analysis techniques, characteristics of basic converters, and
- Zero voltage switching, zero current switching, and the zero-voltage-transition converter (Chapter 19).
- Resonant switch converters, including basic operation, efficiency and losses, and ac modeling (Chapter 20).

On teaching averaged converter modeling: I think that this is one of the important fundamentals of the field, and hence we should put serious effort into teaching it. Although we in the academic community may debate how to rigorously justify averaging, nonetheless it is easy to teach the students to average: Just average all of the waveforms over one switching period. In particular, for the continuous conduction mode, average the inductor voltages and capacitor currents over one switching period, ignoring the ripple. That's all that is required, and I have found that students quickly and easily learn to average waveforms. The results are completely general, they aren't limited to SPDT switches, and they can easily be used to refine the model by inclusion of losses, dynamics, and control variations. To model dynamics, it is also necessary to linearize the resulting equations. But derivation of small-signal models is nothing new to the students --they have already seen this in their core electronics classes, as well as in numerous math courses and perhaps also in energy conversion. It isn't necessary to teach full-blown state-space averaging, but I have included an optional (with asterisk) section on this for the graduate students. I personally prefer to initially skip Sections 7.4 and 7.5 . After covering Chapters 8 and 9 , I return to cover Sections 7.4 and 7.5 before teaching Chapters 10 and 11.

Averaging aside, it is also important to teach modeling in a pedagogically sound way. The object is to describe the important properties of the converter, in a simple and clear way. The dc transformer represents the basic function of a dc-dc converter, and so the modeling process should begin with a dc transformer having a turns ratio equal to the conversion ratio of the converter. For example, the model of the buck-boost converter ought to contain a buck transformer cascaded by a boost transformer, or perhaps the two transformers combined into a single D : D' transformer. This first-order model can later be refined if desired, by addition of loss elements, dynamic elements, etc.

The design-oriented analysis methods of R. D. Middlebrook have been well accepted by a significant portion of the power electronics community. While the objective of this text is the introduction of power electronics rather than design-oriented analysis, the converter analyses and examples are nonetheless done in a design-oriented way. Approximations are often encouraged, and several of the techniques of design-oriented analysis are explicitly taught in parts of Chapters 8 and 9 . We need to teach our students how to apply our academic theory to real-world, and hence complicated, problems. Design-oriented analysis is the missing link.

Chapter 8 contains a "review" of Bode diagrams, including resonant responses and right half-plane zeroes. Also included is material on design-oriented analysis, in the context of converter transfer functions. The Bode diagram material is covered in more depth than in prerequisite classes. I have found that the material of Chapter 8 is especially popular with continuing education students who are practicing engineers. I recommend at least quickly covering this chapter in lecture. Those instructors who choose to skip some or all of Chapter 8 can assign it as reading, and hold students responsible for the material. In a similar manner, Chapter 9 contains a "review" of classical control systems, in the context of switching regulators. This chapter explicitly makes the connection between the small-signal converter models
derived in other chapters, and their intended application. Many power area students are unfamiliar with this material, and even control-area students comment that they learned something from the designoriented approach.

Parts III, IV, and V can be covered in any order. Part III includes a review of basic magnetics, a discussion of proximity loss, and an introduction to the issues governing design of magnetic devices. The inclusion of step-by-step design procedures may be somewhat controversial; however, these procedures explicitly illustrate the issues inherent in magnetics design. Student tendencies towards cookbook mentality are mitigated by the inclusion of homework problems that cannot be solved using the given step-by-step procedures. Part IV, entitled "Modern rectifiers," covers the issues of power system harmonics, generation of harmonics by conventional rectifiers, and low-harmonic rectifiers. Chapters 17 and 18 cover low-harmonic rectifiers in depth, including converter analysis and modeling, and rectifier control systems. Resonant converters are treated in Part V. There have been a tremendous number of papers written on resonant converters, most of which are very detailed and complicated. Indeed, the complexity of resonant converter behavior makes it challenging to teach this subject in depth. Two somewhat introductory chapters are included here. State-plane analysis is omitted, and is left for an advanced graduate class. In Chapter 19, resonant inverters and dc-dc converters are introduced and are analyzed via the sinusoidal approximation. Soft switching is described, in the context of both resonant converters and the zero-voltage transition converter. Some resonant network theorems are also presented, which yield insight into the design of resonant inverters with reduced circulating currents, with zerovoltage switching over a wide range of load currents, and with desired output characteristics. Resonant switch converters are introduced and modeled in Chapter 20.

Most chapters include both short analysis problems, and longer analysis and/or design problems. References are given at the end of each chapter; these are not intended to be exhaustive bibliographies, but rather are a starting place for additional reading.

This text has evolved from course notes developed over thirteen years of teaching power electronics at the University of Colorado, Boulder. These notes, in turn, were heavily influenced by my previous experience as a graduate student at the California Institute of Technology, under the direction of Profs. Slobodan Cuk and R. D. Middlebrook, to whom I am grateful. In addition, I appreciate the numerous helpful technical discussions and suggestions of my colleague at the University of Colorado, Prof. Dragan Maksimovic. I would also like to thank the following individuals for their suggestions: Prof. Arthur Witulski (University of Arizona, Tucson), Prof. Sigmund Singer (Tel-Aviv University, Israel), Dr. Michael Madigan, and Carlos Oliveira.

Robert W. Erickson<br>Boulder, Colorado

## INDEX

Air gap
in coupled inductor, 502
in flyback transformer, 503
in inductor, 464-466, 498, 505, 509
in transformer, 469
$A_{L}(\mathrm{mH} / 1000$ turns $), 509$
American wire gauge (AWG)
data, 755-756
design examples, 527, 531
Amorphous alloys, 473
Ampere's law, 457-458
Amp-second balance (see Capacitor charge balance)
Apparent power, 550
Artificial ramp
circuit, 415
effect on CPM boost low-harmonic rectifier, 637-639
effect on line-to-output transfer function of CCM buck, 437-438
effect on small-signal CCM models, 428-438
effect on small-signal DCM models, 438-447
effect on stability of CPM controllers, 414-418
Asymptotes (see Bode plots)
Audiosusceptibility $G_{v g}(s)$ (see Line-to-output transfer function)
Average current control
feedforward, 635-636
in low-harmonic rectifier systems, 593-598, 634-636, 649, 650-652
modeling of, 649-652
Averaged switch modeling, 239-245, 390-403
of current-programmed CCM converters, 423-428
of current-programmed DCM converters, 438-447
in discontinuous conduction mode, 370-390
equivalent circuit modeling of switching loss, 241-245
examples
nonideal buck converter, 241-245
DCM buck converter, 393-400
CCM SEPIC, 757-762
generalization of, 390-403
of ideal CCM switch networks, 242, 377, 757-762
of ideal DCM switch networks, 377
of quasi-resonant converters, 732-737
Average power
and Fourier series, 542-543
modeled by power source element, 375-379, 423-428, 438-447
in nonsinusoidal systems, 542-555
predicted by averaged models, 57
power factor, 546-550
sinusoidal phasor diagram, 550-551
Averaging
approximation, discussion of, 195-196, 200-202
averaged switch modeling, 239-245
basic approach, 198-209
capacitor charge balance, 24
circuit, 231-245
to find dc component, 6, 16
flyback ac model, 209-218
inductor volt-second balance, 22-23
introduction to, 193-198
modeling efficiency and loss via, 57
to model rectifier output, 645-647
to model $3 \varnothing$ converters, 611-614
of quasi-resonant converters
ac modeling, 732-737
dc analysis, 712-728
state-space, 218-231
Battery charger, 9, 70
$B-H$ loop
in an ac inductor, 499-500
in a conventional transformer, 153, 500-501
in a coupled inductor, 501-502
in a filter inductor, 497-499
in a flyback transformer, 502-503
modeling of, 458-460
Bidirectional dc-dc converters, 70
Bipolar junction transistor (BJT)
breakdown mechanisms in, 86-87
construction and operation of, 82-87
current crowding, 85-86
Darlington-connected, 87
idealized switch characteristics, 65-66
on resistance, 53, 82
quasi-saturation, 82-83, 86
storage time, 84
stored minority charge in, 82-86
switching waveforms, 83-86
Bode plots (see also Harmonic trap filters, sinusoidal approximation)
asymptote analytical equations, 275-276
CCM buck-boost example, 289-292
combinations, 272-276
complex poles, 276-282
frequency inversion, 271-272
graphical construction of, 296-309
addition, 296-301
closed-loop transfer functions, 329-332
division, 307-309
parallel combination, 301-307
parallel resonance, 301-303
series resonance, 298-303
impedance graph paper, 307
nonminimum phase zero, 269-271
reactance graph paper, 307
real pole, 263-268
real zero, 268-269
RHP zero, 269-271
transfer functions of buck, boost, buck-boost, 292-293
Body diode (see MOSFET)

Boost converter (see also Bridge configuration, Push-pull isolated converters) active switch utilization in, 179, 608
averaged switch model, DCM, 380-381
circuit-averaged model, 233-239
current-programmed
averaged switch model, CCM, 424-425
averaged switch model, DCM, 443-444
small-signal ac model, CCM, 427-428, 430-431
small-signal ac model, DCM, 445-447
as inverted buck converter, 136-137
as low-harmonic rectifier, 594-597, 605-609, 617, 627-634
nonideal analysis of, 43-51, 53-57
quasi-resonant ZCS, 722-723
small-signal ac model
CCM, 208-210, 251
DCM, 385-390
steady-state analysis of,
CCM, 24-29
DCM, 121-125
transfer functions, CCM, 292-293
Bridge configuration (dc-dc converters)
boost-derived full bridge, 171-172
buck-derived full bridge, 154-157
buck-derived half bridge, 157-159
full bridge transformer design example, 528-531
minimization of transformer copper loss in, 516-517
Bridge configuration (inverters)
single phase, 7-8, 142-145, 148-150
three phase, 70, 143-148
Buck-boost converter (see also Flyback converter)
3øac-dc rectifier, 615-616, 619
averaged switch model, DCM, 370-381
as cascaded buck and boost converters, 138-141
current-programmed
averaged switch model, DCM, 438-444
more accurate model, CCM, 430-432
simple model, CCM, 419-423
small-signal ac model, DCM, 445-447
dc-3øac inverter, 71-72, 615-616
DCM characteristics, 115, 127-129, 381
as low-harmonic rectifier, 598-599
manipulation of ac model into canonical form, 248-251
nonideal, state-space averaged model of, 227-232
noninverting version, 139, 148-149
as rotated three-terminal cell, 141-142
small-signal ac model, CCM, 208-210, 251
small-signal ac model, DCM, 382-388
transfer functions, CCM, 289-293
transformer isolation in, 166-171
Buck converter (see also Bridge configuration, Forward converter, Push-pull isolated converters), 6, 15-23, 34-35
active switch utilization in, 179
averaged switch model, 239-245
current-programmed
averaged switch model, CCM, 423-427
averaged switch model, DCM, 442-447
small-signal ac model, CCM, 421-427, 431-438
small-signal ac model, DCM, 442-447
equivalent circuit modeling of,
small-signal ac, CCM, 208-210, 251
small-signal ac, DCM, 385-388, 393-400
steady-state, CCM, 51-53
steady-state, DCM, 380-381
as high power factor rectifier
single phase, 599
three phase, 614-615
multi-resonant realization, 729
quasi-square-wave resonant realizations, 730-731
quasi-resonant realizations
ac modeling of, 732-737
zero current switching, 662-663, 712-722, 723-724
zero voltage switching, 728
small-signal ac model
CCM, 208-210, 251
DCM, 385-390
steady-state analysis of,
CCM, 17-22, 23, 34-35, 51-53
DCM, 111-121, 380-381
switching loss in, 94-101, 241-245
employing synchronous rectifier, 73-74
transfer functions, CCM, 292-293
Buck ${ }^{2}$ converter, 149, 151
Buck $3 \varnothing$ inverter (see Voltage source inverter)
Canonical circuit model, 245-251
via generalized switch averaging, 402-403
manipulation into canonical form, 248-251
parameters for buck, boost, buck-boost, 251
physical development of, 245-248
transfer functions predicted by, 247-248, 292-293
Capacitor amp-second balance (see Capacitor charge balance)
Capacitor charge balance
boost converter example, 27
Cuk converter example, 31-32
definition, 24
in discontinuous conduction mode, 115
nonideal boost converter examples, 45, 55
Capacitor voltage ripple
boost converter example, 28-29
buck converter example, 34-35
in converters containing two-pole filters, 34-35
Cuk converter example, 32-34
Cascade connection of converters, 138-141
Characteristic value $\alpha$ (current programmed mode), 414, 417-418, 435-436
Charge balance (see Capacitor charge balance)
Circuit averaging (see also Averaged switch modeling), 231-245
averaging step, 235
boost converter example, 233-238
linearization, 235-238
obtaining a time-invariant network, 234-235
summary of, 231-233
Commutation
failure, 574
notching, 575
in 3ø phase controlled rectifier, 573-575
Compensators (see also Control system design)
design example, 346-354
lag, 343-345
lead, 340-340, 350-351
PD, 340-343, 350-351
PI, 343-345
PID, 345-346, 352-354
Complex power, 550-551
Computer power supply, 8-9
Computer spreadsheet, design using, 180-183
Conduction loss (see Copper loss, Semiconductor conduction loss)
Conductivity modulation, 75, 79, 82, 87, 90
Control system design (see also Compensators, Negative feedback), 323-368
compensation, 340-346
construction of closed-loop transfer functions, 326-332
design example, 346-354
for low-harmonic rectifiers
approaches, 634-652
modeling, 645-652
phase margin
test, 333-334
vs. closed-loop damping factor, 334-338
stability, 332-339
voltage regulator
block diagram, 324-325, 328, 347-349
design specifications, 339-340
Control-to-output transfer function
as predicted by canonical model, 248
of CCM buck, boost, and buck-boost converters, 292-293
of current programmed converters, 422, 427-428, 434-437, 446
of DCM converters, 387-390, 396-399
of quasi-resonant converters, 733, 736
Conversion ratio $M$ (see also Switch conversion ratio $\mu$ )
of boost, 18, 26, 127, 381
of buck, 18, 120, 381
of buck-boost, 18, 128, 381
of Cuk converter, 32, 381
of loss-free resistor networks, 376-381
in low-harmonic rectifiers, 593-595
modeling of, 40-43
of quasi-resonant converters, 711, 720-723
of parallel resonant converter, 676-678, 686-689
of SEPIC, 151, 381
of series resonant converter, 671-674, 679-686
via sinusoidal approximation, 670
Copper loss
allocation of window area to minimize, 513-517, 519
high frequency effects
skin effect, 475-476
proximity effect, 476-490
inductor design to meet specified, 503-509
low frequency, 474
modeling in converters, 43-53
Core loss, 471-474, 518
Coupled inductors
in Cuk converter, 494-495, 501
in multiple-output buck-derived converters, 501-502, 511
Crossover frequency, 330-334
Cuk converter
3øac-dc converter, 615-616
active switch utilization of, 179
as cascaded boost and buck converters, 141
conversion ratio $M(D), 32,381$
DCM averaged switch model of, 379-381
as low-harmonic rectifier, 597-599, 608
as rotated three-terminal cell, 141-142
steady-state analysis of, 29-34
transformer design example, 524-528
with transformer isolation, 176-177
Current-fed bridge, 148, 150
Current injection, 359-360
Current programmed control, 408-451
ac modeling of
via averaged switch modeling, CCM, 423-428
via averaged switch modeling, DCM, 438-447
CCM more accurate model, 428-438
CCM simple approximation, 418-428
artificial ramp, 414-418
controller circuit, 409, 415
controller small-signal block diagram, 428-432
in half-bridge buck converters, 159, 410
in low harmonic rectifiers, 636-639
oscillation for $D>0.5,411-418$
in push-pull buck converters, 166, 410
Current ripple (see inductor current ripple)
Current sense circuit, isolated, 187-188
Current source inverter (CSI), 146, 148
Cycloconverter, 1, 72
Damping factor $\zeta$ (see also $Q$-factor), 277
Dc conversion ratio (see Conversion ratio $M$ )
Dc link, 10
Dc transformer model
in averaged switch models, 237-244, 760-762
in canonical model, 245-247, 250-251
in circuit averaged models, 237-238
comparison with DCM model, 377
derivation of, 40-43
equivalence with dependent sources, 41
manipulation of circuits containing, 41-42, 48-49
in a nonideal boost converter, 48-49, 56
in a nonideal buck converter, 52-53
in small-signal ac CCM models, 208-210
Decibel, 262
Delta-wye transformer connection, 582-583
Dependent power source (see Power source element)
Derating factor, 180
Design-oriented analysis, techniques of analytical expressions for asymptotes, 275-276
approximate factorization, 285-288
doing algebra on the graph, 296-309
frequency inversion, 271-272
graphical construction
of Bode plots, 296-309
of closed-loop transfer functions, 329-332
low $Q$ approximation, 282-284
philosophy of, 261, 306-307
Differential connection of load
polyphase inverter, 143-148
single-phase inverter, 142-143
Diode
antiparallel, 67
characteristics of, 78
fast recovery, 77
forward voltage drop (see also Semiconductor conduction losses), 53-57, 77
freewheeling, 67
parallel operation of, 77-78
recovered charge $Q_{r}, 76,97-100,692,729$
recovery mechanisms, 76-77, 98-100
Schottky, 74, 77, 101
soft recovery, 98-99
snubbing of, 99
switching loss, 97-100, 101-103, 692
switching waveforms, 75-77, 98-100, 101-102
zero current switching of, 101-103, 690-692, 696, 725-726
zero voltage switching of, 692-696, 725-726, 729, 734
Discontinuous conduction mode (DCM)
$B-H$ loop, effect on, 503-504
boost converter example, 121-127
buck converter example, 111-121
buck-boost converter example, 370-381
in current programmed converters, 438-447
equivalent circuit modeling of, 369-381, 438-444
in forward converter, 159
in line-commutated rectifiers, 564-568, 569-570
in low-harmonic rectifiers
boost rectifier, single phase, 594-597
single-switch, three-phase, 615-619
mode boundary
in boost rectifier, 594-697
vs. $K, 111-115,121-122,128$
vs. load current and $R_{e}, 381$
origin of, 111-115
in parallel resonant converter, 687-689
in PWM converters, 110-134, 369-407, 438-447
in series resonant converter, 681-683
small-signal ac modeling of, 382-403
Displacement factor, 548, 550-551
Distortion factor (see also Total harmonic distortion), 548-550
of single-phase rectifier, 548, 563-566
Distributed power system, 9
Doing algebra on the graph (see Graphical construction of Bode plots)
Duty ratio
complement of, 16
definition of, 15-16
EC core data, 754
Eddy currents
in magnetic cores, 472
in winding conductors, 474-477
EE core data, 753
Effective resistance $R_{e}$
in DCM averaged switch model, 374-381
in loss-free resistor model, 374-381
in resonant converter models
with capacitive filter network, 666-668
with inductive filter network, 674-676
Emulated resistance $R_{e}$, 590-593
Efficiency, 2
averaged switch modeling, predicted by, 245
of boost converter
as low-harmonic rectifier, 632-634
nonideal dc-dc, 49-51, 56
calculation via averaged model, 49-51, 56
vs. switching frequency, 103-104
Equivalent circuit modeling
by canonical circuit model, 245-251
of CCM converters operating in steady-state, 40-61
of converters having pulsating input currents, 51-53
of current programmed switch networks
CCM, 423-428
DCM, 438-447
small-signal models, 421-422, 423-428, 445-447
of flyback converter, CCM, 168, 216-218
of ideal rectifiers, 590-593, 608-611
of ideal dc-dc converters, 40-42
of inductor copper loss, 43-51
small-signal models
CCM, 207-209, 230-232
DCM, 382-390
current programmed, 421-422, 424-428, 438-447
of switching loss, 241-245
of switch networks
CCM, 239-242
DCM, 370-381
of systems containing ideal rectifiers, 602
Equilibrium (see Steady state)
Equivalent series resistance (esr) of capacitor, 554-555
ETD core data, 754

Evaluation and design of converters, 177-183
Experimental techniques
measurement of impedances, 312-314
measurement of loop gains
by current injection, 359-360
by voltage injection, 357-359
of an unstable system, 360-361
measurement of small-signal transfer functions, 309-311
Factorization, approximate
approximate roots of arbitrary-degree polynomial, 282-288
graphical construction of Bode diagrams, 296-309
low- $Q$ approximation, 282-284
Faraday's law, 456-457
Feedback (see Control system design, Negative feedback) Ferrite
applications of, 499, 525, 528
core loss, 472, 473-474, 518
core tables, 751-755
saturation flux density, 459, 473
Fill factor (see $K_{u}$ )
Filter inductor
B-H loop of, 497, 499
design of derivation of procedure, 503-508
step-by-step procedure, 508-509
Flux $\Phi, 456$
Flux density $B$
definition, 456
saturation value $B_{\text {sat }}, 458-459$
Flux-linkage balance (see Inductor volt-second balance)
Flyback converter (see also Buck-boost converter)
active switch utilization, 178-179
derivation of, 166-167
nonideal, ac modeling of, 209-218
single-switch rectifier, $3 \varnothing$ ac-dc DCM, 623
spreadsheet design example, 180-183
steady-state analysis of, 166-170
two transistor version, 185-186
utilization of flyback transformer, 170-171
Flyback transformer, 166-167, 170-173, 502-503, 619
Forced commutation of SCRs, 90
Forward converter (see also Buck converter), 159-164
active switch utilization, 179
spreadsheet design example, 180-183
steady-state analysis of, 159-164
transformer reset mechanisms, 162-163
transformer utilization in, 164
two transistor version, 163-164
Four-quadrant switches (see Switch)
Freewheeling diode, 67
Frequency modulator, 732-733
Gate turn-off thyristor (GTO), 92
Generalized switch averaging, 390-403

Geometrical constant (see $K_{g}, K_{\text {gfe }}$ )
Graphical construction of Bode plots (see also Bode plots, Design-oriented analysis) of converter transfer functions, 307-309
division, 307-309
of harmonic trap filters, 576-582
parallel combinations, 301-307
parallel resonance, 301-303
of parallel resonant converter, 677
series combinations, 296-301
series resonance, 298-301
of series resonant converter, 671-672
Grounding problems, 312-314
Gyrator, 682-683
Harmonic correction, 621
Harmonic loss factor $F_{H}$, 488-490
Harmonics in power systems
average power vs. Fourier series, 542-543
distortion factor, 548
harmonic standards, 555-559
neutral currents, 552-553
power factor, 546-550
root-mean-square value of waveform, 543-546
rectifier harmonics, 548-550
in three-phase systems, 551-555
total harmonic distortion, 548
Harmonic trap filters, 575-582
bypass resistor, 580-582
parallel resonance in, 577-579
reactive power in, 582
H-bridge, 7-8, 142-145, 148-150
Hold-up time, 601
Hot spot formation, 77-78, 85-86
Hysteresis loss $P_{H}$, 471-472
Hysteretic control, 639-641
Ideal rectifier (see also Low harmonic rectifiers), 590-626
in converter systems, 599-604
properties of, 590-593
realization of
single phase, 593-599
three phase, 608-622
rms values of waveforms in, 604-608
IEC-555, 556-557
IEEE/ANSI standard 519, 557-559
Impedance graph paper, 307
Inductor copper loss (see Copper loss)
Inductor current ripple
in ac inductor, 499-500
boost example, 28
buck example, 21
calculation of, 21
in converters containing two-pole filters, 34-36
Cuk converter example, 32-33
in filter inductor, 497-499
magnitude vs. DCM, 111-113
Inductor design
ac inductor design
derivation, 531-533
step-by-step procedure, 533-534
filter inductor design
derivation, 503-508
step-by-step procedure, 508-509
Inductor volt-second balance
boost example, 25-26
buck example, 22-23
Cuk converter example, 31-32
definition, 22
in discontinuous conduction mode, 115
Input port, converter
ac modeling of, 203
boost static characteristics, 596-597, 639
modeling of, via state-space averaging, 200, 227, 231
steady-state modeling of, 51-53
Inrush current, 601-602
Insulated-gate bipolar transistor (IGBT)
construction and operation of, 87-90
current tailing in, 88-89, 96-97
equivalent circuit, 88
forward voltage drop, modeling of, 89
idealized switch characteristics, 65-66
parallel operation of, 89
switching loss in, 96-97
Inversion of source and load, 136-137
Inverters, 1
high frequency, 659-662, 697-705
line commutated, 572-573
single phase, 7-8, 68-69
sinusoidal analysis of resonant converters, 664-670, 697-705
three phase, 70, 143-148
Iron laminations, 459, 473
$K$, dimensionless parameter
critical value $K_{\text {crit }}(D), 114-115,121-122,128$
in current programmed mode analysis, 438
and DCM boundary, 114-115, 121-122, 128
in line-commutated rectifier analysis, 565-566
in steady-state DCM analysis, 120, 127-128
$K_{g}$, core geometrical constant
definition of, 507-507, 751
ferrite core tables of, 752-755
filter inductor design procedure using, 508-509
$K_{g f e}$, ac core geometrical constant
ac inductor design procedure using, 531-534
definition of, 521, 751
ferrite core tables of, 752-755
transformer design using,
derivation, 517-521
examples, 524-531
step-by-step procedure, 521-524
$K_{L}$, rectifier dimensionless parameter, 565-566
$K_{u}$, window utilization factor, 507
LCC resonant converter
dependence of transistor current on load, 701-702
introduction to, 659-661
ZVS/ZCS boundary, 703-705
Lenz's law, 457, 472, 475
Linear ripple approximation (see Small ripple approximation)
Line-to-output transfer function $G_{v g}(s)$
of the buck, boost, and buck-boost converters in CCM, 292-293
canonical model, as predicted by, 247-248
closed-loop, 326-327, 331-332
control system design of, 340, 353-354
of current-programmed converters, 422, 427-428, 437-438
of DCM converters, 387-388
of quasi-resonant converters, 736
Litz wire, 487
Loop gain (see also Control system design, Negative feedback)
definition, 327
measurement of, 355-361
Loss-free resistor model
averaged switch model of discontinuous conduction mode, 374-381
ideal rectifier model
single phase, 590-593
three phase, 608-611
Low harmonic rectifiers (see also Ideal rectifiers)
controller schemes
average current control, 634-636
current programmed control, 636-640
feedforward, 635-636
hysteretic control, 639-641
nonlinear carrier control, 641-645
modeling of
efficiency and losses, 627-634
low-bandwidth control loop, 645-650
wide-bandwidth average current control loop, 650-652
rms calculations in, 604-609
Low $Q$ approximation, 282-284
Magnetic circuits, 463-466
Magnetic field $H$, 455-456
Magnetic path length $l_{m}$
definition, 461
ferrite core tables, 752-755
Magnetics, 453-538
ac inductor design, 531-534
basic relations, 455-462
copper loss, 474
core loss, 471-474
ferrite core tables, 752-755
filter inductor design, 503-509
magnetic circuits, 463-466
magnetic devices, types of, 497-503
optimizing $B_{\text {max }}$ to minimize total loss, 520-521
optimizing window allocation to minimize copper loss, 513-517
proximity effect, 476-490
transformer basics, 152-154, 466-471
transformer design, 517-531
Magnetizing current, 152-153, 458-469
Magnetomotive force (MMF)
definition, 455-456
MMF diagrams, 479-482
Majority carrier devices (see also MOSFET, Schottky diode), 74-75
Matrix converter, 72-73
Meal length per turn (MLT)
definition, 507-508
ferrite core tables, 752-755
Measurement of transfer functions and loop gains (see Experimental techniques)
MIL-STD-461B, 556
Minority carrier devices (see also Bipolar junction transistor, Diode, Gate turn-off thyristor, Insulated-gate bipolar transistor, MOS-controlled thyristor, Silicon controlled rectifier), 74-75
Modulation index, 613-614
MOS-controlled thyristor (MCT), 92-94
MOSFET
body diode, 67-68, 79-80
conduction loss, modeling of, 53-57, 209-218
construction and operation of, 78-82
on resistance, 53-57, 79-82
switching loss owing to $C_{d s}, 100-101$
as synchronous rectifier, 73-74
terminal capacitances, 81
typical characteristics, 80-82
zero-voltage and zero-current switching of, 689-696
Motor drive system, 9-10
Multiplying controller (see also Average current control, Current programmed control), 596
Multi-resonant switch
single-switch $3 \varnothing$ buck rectifier, 620-621
zero-voltage switching dc-dc, 729
Negative feedback (see also Control system design)
effects of, on network transfer functions, 326-329
objectives of, 193-194, 323-326
reduction of disturbances by, 327-329
reduction of sensitivity to variations in forward gain by, 329
Nonlinear carrier control, 641-645
Nonminimum-phase zero (see Right half-plane zero)
Output characteristics
of the parallel resonant converter, 689
of resonant inverters, 699-700
of the series resonant converter, 685-686
Overshoot, 338-340
Parallel resonant converter
analysis via sinusoidal approximation, 664-670, 674-678
dependence of transistor current on load, 702
exact characteristics
continuous conduction mode, 686-687
control plane, 689
discontinuous conduction mode, 687-689
output plane, 689
introduction to, 659-660
as a low harmonic rectifier, 597
Permeability $\mu$
definition, 458-460
of free space, $\mu_{0}, 458$
relative, $\mu_{r}, 458$
Phase asymptotes
of complex poles, 279-282
inverted forms, 272
of real pole, 266-268
of real zero, 269
of RHP zero, 270
Phase control
of resonant converters, 659
of three-phase rectifiers, 570-575
of zero-voltage transition dc-dc converter, 696
Phase margin
vs. closed-loop damping factor, 334-338
stability test, 333-334
Poles
complex, Bode plots of, 276-282
the low $Q$ approximation, 282-284
real, Bode plots of, 263-268
Pot core data, 752
Powdered iron, 459, 473
Power factor (see also Total harmonic distortion, Displacement factor, Distortion factor)
definition of, 546-550
of bridge rectifier, single phase, 566
of peak detection rectifier, 548-550
of phase-controlled rectifier, three phase, 573
Power sink element (see Power source element)
Power source element
in averaged switch models
current programmed mode, CCM, 423-428
current programmed mode, DCM, 438-447
discontinuous conduction mode, 370-382
definition of, 375-377
in ideal rectifier model, 592, 599-603, 608-610
linearization of, 384-385, 425-426
in loss-free resistor model, 376-379
properties of, 375-377
PQ core data, 755
Proximity effect
conductor spacing factor $\eta, 478$
interleaving, effect on, 485-487
layer copper loss, 482-483
Litz wire, effect of, 487
MMF diagrams, 479-482
PWM waveform harmonics, 487-490
simple explanation, 476-478
transformer design procedure, accounting for, 519
winding loss, total, 483-487

Pulse width modulation (PWM), 6-8, 17
modulator ac model, 253-254
operation of modulator, 252
spectrum of PWM waveform, 194-195
Push-pull isolated converters
based on boost converter, 173
based on buck converter, 164-166, 410
Watkins-Johnson converter, 173
$Q$ factor, 277-282
canonical model, predicted by, 309
closed-loop, vs. phase margin, 334-338
of the CCM buck, boost, and buck-boost converters, 293
the low $Q$ approximation, 282-284
vs. overshoot, 338-339
of parallel resonant circuit, 303
of series resonant circuit, 300-301
Quasi-resonant converters (see also Multi-resonant switch, Quasi-square-wave switch)
ac modeling of, 732-737
single-switch $3 \varnothing$ buck rectifiers
multi-resonant, 620-621
zero current switching, 619-620
zero-current switching dc-dc
full wave, 723-724
half wave, 713-723
zero-voltage switching dc-dc, 726-728
Quasi-square-wave converters, 730-731
Quasi-static approximation, 661
Quiescent operating point, 196-197, 204, 225
Reactance graph paper (see Impedance graph paper)
Reactive power
definition, 550-551
in harmonic trap filters, 582
in phase-controlled rectifiers, 573
Rectifiers (see also Ideal rectifiers, Low harmonic rectifiers), 1
energy storage in single-phase, 599-604
high quality, 541
ideal, 590-626
line-commutated
phase control of, 570-575
single-phase, 548-550, 562-568
three-phase, 568-575
three-phase transformer connections in, 582-584
twelve pulse, 582-584
in resonant dc-dc converter, 666-668, 674-676
Regulator system (see also Control system design), 192-193, 323-326, 634-645
Reluctance, 463-466
Resonance
Bode plots of complex poles, 276-282
graphical construction examples, 296-309
the low- $Q$ approximation, 282-284
parallel resonant network, 301-307
series resonant network, 301-307
Resonant converters (see also Quasi-resonant converters, Multi-resonant converters, Quasi-square-wave converters, Zero voltage transition converter), 659-710
analysis of, via sinusoidal approximation, 664-679
LCC, 659-661, 701-705
parallel, 597, 659-660, 674-678, 686-690, 702
resonant link, 662
series, 659-674, 679-686, 690-695, 702
Resonant link converters, 662
Resonant switches (see Quasi-resonant converters, Multi-resonant switch, Quasi-squarewave converters)
Right half-plane zero
Bode plot of, 269-271
physical origins of, 294-295
Ripple, switching, 17-19, 111-113, 194-196
Root mean square value
of commonly-observed converter waveforms, 743-750
vs. Fourier series, 543-546
of near-ideal rectifier currents, table of, 609
of near-ideal rectifier waveforms, 604-609
Rotation of three-terminal cell, 141-142
Saturation
of inductors, 462, 465-466
of magnetic materials, 458-460
of transformers, 153-154, 469
Schottky diode, 74, 77, 101
Semiconductor conduction loss
boost converter example, 53-57
inclusion in ac model, 209-218
with synchronous rectifier, 73-74
Semiconductor cost, 180
Semiconductor power devices (see also Bipolar junction transistor, Diode, Gate turn-off
thyristor, Insulated-gate bipolar transistor, MOS-controlled thyristor, Schottky
diode, Silicon controlled rectifier), 62-109
charge control of, 75, 83-85, 94, 98, 101-103
conductivity modulation, 75
majority vs. minority carriers, 74-75
realization of switches using, 62-74
SEPIC (see Single-ended primary inductance converter)
Series pass regulator, 4-5
Series resonant converter
analysis via sinusoidal approximation, 664-674
dependence of transistor current on load, 702
exact characteristics
continuous conduction mode, 679-681
control plane, 684
even discontinuous conduction mode, 682
odd discontinuous conduction mode, 681
output plane, 685-686
introduction to, 659-664
subharmonic modes in, 673-674
zero-current switching in, 690-692
zero-voltage switching in, 692-695
Silicon area (see Switch stress)
Silicon controlled rectifier (SCR)
construction and characteristics of, 89-92
equivalent circuit, 90
inverter grade, 91
Silicon steel, 459, 473
Single-ended primary inductance converter (SEPIC), 38, 148
averaged switch model of
continuous conduction mode, 757-762
discontinuous conduction mode, 379-381
conversion ratio $M(D), 151,381$
inverse of, 151, 176
as low-harmonic rectifier, 597-599, 608-609
transformer isolation in, 174-176
Single quadrant switch
definitions, 63-64
implementation, 64-67
origins of DCM, 110-113
Sinusoidal approximation, 663, 664-679
Sinusoidal PWM, 612-614
Skin effect (see also Proximity effect), 472-476
Slope compensation (see Artificial ramp)
Small ripple approximation
in ac modeling approach, 198, 223-224
and average power loss, prediction of, 57
boost example, 25
buck example, 20
Cuk converter example, 30-31
definition, 19
in discontinuous conduction mode, 116
failure of, in two-pole filters, 34-36
Small-signal ac modeling
via averaged switch modeling, 239-245
via circuit averaging, 231-245
of CCM converters, 193-260
of current programmed converters, 418-447
of DCM converters, 382-403
via generalized switch averaging, 390-403
of low harmonic rectifiers, 645-652
of quasi-resonant converters, 732-737
of resonant converters, 678
via state-space averaging, 218-231
Snubber networks, 86, 94, 99, 696
Soft switching (see also Zero current switching, Zero voltage switching), 689-696
Spacecraft power system, 9
Spreadsheet design of converters, 180-183
State equations of a network, 218-221
State-space averaging, 218-231
discussion, 223-226
example: nonideal buck-boost converter, 227-231
summary of result, 221-222
Steady state
inductor current waveform, 22
operating point, 196-197, 204, 225
Subharmonic
modes of series resonant converter, 673-674
number $\xi, 679$

Switch
averaged modeling of, 239-245, 377, 390-403
current-bidirectional two-quadrant, 67-70
four-quadrant, 71-73
ideal SPDT in converters, 4-6, 15-16, 24, 29
ideal SPST, 62-63
passive vs. active, 64-65, 91
power dissipated by ideal, 6, 17
quasi-resonant, 711-737
realization of, using semiconductor devices, 62-74
single-quadrant, 64-67
synchronous rectifier, 73-74
voltage-bidirectional two-quadrant, 70-71
Switch conversion ratio $\mu$
definition, 392
DCM buck example, 395-401
in generalized canonical model, 405
of multi-resonant switch, 729
of quasi-resonant switches full-wave ZCS, 723-724
full-wave ZVS, 727
half-wave ZCS, 713, 720-721
half-wave ZVS, 727
small-signal ac modeling using, 732-737
of quasi-square-wave switches, 731
Switched mode, 3-4
Switching frequency
converter efficiency vs., 103-104
definition of, 16
transformer size vs., 527
Switching harmonics (see also Ripple, switching), 6
removal of via averaging, 194-196
Switching loss (see also Soft switching, Zero current switching, Zero voltage switching)
averaged switch modeling of, 241-245, 259-260
with clamped inductive load, 94-97
and current tailing, 96-97
and device capacitances, 100-101
and diode recovered charge, 97-100, 101-103
effect on converter efficiency, 103-104
and ringing waveforms, 101-103
and stray inductances, 100-101
Switch stress S, 177-180
Switch utilization $U, 177-180$
Synchronous rectifier, 73-74
Temperature rise
in a converter, 2
in magnetics, 752
Thyristor (see Gate turn-off thyristor, MOS-controlled thyristor, Silicon controlled rectifier)
Topologies of converters (see also Boost, Bridge configuration, Buck, Buck-boost, Cuk converter, Forward converter, Transformer-isolated converters, etc.)
Cascade connections, 138-141
Converter synthesis, 146-150

Differential connection of load, 142-146
Evaluation and comparison, 177-183, 608-609
Inversion of source and load, 136-137
Low-harmonic single-phase rectifiers, 593-599
Low-harmonic three-phase rectifiers, 608-621
Quasi-resonant converters, 724-731
Resonant converters, 659-664
Rotation of three-terminal cell, 141-142
Transformer isolation, 150-177
Total harmonic distortion (THD)
of current-programmed rectifiers, 639
definition, 550
vs. distortion factor, 550-551
IEEE-519 limits, 559-561
of peak detection rectifier, 551-552
of single-phase bridge rectifiers, 551-552, 566-570
of three-phase bridge rectifiers, 571-572, 575
Transfer functions (see also Bode plots)
of the buck, boost, and buck-boost converters, 292-293
of current programmed converters, 422-423, 427, 436-438, 446-447
of DCM converters, 388-390
graphical construction of, 296-309
of low-harmonic rectifiers, 649-650, 651
measurement of, 309-311
predicted by canonical model, 247-248, 292-293
Transformer connections in three-phase rectifiers, 582-584
Transformer-isolated converters, 150-177
boost-derived topologies, 171-173
Cuk converter, 176-177
evaluation and comparison of, 177-183
flyback, 166-171
forward, 159-164
full bridge buck-derived, 154-157
half-bridge buck-derived, 157-159
multiple outputs and cross regulation, 151-152
push-pull buck-derived, 164-166
SEPIC, 174-176
transformer model, 152-154, 466-471
use of volt-second balance in, 153-154, 156-157
Transformers
$B-H$ loop in, 153, 500-501
design of,
derivation of procedure, 517-521
examples, 524-531
step-by-step procedure, 521-524
winding area optimization, 513-517
flyback transformer, 166-167
leakage inductance, 154, 469-471
magnetizing inductance, 152-154, 468-469
modeling of, 152-154, 466-471
SEPIC transformer, 174-175
volt-second balance in, 153-154, 156-157
Triplen harmonics
in three-phase four-wire networks, 552-553
in three-phase inverter modulation schemes, 614
in three-phase rectifier circuits, 568-569
in three-phase three-wire networks, 553-554
Twelve-pulse rectifier, 582-584
Two-quadrant switches (see Switch)
Universal-input rectifiers, 602
Variable-speed ac drive, 7-8
Voltage conversion ratio (see Conversion ratio $M$ )
Voltage injection, 357-359
Voltage-source inverter, 70, 146-147
Volt-second balance (see Inductor volt-second balance)
Watkins-Johnson converter, 148, 150, 173
inverse of, 148, 150
isolated push-pull, 173
Window area $W_{A}$
allocation of, to minimize total copper loss, 513-517
definition, 506-507
ferrite core tables, 752-755
Window utilization factor $K_{u}, 507$
Wire area $A_{W}$
inductor design, 506-507, 509
American wire gauge (AWG) table, 755-756
Zero-current switching (ZCS), 662
in quasi-resonant converters, 712-726
in quasi-square-wave converters, 730-731
in series resonant converter, 690-692
in single-switch three-phase low-harmonic rectifiers, 619-621
ZCS/ZVS boundary, 702-705
Zero-voltage switching (ZVS), 662
in LCC resonant converter, 703-705
in multi-resonant converters, 729
in quasi-resonant converters, 726-728
in quasi-square-wave converters, 730-731
in series resonant converter, 692-695
in single-switch three-phase low-harmonic rectifiers, 619-621
in zero-voltage transition converter, 695-696
ZVS/ZCS boundary, 702-705
Zero-voltage transition buck-derived converter, 695-696
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## Errata, first edition

## Back

Errors can be reported for inclusion on this list by sending email to Bob Erickson. Please include page number and a short description.

- Page ii, small-print disclaimer near bottom of page contains two misspelled words
- Page 10 , paragraph beginning "Design of the converter..."
"line-to-transfer functions" should read "line-to-output transfer functions"
- Page 49, Eq. (3.19)

RHS of equation should be divided by R

- Page 86, Fig. 4.35(a)

Units of horizontal axis should be A

- Page 172, Fig. 6.36

The bottom of the figure is cut off. Transistor $Q_{4}$ conducts during the first subinterval of every switching period.

- Page 180, third line under heading 6.4.2 typo "votlage" should read "voltage"
- Page 181, Table 6.2

Values of $L$ and $C$ are missing. The values are: $L=25.96 \mathrm{uH}, C=25.0 \mathrm{uF}$.

- Page 188, problem 6.8(d)

Forward voltage drops of diodes $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are equal to 0.7 V .

- Page 237, second line following Eq. (7.126)
"small" should read "smaller"
- Page 241, third paragraph, first line reads "valiables" -- should read "variables"
- Page 250, Equation (7.144)
should read: e(s) $=(\mathrm{Vg}-\mathrm{V})-\mathrm{sLI} / \mathrm{DD}^{\prime}($ plus sign should be minus sign)
- Page 275, Figure 8.15

Phase asymptote for frequencies between $10 * f_{1}$ and $f_{2} / 10$ should be +90 deg instead of -90 deg.

- Pages 289-293, Equation (8.112) and Table 8.2

Missing factor of $D^{\prime}$ in Eq. (8.112). Mistake propagates to Eqs. (8.113), (8.121), (8.123), (8.124),
(8.127), and Fig. 8.26. Buck-boost $G_{d 0}$ entry in Table 8.2 is also incorrect. Corrected Section
8.2.1 and Table 8.2 are in attached pdf file.

- Page 300, line following Eq. (8.144)

Should read: "Subsitution of Eq. (8.142) into Eq. (8.144) leads to"

- Page 311, last sentence
is missing a period
- Page 333, fourth line following Eq. (9.20) reads "simple" -- should be "simply"
- Page 347, first line of text

Equation reference should read "Eq. (7.149)"

- Page 366, Problem 9.8, last sentence of paragraph (before (a)) should read "The pulse-width modulator circuit obeys Eq. (7.149)." -- not "(7.132)"
- Page 385, Table 10.2
$g_{2}$ for the buck-boost converter is equal to $2 / M R_{e}$
- Page 419, Figure 11.12(a)
$i_{g}(t)$ is the current flowing out of the source $v_{g}(t)$
- Page 427, Figure 11.20

Value of ac resistance is inverted. The value should read: $-R / D^{\wedge} 2$

- Page 488, second paragraph, fourth sentence

Change "greater" to "smaller", so that the sentence reads: "The skin depth $d$ is smaller for high frequencies..."

- Page 516, Equations (14.14) and (14.15)

Summation limits should be $j=1$ to $k$

- Page 519, Equation (14.28)
should contain a factor of 4 in denominator
- Page 534, Equation (14.84)
should read: $B_{p k}=B_{\max }+L I_{d c} n A_{c}$
- Page 546, Equation (15.14)

Power loss should be (rms voltage) ${ }^{\wedge} 2$ / Rshunt

- Page 548, last sentence change "displacement factor" to "distortion factor"
- Page 576, end of first sentence after Eq. (16.12)
$i_{s}$ should read $i_{r}$
- Page 637, Equation (18.27) Corrected version is in attached pdf file.
- Page 654, Figure 18.20, and Problem 18.2

Flyback transformer turns ratio is 1:1

- Appendix 3, page 760, Figure A3.3

Polarity of dependent current source should be reversed.
update 10/25/99
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## Solutions to Selected Problems

Solutions to selected problems at the end of chapters in the text Fundamentals of Power Electronics are listed below. These are intended as an educational supplement to the text, for the use of students who have purchased the book. All files copyright R. W. Erickson 1998.

These files can be read using the Adobe Acrobat viewer, available free from the Adobe Acrobat web site.
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## Chapter 2

- Problem 2.2144 kB . Analysis of a SEPIC in a voltage regulator application.
- Problem 2.3 168kB. Inductor current and capacitor voltage ripples in the SEPIC.


## Chapter 3

- Problem 3.3 192kB. Modeling efficiency and losses in a buck converter with input filter.
- Problem 3.6 148kB. Comparison of boost and buck-boost converters in a voltage step-up application.


## Chapter 4

- Problem 4.4 196kB. Switch realization in a converter that is probably unfamiliar.


## Chapter 5

- Problem 5.581 kB . CCM/DCM boundary for the Cuk converter.
- Problem 5.6 184kB. Solution of the Cuk converter in DCM.


## Chapter 6

- Problem 6.1 144kB. Analysis of a tapped-inductor boost converter.


## Chapter 8

- Sample problem 164 kB . A solved problem on transfer function asymptotes, similar to problems 8.1-8.4.


## Chapter 12

- Problem 12.1 116kB. Magnetic circuit analysis.

Update 11/23/98 rwe

## Proximity Effect Functions

The functions below were used to generate Figs. 12.35, 12.36, and 12.38. The functions are written in Microsoft Visual Basic, and were called by an Excel spreadsheet. The functions can be easily modified for other programming languages.

Functions Gone and Gtwo implement Eq. (12.73). Function FR(phi, M) is identical to Eq. (12.84). Figure 12.32 is a plot of FR for various values of M and $\varphi$. Figure 12.33 is a plot of FR(phi, M)/phi.

Function $\mathrm{Pj}(\mathrm{Phi1}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{D})$ is a normalized version of Eq. (12.89), the power loss in harmonic $j$. Function FH(phi1, M, D) coincides with Eq. (12.90) and (12.91). The series is summed numerically.

The copper loss in a winding is evaluated as follows. First, the dc and fundamental components of the current waveform are evaluated using Eq. (12.86). Then the functions FH and FR are evaluated. Finally, the results are plugged into Eq. (12.92).

```
Option Explicit
Function cosh(x)
    cosh = 0.5 * (Exp(x) + Exp(-x))
End Function
Function sinh(x)
    sinh = 0.5 * (Exp(x) - Exp(-x))
End Function
Function Gone(phi)
    Gone = (sinh(2 * phi) + Sin(2 * phi)) / (cosh(2 * phi) -
                        Cos(2 * phi))
End Function
Function Gtwo(phi)
    Gtwo = (sinh(phi) * Cos(phi) + cosh(phi) * Sin(phi)) /
                        (cosh(2 * phi) - Cos(2 * phi))
End Function
Function FR(phi, M)
    FR = phi * (Gone(phi) + 2 / 3 * (M * M - 1) * (Gone(phi) - 2
        * Gtwo(phi)))
End Function
Function pi()
    pi = 3.14159265
End Function
Function Pj(phi1, M, j, D)
    Dim sj, Ga
    sj = Sqr(j)
    Ga = Gone(phil * sj)
    Pj = (1 / (j * sj)) * (Sin(j * pi() * D)) ^ 2 * (Ga + 2 / 3 *
        (M * M - 1) * (Ga - 2 * Gtwo(phil * sj)))
```

End Function

```
Function FH(phil, M, D)
    Dim P1, Ptot, Pke, Pko, Peps, j, Pk
    P1 \(=P \mathrm{Pj}(\) phil, \(\mathrm{M}, 1, \mathrm{D})\)
    Pko = P1
    Pke \(=\) Pj(phi1, M, 2, D)
    \(\mathrm{Pk}=\mathrm{Pko}+\mathrm{Pke}\)
    Ptot \(=\) P1
    Peps = P1 * 0.0002
    \(\underset{\text { Do }}{j}=3\)
        Pko \(=\) Pj(phil, M, j, D)
        \(j=j+1\)
        Pke = Pj(phil, M, j, D)
        \(\mathrm{Pk}=\mathrm{Pke}+\mathrm{Pko}\)
        Ptot \(=\) Ptot + Pk
        \(j=j+1\)
    Loop Until Pk < Peps
    \(\mathrm{FH}=\) Ptot / P1
End Function
```

Derivation of line-to-output transfer function, P.437, Eggs. (11.84) and (11.85)

Fig. 11.26

one method: manipulate $\hat{v}_{g}$ so it appears as a disturbance (see section 9.2 .1 and Figs 9.4(b))
$\Rightarrow$ push $\hat{v}_{g}$ blocks so the $\hat{v}_{g}$ signal comes in $\hat{i}$ near the output (at the pickoff point $\longrightarrow \hat{i}_{2}$ )
push the $F_{g}$ path upwards:


The disturbance $\hat{v}_{g}$ enters at a summing node that is internal to both the $T_{v}$ and $T_{i}$ loops.
Hence, $\quad \hat{v} \hat{v}_{g}=\underbrace{\left(1-F_{g} F_{m} \frac{V}{D^{2}}\right) \frac{D}{Z_{i}} Z_{0}}_{\text {gain when } T_{i} \rightarrow 0 \text { and } T_{v} \rightarrow 0} \cdot \frac{1}{1+T_{V}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+T_{i}}$
In EggS. (11.84) and (11.85), this tronster function is written as

$$
\frac{G_{g o}}{1+T_{i}}
$$

with $G_{g 0}=\frac{\left(1-F_{g} F_{m} \frac{V}{D^{2}}\right) \frac{D}{Z_{i}} Z_{0}}{1+T_{v}}$
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## Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1. Introduction to power processing
1.2. Some applications of power electronics
1.3. Elements of power electronics

Summary of the course

### 1.1 Introduction to Power Processing



Dc-dc conversion: Change and control voltage magnitude Ac-dc rectification: Possibly control dc voltage, ac current Dc-ac inversion:

Produce sinusoid of controllable magnitude and frequency
Ac-ac cycloconversion: Change and control voltage magnitude and frequency

## Control is invariably required



## High efficiency is essential

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta=\frac{P_{\text {out }}}{P_{\text {in }}} \\
& P_{\text {loss }}=P_{\text {in }}-P_{\text {out }}=P_{\text {out }}\left(\frac{1}{\eta}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

High efficiency leads to low power loss within converter
Small size and reliable operation is then feasible
Efficiency is a good measure of converter performance


## A high-efficiency converter



A goal of current converter technology is to construct converters of small size and weight, which process substantial power at high efficiency

## Devices available to the circuit designer



## Devices available to the circuit designer



Signal processing: avoid magnetics

## Devices available to the circuit designer



Power processing: avoid lossy elements

## Power loss in an ideal switch

Switch closed: $\quad v(t)=0$
Switch open: $\quad i(t)=0$
In either event: $\quad p(t)=v(t) i(t)=0$
Ideal switch consumes zero power


## A simple dc-dc converter example



Input source: 100 V
Output load: 50V, 10A, 500W
How can this converter be realized?

## Dissipative realization

## Resistive voltage divider



## Dissipative realization

## Series pass regulator: transistor operates in active region



## Use of a SPDT switch



## The switch changes the dc voltage level



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
D=\text { switch duty cycle } \\
\quad 0 \leq D \leq 1
\end{array} \\
& \\
& T_{s}=\text { switching period } \\
& \\
& \begin{aligned}
f_{s} & =\text { switching frequency } \\
& =1 / T_{s}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

DC component of $v_{s}(t)=$ average value:

$$
V_{s}=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} v_{s}(t) d t=D V_{g}
$$

## Addition of low pass filter

Addition of (ideally lossless) $L$-C low-pass filter, for removal of switching harmonics:


- Choose filter cutoff frequency $f_{0}$ much smaller than switching frequency $f_{s}$
- This circuit is known as the "buck converter"


## Addition of control system for regulation of output voltage



## The boost converter



## A single-phase inverter


"H-bridge"
Modulate switch duty cycles to obtain sinusoidal low-frequency component

### 1.2 Several applications of power electronics

Power levels encountered in high-efficiency converters

- less than 1 W in battery-operated portable equipment
- tens, hundreds, or thousands of watts in power supplies for computers or office equipment
- kW to MW in variable-speed motor drives
- 1000 MW in rectifiers and inverters for utility dc transmission lines


## A computer power supply system



## A spacecraft power system



## A variable-speed ac motor drive system



### 1.3 Elements of power electronics

Power electronics incorporates concepts from the fields of analog circuits
electronic devices
control systems
power systems
magnetics
electric machines
numerical simulation

## Part I. Converters in equilibrium

Inductor waveforms



Discontinuous conduction mode
Transformer isolation

Averaged equivalent circuit


Predicted efficiency


Chapter 1: Introduction

## Switch realization: semiconductor devices

The IGBT


Switching loss


## Part I. Converters in equilibrium

2. Principles of steady state converter analysis
3. Steady-state equivalent circuit modeling, losses, and efficiency
4. Switch realization
5. The discontinuous conduction mode
6. Converter circuits

## Part II. Converter dynamics and control

Closed-loop converter system


Averaging the waveforms



Small-signal averaged equivalent circuit


## Part II. Converter dynamics and control
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### 2.1 Introduction Buck converter

SPDT switch changes dc component


Switch output voltage waveform

Duty cycle $D$ :
$0 \leq D \leq 1$
complement $D^{\prime}$ :
$D^{\prime}=1-D$

## Dc component of switch output voltage



Fourier analysis: Dc component = average value

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle v_{s}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} v_{s}(t) d t \\
& \left\langle v_{s}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{T_{s}}\left(D T_{s} V_{g}\right)=D V_{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Insertion of low-pass filter to remove switching harmonics and pass only dc component



$$
v \approx\left\langle v_{s}\right\rangle=D V_{g}
$$



## Three basic dc-dc converters



## Objectives of this chapter

- Develop techniques for easily determining output voltage of an arbitrary converter circuit
- Derive the principles of inductor volt-second balance and capacitor charge (amp-second) balance
- Introduce the key small ripple approximation
- Develop simple methods for selecting filter element values
- Illustrate via examples
2.2. Inductor volt-second balance, capacitor charge balance, and the small ripple approximation

Actual output voltage waveform, buck converter

Buck converter containing practical low-pass filter


Actual output voltage waveform

$$
v(t)=V+v_{\text {ripple }}(t)
$$



## The small ripple approximation

$$
v(t)=V+v_{\text {ripple }}(t)
$$



In a well-designed converter, the output voltage ripple is small. Hence, the waveforms can be easily determined by ignoring the ripple:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|v_{\text {ripple }}\right\| \ll V \\
& v(t) \approx V
\end{aligned}
$$

## Buck converter analysis: inductor current waveform

## original

 converterswitch in position 1


## Inductor voltage and current Subinterval 1: switch in position 1

Inductor voltage

$$
v_{L}=V_{g}-v(t)
$$

Small ripple approximation:

$$
v_{L} \approx V_{g}-V
$$



Knowing the inductor voltage, we can now find the inductor current via

$$
v_{L}(t)=L \frac{d i_{L}(t)}{d t}
$$

Solve for the slope:

$$
\frac{d i_{L}(t)}{d t}=\frac{v_{L}(t)}{L} \approx \frac{V_{g}-V}{L}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ The inductor current changes with an essentially constant slope

## Inductor voltage and current Subinterval 2: switch in position 2

Inductor voltage

$$
v_{L}(t)=-v(t)
$$

Small ripple approximation:

$$
v_{L}(t) \approx-V
$$



Knowing the inductor voltage, we can again find the inductor current via

$$
v_{L}(t)=L \frac{d i_{L}(t)}{d t}
$$

Solve for the slope:

$$
\frac{d i_{L}(t)}{d t} \approx-\frac{V}{L}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ The inductor current changes with an essentially constant slope

## Inductor voltage and current waveforms



## Determination of inductor current ripple magnitude

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\text { change in } i_{L}\right)=(\text { slope })(\text { length of subinterval }) \\
& \left(2 \Delta i_{L}\right)=\left(\frac{V_{g}-V}{L}\right)\left(D T_{s}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \quad \Delta i_{L}=\frac{V_{g}-V}{2 L} D T_{s} \quad L=\frac{V_{g}-V}{2 \Delta i_{L}} D T_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Inductor current waveform during turn-on transient



When the converter operates in equilibrium:

$$
i_{L}\left((n+1) T_{s}\right)=i_{L}\left(n T_{s}\right)
$$

## The principle of inductor volt-second balance: Derivation

Inductor defining relation:

$$
v_{L}(t)=L \frac{d i_{L}(t)}{d t}
$$

Integrate over one complete switching period:

$$
i_{L}\left(T_{s}\right)-i_{L}(0)=\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} v_{L}(t) d t
$$

In periodic steady state, the net change in inductor current is zero:

$$
0=\int_{0}^{T_{s}} v_{L}(t) d t
$$

Hence, the total area (or volt-seconds) under the inductor voltage waveform is zero whenever the converter operates in steady state.
An equivalent form:

$$
0=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} v_{L}(t) d t=\left\langle v_{L}\right\rangle
$$

The average inductor voltage is zero in steady state.

## Inductor volt-second balance: Buck converter example

Inductor voltage waveform,
previously derived:
Integral of voltage waveform is area of rectangles:

$$
\lambda=\int_{0}^{T_{s}} v_{L}(t) d t=\left(V_{g}-V\right)\left(D T_{s}\right)+(-V)\left(D^{\prime} T_{s}\right)
$$

Average voltage is

$$
\left\langle v_{L}\right\rangle=\frac{\lambda}{T_{s}}=D\left(V_{g}-V\right)+D^{\prime}(-V)
$$

Equate to zero and solve for $V$ :

$$
0=D V_{g}-\left(D+D^{\prime}\right) V=D V_{g}-V \quad \Rightarrow \quad V=D V_{g}
$$

## The principle of capacitor charge balance: Derivation

Capacitor defining relation:

$$
i_{C}(t)=C \frac{d v_{c}(t)}{d t}
$$

Integrate over one complete switching period:

$$
v_{C}\left(T_{s}\right)-v_{C}(0)=\frac{1}{C} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} i_{C}(t) d t
$$

In periodic steady state, the net change in capacitor voltage is zero:

$$
0=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} i_{c}(t) d t=\left\langle i_{c}\right\rangle
$$

Hence, the total area (or charge) under the capacitor current waveform is zero whenever the converter operates in steady state. The average capacitor current is then zero.

### 2.3 Boost converter example

Boost converter with ideal switch


Realization using power MOSFET and diode


## Boost converter analysis



## Subinterval 1: switch in position 1

## Inductor voltage and capacitor current

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}=V_{g} \\
& i_{C}=-v / R
\end{aligned}
$$

Small ripple approximation:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}=V_{g} \\
& i_{C}=-V / R
\end{aligned}
$$

## Subinterval 2: switch in position 2

Inductor voltage and capacitor current

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{L} & =V_{g}-v \\
i_{C} & =i_{L}-v / R
\end{aligned}
$$

Small ripple approximation:


$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{L} & =V_{g}-V \\
i_{C} & =I-V / R
\end{aligned}
$$

## Inductor voltage and capacitor current waveforms



## Inductor volt-second balance

Net volt-seconds applied to inductor over one switching period:

$$
\int_{0}^{T_{s}} v_{L}(t) d t=\left(V_{g}\right) D T_{s}+\left(V_{g}-V\right) D^{\prime} T_{s}
$$



Equate to zero and collect terms:

$$
V_{g}\left(D+D^{\prime}\right)-V D^{\prime}=0
$$

Solve for V:

$$
V=\frac{V_{g}}{D^{\prime}}
$$

The voltage conversion ratio is therefore

$$
M(D)=\frac{V}{V_{g}}=\frac{1}{D^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{1-D}
$$

## Conversion ratio $M(D)$ of the boost converter



## Determination of inductor current dc component

Capacitor charge balance:
$\int_{0}^{T_{s}} i_{C}(t) d t=\left(-\frac{V}{R}\right) D T_{s}+\left(I-\frac{V}{R}\right) D^{\prime} T_{s}$


Collect terms and equate to zero:

$$
-\frac{V}{R}\left(D+D^{\prime}\right)+I D^{\prime}=0
$$

Solve for $I$ :

$$
I=\frac{V}{D^{\prime} R}
$$

Eliminate $V$ to express in terms of $V_{g}$ :

$$
I=\frac{V_{g}}{D^{\prime 2} R}
$$

## Determination of inductor current ripple

Inductor current slope during subinterval 1:

$$
\frac{d i_{L}(t)}{d t}=\frac{v_{L}(t)}{L}=\frac{V_{g}}{L}
$$

Inductor current slope during subinterval 2:


$$
\frac{d i_{L}(t)}{d t}=\frac{v_{L}(t)}{L}=\frac{V_{g}-V}{L}
$$

Change in inductor current during subinterval 1 is (slope) (length of subinterval):

$$
2 \Delta i_{L}=\frac{V_{g}}{L} D T_{s}
$$

Solve for peak ripple:

$$
\Delta i_{L}=\frac{V_{g}}{2 L} D T_{s}
$$

- Choose $L$ such that desired ripple magnitude is obtained


## Determination of capacitor voltage ripple

Capacitor voltage slope during subinterval 1:

$$
\frac{d v_{c}(t)}{d t}=\frac{i_{c}(t)}{C}=\frac{-V}{R C}
$$

Capacitor voltage slope during subinterval 2:


$$
\frac{d v_{c}(t)}{d t}=\frac{i_{c}(t)}{C}=\frac{I}{C}-\frac{V}{R C}
$$

Change in capacitor voltage during subinterval 1 is (slope) (length of subinterval):

$$
-2 \Delta v=\frac{-V}{R C} D T_{s}
$$

Solve for peak ripple:

$$
\Delta v=\frac{V}{2 R C} D T_{s}
$$

- Choose $C$ such that desired voltage ripple magnitude is obtained
- In practice, capacitor equivalent series resistance (esr) leads to increased voltage ripple


### 2.4 Cuk converter example

Cuk converter, with ideal switch


Cuk converter: practical realization using MOSFET and diode


## Cuk converter circuit

 with switch in positions 1 and 2Switch in position 1: MOSFET conducts

Capacitor $C_{1}$ releases energy to output


Switch in position 2 : diode conducts

Capacitor $C_{1}$ is charged from input


## Waveforms during subinterval 1 MOSFET conduction interval

Inductor voltages and capacitor currents:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L 1}=V_{g} \\
& v_{L 2}=-v_{1}-v_{2} \\
& i_{C 1}=i_{2} \\
& i_{C 2}=i_{2}-\frac{v_{2}}{R}
\end{aligned}
$$



Small ripple approximation for subinterval 1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L 1}=V_{g} \\
& v_{L 2}=-V_{1}-V_{2} \\
& i_{C 1}=I_{2} \\
& i_{C 2}=I_{2}-\frac{V_{2}}{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Waveforms during subinterval 2 <br> Diode conduction interval

Inductor voltages and capacitor currents:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L 1}=V_{g}-v_{1} \\
& v_{L 2}=-v_{2} \\
& i_{C 1}=i_{1} \\
& i_{C 2}=i_{2}-\frac{v_{2}}{R}
\end{aligned}
$$



Small ripple approximation for subinterval 2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L 1}=V_{g}-V_{1} \\
& v_{L 2}=-V_{2} \\
& i_{C 1}=I_{1} \\
& i_{C 2}=I_{2}-\frac{V_{2}}{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equate average values to zero

The principles of inductor volt-second and capacitor charge balance state that the average values of the periodic inductor voltage and capacitor current waveforms are zero, when the converter operates in steady state. Hence, to determine the steady-state conditions in the converter, let us sketch the inductor voltage and capacitor current waveforms, and equate their average values to zero.

## Waveforms:

Inductor voltage $v_{L 1}(t)$


Volt-second balance on $L_{1}$ :

$$
\left\langle v_{L 1}\right\rangle=D V_{g}+D^{\prime}\left(V_{g}-V_{1}\right)=0
$$

## Equate average values to zero

Inductor $L_{2}$ voltage


Capacitor $C_{1}$ current

Average the waveforms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle v_{L 2}\right\rangle=D\left(-V_{1}-V_{2}\right)+D^{\prime}\left(-V_{2}\right)=0 \\
& \left\langle i_{C_{1}}\right\rangle=D I_{2}+D^{\prime} I_{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equate average values to zero

Capacitor current $i_{C 2}(t)$ waveform
$\stackrel{I_{2}-V_{2} / R(=0)}{\stackrel{\downarrow}{\longleftarrow}+T_{s} \longrightarrow D^{\prime} T_{s} \longrightarrow \mid}$

$$
\left\langle i_{c_{2}}\right\rangle=I_{2}-\frac{V_{2}}{R}=0
$$

Note: during both subintervals, the capacitor current $i_{C 2}$ is equal to the difference between the inductor current $i_{2}$ and the load current $V_{2} / R$. When ripple is neglected, $i_{C 2}$ is constant and equal to zero.

## Cuk converter conversion ratio $M=V / V_{g}$



## Inductor current waveforms

Interval 1 slopes, using small ripple approximation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d i_{1}(t)}{d t}=\frac{v_{L 1}(t)}{L_{1}}=\frac{V_{g}}{L_{1}} \\
& \frac{d i_{2}(t)}{d t}=\frac{v_{L 2}(t)}{L_{2}}=\frac{-V_{1}-V_{2}}{L_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$



Interval 2 slopes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d i_{1}(t)}{d t}=\frac{v_{L 1}(t)}{L_{1}}=\frac{V_{g}-V_{1}}{L_{1}} \\
& \frac{d i_{2}(t)}{d t}=\frac{v_{L 2}(t)}{L_{2}}=\frac{-V_{2}}{L_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Capacitor $C_{1}$ waveform

## Subinterval 1:

$$
\frac{d v_{1}(t)}{d t}=\frac{i_{C 1}(t)}{C_{1}}=\frac{I_{2}}{C_{1}}
$$

Subinterval 2:


$$
\frac{d v_{1}(t)}{d t}=\frac{i_{C 1}(t)}{C_{1}}=\frac{I_{1}}{C_{1}}
$$

## Ripple magnitudes

Analysis results

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta i_{1}=\frac{V_{g} D T_{s}}{2 L_{1}} \\
& \Delta i_{2}=\frac{V_{1}+V_{2}}{2 L_{2}} D T_{s} \\
& \Delta v_{1}=\frac{-I_{2} D T_{s}}{2 C_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Use dc converter solution to simplify:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta i_{1}=\frac{V_{g} D T_{s}}{2 L_{1}} \\
& \Delta i_{2}=\frac{V_{g} D T_{s}}{2 L_{2}} \\
& \Delta v_{1}=\frac{V_{g} D^{2} T_{s}}{2 D^{\prime} R C_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Q: How large is the output voltage ripple?

### 2.5 Estimating ripple in converters containing two-pole low-pass filters

Buck converter example: Determine output voltage ripple


## Capacitor current and voltage, buck example

Must not neglect inductor current ripple!


## Estimating capacitor voltage ripple $\Delta v$



Current $i_{C}(t)$ is positive for half of the switching period. This positive current causes the capacitor voltage $v_{C}(t)$ to increase between its minimum and maximum extrema.
During this time, the total charge $q$ is deposited on the capacitor plates, where

$$
q=C(2 \Delta v)
$$

$($ change in charge $)=$ $C$ (change in voltage)

## Estimating capacitor voltage ripple $\Delta v$



The total charge $q$ is the area of the triangle, as shown:

$$
q=\frac{1}{2} \Delta i_{L} \frac{T_{s}}{2}
$$

Eliminate $q$ and solve for $\Delta v$ :

$$
\Delta v=\frac{\Delta i_{L} T_{s}}{8 C}
$$

Note: in practice, capacitor equivalent series resistance (esr) further increases $\Delta v$.

## Inductor current ripple in two-pole filters



### 2.6 Summary of Key Points

1. The dc component of a converter waveform is given by its average value, or the integral over one switching period, divided by the switching period. Solution of a dc-dc converter to find its dc, or steadystate, voltages and currents therefore involves averaging the waveforms.
2. The linear ripple approximation greatly simplifies the analysis. In a welldesigned converter, the switching ripples in the inductor currents and capacitor voltages are small compared to the respective dc components, and can be neglected.
3. The principle of inductor volt-second balance allows determination of the dc voltage components in any switching converter. In steady-state, the average voltage applied to an inductor must be zero.

## Summary of Chapter 2

4. The principle of capacitor charge balance allows determination of the dc components of the inductor currents in a switching converter. In steadystate, the average current applied to a capacitor must be zero.
5. By knowledge of the slopes of the inductor current and capacitor voltage waveforms, the ac switching ripple magnitudes may be computed. Inductance and capacitance values can then be chosen to obtain desired ripple magnitudes.
6. In converters containing multiple-pole filters, continuous (nonpulsating) voltages and currents are applied to one or more of the inductors or capacitors. Computation of the ac switching ripple in these elements can be done using capacitor charge and/or inductor flux-linkage arguments, without use of the small-ripple approximation.
7. Converters capable of increasing (boost), decreasing (buck), and inverting the voltage polarity (buck-boost and Cuk) have been described. Converter circuits are explored more fully in a later chapter.

## Chapter 3. Steady-State Equivalent Circuit Modeling, Losses, and Efficiency

3.1. The dc transformer model
3.2. Inclusion of inductor copper loss
3.3. Construction of equivalent circuit model
3.4. How to obtain the input port of the model
3.5. Example: inclusion of semiconductor conduction losses in the boost converter model
3.6. Summary of key points

### 3.1. The dc transformer model

Basic equations of an ideal dc-dc converter:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\text {in }}=P_{\text {out }} \\
& V_{g} I_{g}=V I \\
& V=M(D) V_{g} \\
& I_{g}=M(D) I
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
(\eta=100 \%)
$$

(ideal conversion ratio)


These equations are valid in steady-state. During transients, energy storage within filter elements may cause $P_{\text {in }} \neq P_{\text {out }}$

## Equivalent circuits corresponding to ideal dc-dc converter equations

$$
P_{\text {in }}=P_{\text {out }} \quad V_{g} I_{g}=V I \quad V=M(D) V_{g} \quad I_{g}=M(D) l
$$



## The dc transformer model



Models basic properties of ideal dc-dc converter:

- conversion of dc voltages and currents, ideally with 100\% efficiency
- conversion ratio $M$ controllable via duty cycle
- Solid line denotes ideal transformer model, capable of passing dc voltages and currents
- Time-invariant model (no switching) which can be solved to find dc components of converter waveforms


## Example: use of the dc transformer model

1. Original system

2. Insert dc transformer model

3. Push source through transformer

4. Solve circuit

$$
V=M(D) V_{1} \frac{R}{R+M^{2}(D) R_{1}}
$$

### 3.2. Inclusion of inductor copper loss

Dc transformer model can be extended, to include converter nonidealities.
Example: inductor copper loss (resistance of winding):


Insert this inductor model into boost converter circuit:


## Analysis of nonideal boost converter



## Circuit equations, switch in position 1

Inductor current and capacitor voltage:

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{L}(t) & =V_{g}-i(t) R_{L} \\
i_{C}(t) & =-v(t) / R
\end{aligned}
$$



Small ripple approximation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}(t)=V_{g}-I R_{L} \\
& i_{C}(t)=-V / R
\end{aligned}
$$

## Circuit equations, switch in position 2



## Inductor voltage and capacitor current waveforms

Average inductor voltage:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle v_{L}(t)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} v_{L}(t) d t \\
& =D\left(V_{g}-I R_{L}\right)+D^{\prime}\left(V_{g}-I R_{L}-V\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Inductor volt-second balance:

$$
0=V_{g}-I R_{L}-D^{\prime} V
$$

Average capacitor current:

$$
\left\langle i_{C}(t)\right\rangle=D(-V / R)+D^{\prime}(I-V / R)
$$

Capacitor charge balance:

$$
0=D^{\prime} I-V / R
$$

## Solution for output voltage

We now have two equations and two unknowns:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=V_{g}-I R_{L}-D^{\prime} V \\
& 0=D^{\prime} I-V / R
\end{aligned}
$$

Eliminate $I$ and solve for $V$ :

$$
\frac{V}{V_{g}}=\frac{1}{D^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\left(1+R_{L} / D^{\prime 2} R\right)}
$$



### 3.3. Construction of equivalent circuit model

Results of previous section (derived via inductor volt-sec balance and capacitor charge balance):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle v_{L}\right\rangle=0=V_{g}-I R_{L}-D^{\prime} V \\
& \left\langle i_{C}\right\rangle=0=D^{\prime} I-V / R
\end{aligned}
$$

View these as loop and node equations of the equivalent circuit. Reconstruct an equivalent circuit satisfying these equations

## Inductor voltage equation

$$
\left\langle v_{L}\right\rangle=0=V_{g}-I R_{L}-D^{\prime} V
$$

- Derived via Kirchoff's voltage law, to find the inductor voltage during each subinterval
- Average inductor voltage then set to zero
- This is a loop equation: the dc components of voltage around a loop containing the inductor sum to zero

- $I R_{L}$ term: voltage across resistor of value $R_{L}$ having current $I$
- $D^{\prime} V$ term: for now, leave as dependent source


## Capacitor current equation

$$
\left\langle i_{C}\right\rangle=0=D^{\prime} I-V / R
$$

- Derived via Kirchoff's current law, to find the capacitor current during each subinterval
- Average capacitor current then set to zero
- This is a node equation: the dc components of current flowing into a node connected to the capacitor sum to zero

- $V / R$ term: current through load resistor of value $R$ having voltage $V$
- D'I term: for now, leave as dependent source


## Complete equivalent circuit

The two circuits, drawn together:


The dependent sources are equivalent to a $D^{\prime}$ : 1 transformer:


Dependent sources and transformers


- sources have same coefficient
- reciprocal voltage/current dependence


## Solution of equivalent circuit

Converter equivalent circuit


Refer all elements to transformer


Solution for output voltage using voltage divider formula:
$V=\frac{V_{g}}{D^{\prime}} \frac{R}{R+\frac{R_{L}}{D^{\prime 2}}}=\frac{V_{g}}{D^{\prime}} \frac{1}{1+\frac{R_{L}}{D^{\prime 2} R}}$

## Solution for input (inductor) current



$$
I=\frac{V_{g}}{D^{\prime 2} R+R_{L}}=\frac{V_{g}}{D^{\prime 2}} \frac{1}{1+\frac{R_{L}}{D^{\prime 2} R}}
$$

## Solution for converter efficiency

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\text {in }}=\left(V_{g}\right)(I) \\
& P_{\text {out }}=(V)\left(D^{\prime} I\right) \\
& \eta=\frac{P_{\text {out }}}{P_{\text {in }}}=\frac{(V)\left(D^{\prime} I\right)}{\left(V_{g}\right)(I)}=\frac{V}{V_{g}} D^{\prime} \\
& \eta=\frac{1}{1+\frac{R_{L}}{D^{\prime 2} R}}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Efficiency, for various values of $R_{L}$

$$
\eta=\frac{1}{1+\frac{R_{L}}{D^{\prime 2} R}}
$$
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### 3.4. How to obtain the input port of the model

Buck converter example -use procedure of previous section to derive equivalent circuit


Average inductor voltage and capacitor current:

$$
\left\langle v_{L}\right\rangle=0=D V_{g}-I_{L} R_{L}-V_{C} \quad\left\langle i_{C}\right\rangle=0=I_{L}-V_{C} / R
$$

## Construct equivalent circuit as usual

$$
\left\langle v_{L}\right\rangle=0=D V_{g}-I_{L} R_{L}-V_{C} \quad\left\langle i_{C}\right\rangle=0=I_{L}-V_{C} R
$$



What happened to the transformer?

- Need another equation


## Modeling the converter input port

Input current waveform $i_{g}(t)$ :


Dc component (average value) of $i_{g}(t)$ is

$$
I_{g}=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} i_{g}(t) d t=D I_{L}
$$

## Input port equivalent circuit

$$
I_{g}=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} i_{g}(t) d t=D I_{L}
$$



## Complete equivalent circuit, buck converter

Input and output port equivalent circuits, drawn together:


Replace dependent sources with equivalent dc transformer:


### 3.5. Example: inclusion of semiconductor conduction losses in the boost converter model

Boost converter example


Models of on-state semiconductor devices:
MOSFET: on-resistance $R_{\text {on }}$
Diode: constant forward voltage $V_{D}$ plus on-resistance $R_{D}$
Insert these models into subinterval circuits

## Boost converter example: circuits during subintervals 1 and 2



## Average inductor voltage and capacitor current



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle v_{L}\right\rangle=D\left(V_{g}-I R_{L}-I R_{o n}\right)+D^{\prime}\left(V_{g}-I R_{L}-V_{D}-I R_{D}-V\right)=0 \\
& \left\langle i_{c}\right\rangle=D(-V / R)+D^{\prime}(I-V / R)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Construction of equivalent circuits

$$
V_{g}-I R_{L}-I D R_{o n}-D^{\prime} V_{D}-I D^{\prime} R_{D}-D^{\prime} V=0
$$


$D^{\prime} I-V / R=0$


## Complete equivalent circuit



## Solution for output voltage



$$
\begin{aligned}
V & =\left(\frac{1}{D^{\prime}}\right)\left(V_{g}-D^{\prime} V_{D}\right)\left(\frac{D^{\prime 2} R}{D^{\prime 2} R+R_{L}+D R_{o n}+D^{\prime} R_{D}}\right) \\
\frac{V}{V_{g}} & =\left(\frac{1}{D^{\prime}}\right)\left(1-\frac{D^{\prime} V_{D}}{V_{g}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{R_{L}+D R_{o n}+D^{\prime} R_{D}}{D^{\prime 2} R}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution for converter efficiency

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\text {in }}=\left(V_{g}\right)(I) \\
& P_{\text {out }}=(V)\left(D^{\prime} I\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\eta=D^{\prime} \frac{V}{V_{g}}=\frac{\left(1-\frac{D^{\prime} V_{D}}{V_{g}}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{R_{L}+D R_{o n}+D^{\prime} R_{D}}{D^{\prime 2} R}\right)}
$$

Conditions for high efficiency:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad V_{g} / D^{\prime} \gg V_{D} \\
& \text { and } \quad D^{\prime 2} R \gg R_{L}+D R_{\text {on }}+D^{\prime} R_{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Accuracy of the averaged equivalent circuit in prediction of losses

- Model uses average currents and voltages
- To correctly predict power loss in a resistor, use rms values
- Result is the same, provided ripple is small

MOSFET current waveforms, for various ripple magnitudes:


Inductor current ripple
(a) $\Delta i=0$
(b) $\Delta i=0.1 I$
(c) $\Delta i=I$

MOSFET ms current
Average power loss in $R_{\text {on }}$

| $I \sqrt{D}$ | $D I^{2} R_{\text {on }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(1.00167) I \sqrt{L}$ | $(1.0033) D I^{2} R_{\text {on }}$ |
| $(1.155) I \sqrt{D}$ | $(1.3333) D I^{2} R_{\text {on }}$ |

(1.0033) $D I^{2} R_{\text {on }}$
(1.3333) $D I^{2} R_{\text {on }}$

## Summary of chapter 3

1. The dc transformer model represents the primary functions of any dc-dc converter: transformation of dc voltage and current levels, ideally with $100 \%$ efficiency, and control of the conversion ratio $M$ via the duty cycle $D$. This model can be easily manipulated and solved using familiar techniques of conventional circuit analysis.
2. The model can be refined to account for loss elements such as inductor winding resistance and semiconductor on-resistances and forward voltage drops. The refined model predicts the voltages, currents, and efficiency of practical nonideal converters.
3. In general, the dc equivalent circuit for a converter can be derived from the inductor volt-second balance and capacitor charge balance equations. Equivalent circuits are constructed whose loop and node equations coincide with the volt-second and charge balance equations. In converters having a pulsating input current, an additional equation is needed to model the converter input port; this equation may be obtained by averaging the converter input current.

## Chapter 4. Switch Realization

4.1. Switch applications

Single-, two-, and four-quadrant switches. Synchronous rectifiers
4.2. A brief survey of power semiconductor devices

Power diodes, MOSFETs, BJTs, IGBTs, and thyristors
4.3. Switching loss

Transistor switching with clamped inductive load. Diode recovered charge. Stray capacitances and inductances, and ringing. Efficiency vs. switching frequency.
4.4. Summary of key points

## SPST (single-pole single-throw) switches

SPST switch, with voltage and current polarities defined


All power semiconductor devices function as SPST switches.

Buck converter
with SPDT switch:

with two SPST switches:


## Realization of SPDT switch using two SPST switches

- A nontrivial step: two SPST switches are not exactly equivalent to one SPDT switch
- It is possible for both SPST switches to be simultaneously ON or OFF
- Behavior of converter is then significantly modified -discontinuous conduction modes (ch. 5)
- Conducting state of SPST switch may depend on applied voltage or current -for example: diode


## Quadrants of SPST switch operation




A single-quadrant switch example:

ON-state: $i>0$
OFF-state: $v>0$

## Some basic switch applications



### 4.1.1. Single-quadrant switches



Active switch: Switch state is controlled exclusively by a third terminal (control terminal).

Passive switch: Switch state is controlled by the applied current and/or voltage at terminals 1 and 2.
SCR: A special case - turn-on transition is active, while turn-off transition is passive.

Single-quadrant switch: on-state $i(t)$ and off-state $v(t)$ are unipolar.

## The diode



Symbol

instantaneous $i$-v characteristic

- A passive switch
- Single-quadrant switch:
- can conduct positive onstate current
- can block negative offstate voltage
- provided that the intended on-state and off-state operating points lie on the diode $i$-v characteristic, then switch can be realized using a diode


## The Bipolar Junction Transistor (BJT) and the Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistor (IGBT)



- An active switch, controlled by terminal C
- Single-quadrant switch:
- can conduct positive onstate current
- can block positive off-state voltage
- provided that the intended on-state and off-state operating points lie on the transistor $i$-v characteristic, then switch can be realized using a BJT or IGBT


## The Metal-Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor (MOSFET)



Symbol instantaneous i-v characteristic

- An active switch, controlled by terminal C
- Normally operated as singlequadrant switch:
- can conduct positive on-state current (can also conduct negative current in some circumstances)
- can block positive off-state voltage
- provided that the intended onstate and off-state operating points lie on the MOSFET $i$-v characteristic, then switch can be realized using a MOSFET


## Realization of switch using transistors and diodes

Buck converter example


Switch A: transistor
Switch B: diode

SPST switch operating points



## Realization of buck converter using single-quadrant switches




### 4.1.2. Current-bidirectional two-quadrant switches



- Usually an active switch, controlled by terminal C
- Normally operated as twoquadrant switch:
- can conduct positive or negative on-state current
- can block positive off-state voltage
- provided that the intended onstate and off-state operating points lie on the composite $i-v$ characteristic, then switch can be realized as shown


## Two quadrant switches




## MOSFET body diode



Power MOSFET characteristics


Power MOSFET, and its integral body diode


Use of external diodes to prevent conduction of body diode

## A simple inverter



## Inverter: sinusoidal modulation of $D$

$$
v_{0}(t)=(2 D-1) V_{g}
$$



Sinusoidal modulation to produce ac output:

$$
D(t)=0.5+D_{m} \sin (\omega t)
$$

The resulting inductor current variation is also sinusoidal:

$$
i_{L}(t)=\frac{v_{0}(t)}{R}=(2 D-1) \frac{V_{g}}{R}
$$

Hence, current-bidirectional two-quadrant switches are required.

## The dc-3øac voltage source inverter (VSI)



Switches must block dc input voltage, and conduct ac load current.

## Bidirectional battery charger/discharger



A dc-dc converter with bidirectional power flow.

### 4.1.3. Voltage-bidirectional two-quadrant switches


$B J T$ / series diode realization

instantaneous $i$ i-v characteristic

- Usually an active switch, controlled by terminal C
- Normally operated as twoquadrant switch:
- can conduct positive on-state current
- can block positive or negative off-state voltage
- provided that the intended onstate and off-state operating points lie on the composite $i-v$ characteristic, then switch can be realized as shown
- The SCR is such a device, without controlled turn-off


## Two-quadrant switches




## A dc-3øac buck-boost inverter



Requires voltage-bidirectional two-quadrant switches.
Another example: boost-type inverter, or current-source inverter (CSI).

### 4.1.4. Four-quadrant switches



- Usually an active switch, controlled by terminal C
- can conduct positive or negative on-state current
- can block positive or negative off-state voltage


## Three ways to realize a four-quadrant switch



## A 3øас-3øас matrix converter



- All voltages and currents are ac; hence, four-quadrant switches are required.
- Requires nine four-quadrant switches


### 4.1.5. Synchronous rectifiers

## Replacement of diode with a backwards-connected MOSFET, to obtain reduced conduction loss



## Buck converter with synchronous rectifier



- MOSFET $Q_{2}$ is controlled to turn on when diode would normally conduct
- Semiconductor conduction loss can be made arbitrarily small, by reduction of MOSFET onresistances
- Useful in lowvoltage high-current applications


### 4.2. A brief survey of power semiconductor devices

- Power diodes
- Power MOSFETs
- Bipolar Junction Transistors (BJTs)
- Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistors (IGBTs)
- Thyristors (SCR, GTO, MCT)
- On resistance vs. breakdown voltage vs. switching times
- Minority carrier and majority carrier devices


### 4.2.1. Power diodes

A power diode, under reverse-biased conditions:


## Forward-biased power diode



## Typical diode switching waveforms



## Types of power diodes

## Standard recovery

Reverse recovery time not specified, intended for $50 / 60 \mathrm{~Hz}$
Fast recovery and ultra-fast recovery
Reverse recovery time and recovered charge specified
Intended for converter applications
Schottky diode
A majority carrier device
Essentially no recovered charge
Model with equilibrium $i-v$ characteristic, in parallel with depletion region capacitance
Restricted to low voltage (few devices can block 100V or more)

## Characteristics of several commercial power rectifier diodes

| Part number | Rated max voltage | Rated avg current | $V_{F}$ (typical) | $t_{r}(\max )$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fast recovery rectifiers |  |  |  |  |
| 1N3913 | 400 V | 30 A | 1.1 V | 400 ns |
| SD453N25S20PC | 2500 V | 400 A | 2.2 V | $2 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Ultra-fast recovery rectifiers |  |  |  |  |
| MUR815 | 150 V | 8 A | 0.975 V | 35 ns |
| MUR1560 | 600 V | 15 A | 1.2 V | 60 ns |
| RHRU100120 | 1200 V | 100 A | 2.6 V | 60 ns |
| Schottky rectifiers |  |  |  |  |
| MBR6030L | 30 V | 60 A | 0.48 V |  |
| 444CNQ045 | 45 V | 440 A | 0.69 V |  |
| 30CPQ150 | 150 V | 30 A | 1.19 V |  |

### 4.2.2. The Power MOSFET



- Gate lengths approaching one micron
- Consists of many small enhancementmode parallelconnected MOSFET cells, covering the surface of the silicon wafer
- Vertical current flow
- n-channel device is shown


## MOSFET: Off state



- $p-n^{-}$junction is reverse-biased
- off-state voltage appears across $\mathrm{n}^{-}$ region
depletion region



## MOSFET: on state

source


- $p-n^{-}$junction is slightly reversebiased
- positive gate voltage induces conducting channel
- drain current flows through $n^{-}$region and conducting channel
- on resistance = total resistances of $n-$ region, conducting channel, source and drain contacts, etc.


## MOSFET body diode



- $p-n^{-}$junction forms an effective diode, in parallel with the channel
- negative drain-tosource voltage can forward-bias the body diode
- diode can conduct the full MOSFET rated current
- diode switching speed not optimized —body diode is slow, $Q_{r}$ is large

Chapter 4: Switch realization

## Typical MOSFET characteristics



- Off state: $V_{G S}<V_{t h}$
- On state: $V_{G S} \gg V_{t h}$
- MOSFET can conduct peak currents well in excess of average current rating characteristics are unchanged
- on-resistance has positive temperature coefficient, hence easy to parallel


## A simple MOSFET equivalent circuit



$$
C_{d s}\left(v_{d s}\right)=\frac{C_{0}}{\sqrt{1+\frac{v_{d s}}{V_{0}}}}
$$

- $C_{g s}$ : large, essentially constant
- $C_{g d}$ : small, highly nonlinear
- $C_{d s}$ : intermediate in value, highly nonlinear
- switching times determined by rate at which gate driver charges/ discharges $C_{g s}$ and $C_{g d}$
$C_{d s}\left(v_{d s}\right) \approx C_{0} \sqrt{\frac{V_{0}}{v_{d s}}}=\frac{C_{0}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{v_{d s}}}$


## Characteristics of several commercial power MOSFETs

| Part number | Ratedmax voltage | Rated avg current | $R_{\text {on }}$ | $Q_{g}$ (typical) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IRFZ48 | 60 V | 50 A | $0.018 \Omega$ | 110 nC |
| IRF510 | 100 V | 5.6 A | $0.54 \Omega$ | 8.3 nC |
| IRF540 | 100 V | 28 A | $0.077 \Omega$ | 72 nC |
| APT10M25BNR | 100 V | 75 A | $0.025 \Omega$ | 171 nC |
| IRF740 | 400 V | 10 A | $0.55 \Omega$ | 63 nC |
| MTM15N40E | 400 V | 15 A | $0.3 \Omega$ | 110 nC |
| APT5025BN | 500 V | 23 A | $0.25 \Omega$ | 83 nC |
| APT1001RBNR | 1000 V | 11 A | $1.0 \Omega$ | 150 nC |

## MOSFET: conclusions

- A majority-carrier device: fast switching speed
- Typical switching frequencies: tens and hundreds of kHz
- On-resistance increases rapidly with rated blocking voltage
- Easy to drive
- The device of choice for blocking voltages less than 500V
- 1000 V devices are available, but are useful only at low power levels (100W)
- Part number is selected on the basis of on-resistance rather than current rating


### 4.2.3. Bipolar Junction Transistor (BJT)


$n^{-}$
$n$


- Interdigitated base and emitter contacts
- Vertical current flow
- npn device is shown
- minority carrier device
- on-state: base-emitter and collector-base junctions are both forward-biased
- on-state: substantial minority charge in $p$ and $n$ - regions, conductivity modulation


## BJT switching times
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## Ideal base current waveform



## Current crowding due to excessive $I_{B 2}$


can lead to formation of hot spots and device failure

## BJT characteristics



- Off state: $I_{B}=0$
- On state: $I_{B}>I_{C} / \beta$
- Current gain $\beta$ decreases rapidly at high current. Device should not be operated at instantaneous currents exceeding the rated value


## Breakdown voltages


$B V_{C B O}$ : avalanche breakdown voltage of base-collector junction, with the emitter open-circuited
$B V_{C E O}$ : collector-emitter breakdown voltage with zero base current
$B V_{\text {sus }}$ : breakdown voltage observed with positive base current
In most applications, the offstate transistor voltage must not exceed $B V_{\text {CEO }}$.

## Darlington-connected BJT



- Increased current gain, for high-voltage applications
- In a monolithic Darlington device, transistors $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are integrated on the same silicon wafer
- Diode $D_{l}$ speeds up the turn-off process, by allowing the base driver to actively remove the stored charge of both $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ during the turn-off transition


## Conclusions: BJT

- BJT has been replaced by MOSFET in low-voltage ( $<500 \mathrm{~V}$ ) applications
- BJT is being replaced by IGBT in applications at voltages above 500V
- A minority-carrier device: compared with MOSFET, the BJT exhibits slower switching, but lower on-resistance at high voltages


### 4.2.4. The Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistor (IGBT)



- A four-layer device
- Similar in construction to MOSFET, except extra $p$ region
- On-state: minority carriers are injected into $n^{-}$region, leading to conductivity modulation
- compared with MOSFET: slower switching times, lower on-resistance, useful at higher voltages (up to 1700V)


## The IGBT



## Current tailing in IGBTs



## Characteristics of several commercial devices

| Part number | Ratedmax voltage | Rated avg current | $V_{F}$ (typical) | $t_{f}$ (typical) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single-chip devices |  |  |  |  |
| HGTG32N60E2 | 600 V | 32 A | 2.4 V | $0.62 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ |
| HGTG30N120D2 | 1200 V | 30 A | 3.2 A | $0.58 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Multiple-chip power modules |  |  |  |  |
| CM400HA-12E |  | 600 V | 400 A | 2.7 V |
| CM300HA-24E |  | 1200 V | 300 A | 2.7 V |

## Conclusions: IGBT

- Becoming the device of choice in 500-1700V applications, at power levels of 1-1000kW
- Positive temperature coefficient at high current -easy to parallel and construct modules
- Forward voltage drop: diode in series with on-resistance. 2-4V typical
- Easy to drive - similar to MOSFET
- Slower than MOSFET, but faster than Darlington, GTO, SCR
- Typical switching frequencies: $3-30 \mathrm{kHz}$
- IGBT technology is rapidly advancing —next generation: 2500 V


### 4.2.5. Thyristors (SCR, GTO, MCT)

## The SCR



## The Silicon Controlled Rectifier (SCR)

- Positive feedback -a latching device
- A minority carrier device
 switch
- 5000-6000V, 1000-2000A devices


## Why the conventional SCR cannot be turned off via gate control

- Large feature size
- Negative gate current induces lateral voltage drop along gate-cathode junction
- Gate-cathode junction becomes reverse-biased only in vicinity of gate contact



## The Gate Turn-Off Thyristor (GTO)

- An SCR fabricated using modern techniques -small feature size
- Gate and cathode contacts are highly interdigitated
- Negative gate current is able to completely reverse-bias the gatecathode junction

Turn-off transition:

- Turn-off current gain: typically 2-5
- Maximum controllable on-state current: maximum anode current that can be turned off via gate control. GTO can conduct peak currents well in excess of average current rating, but cannot switch off


## The MOS-Controlled Thyristor (MCT)

- Still an emerging device, but some devices are commercially available
- p-type device
- A latching SCR, with added built-in MOSFETs to assist the turn-on and turn-off processes
- Small feature size, highly interdigitated, modern fabrication



## The MCT: equivalent circuit



- Negative gate-anode voltage turns p -channel MOSFET $Q_{3}$ on, causing $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ to latch ON
- Positive gate-anode voltage turns n-channel MOSFET $Q_{4}$ on, reversebiasing the base-emitter junction of $Q_{2}$ and turning off the device
- Maximum current that can be interrupted is limited by the on-resistance of $Q_{4}$


## Summary: Thyristors

- The thyristor family: double injection yields lowest forward voltage drop in high voltage devices. More difficult to parallel than MOSFETs and IGBTs
- The SCR: highest voltage and current ratings, low cost, passive turn-off transition
- The GTO: intermediate ratings (less than SCR, somewhat more than IGBT). Slower than IGBT. Slower than MCT. Difficult to drive.
- The MCT: So far, ratings lower than IGBT. Slower than IGBT. Easy to drive. Second breakdown problems? Still an emerging device.


### 4.3. Switching loss

- Energy is lost during the semiconductor switching transitions, via several mechanisms:
- Transistor switching times
- Diode stored charge
- Energy stored in device capacitances and parasitic inductances
- Semiconductor devices are charge controlled
- Time required to insert or remove the controlling charge determines switching times


### 4.3.1. Transistor switching with clamped inductive load



## Switching loss induced by transistor turn-off transition

Energy lost during transistor turn-off transition:

$$
W_{\text {off }}=\frac{1}{2} V_{g} i_{L}\left(t_{2}-t_{0}\right)
$$

Similar result during transistor turn-on transition.
Average power loss:

$$
P_{s w}=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{\substack{\text { switching } \\ \text { transitions }}} p_{A}(t) d t=\left(W_{o n}+W_{o f f}\right) f_{s}
$$

## Switching loss due to current-tailing in IGBT



Example: buck converter with IGBT

$$
P_{s w}=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{\substack{\text { transistor turn-off } \\ \text { transition } \\ \text { trassitions }}} p_{A}(t) d t=\left(W_{\text {on }}+W_{\text {off }}\right) f_{s}
$$



### 4.3.2. Diode recovered charge



- Diode recovered stored charge $Q_{r}$ flows through transistor during transistor turn-on transition, inducing switching loss
- $Q_{r}$ depends on diode on-state forward current, and on the rate-of-change of diode current during diode turn-off transition



## Switching loss calculation

Energy lost in transistor:

$$
W_{D}=\int_{\substack{\text { switching } \\ \text { transition }}} v_{A}(t) i_{A}(t) d t
$$

With abrupt-recovery diode:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{D} & \approx \int_{\substack{\text { swithing } \\
\text { trasition }}} V_{g}\left(i_{L}-i_{B}(t)\right) d t \\
& =V_{g} i_{L} t_{r}+V_{g} Q_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Often, this is the largest component of switching loss



### 4.3.3. Device capacitances, and leakage, package, and stray inductances

- Capacitances that appear effectively in parallel with switch elements are shorted when the switch turns on. Their stored energy is lost during the switch turn-on transition.
- Inductances that appear effectively in series with switch elements are open-circuited when the switch turns off. Their stored energy is lost during the switch turn-off transition.

Total energy stored in linear capacitive and inductive elements:

$$
W_{C}=\sum_{\substack{\text { capacitivve } \\ \text { elements }}} \frac{1}{2} C_{i} V_{i}^{2}
$$

$$
W_{L}=\sum_{\substack{\text { inductive } \\ \text { elements }}} \frac{1}{2} L_{j} I_{j}^{2}
$$

## Example: semiconductor output capacitances

## Buck converter example



Energy lost during MOSFET turn-on transition (assuming linear capacitances):

$$
W_{C}=\frac{1}{2}\left(C_{d s}+C_{j}\right) V_{g}^{2}
$$

## MOSFET nonlinear $C_{d s}$

Approximate dependence of incremental $C_{d s}$ on $v_{d s}$ :

$$
C_{d s}\left(v_{d s}\right) \approx C_{0} \sqrt{\frac{V_{0}}{v_{d s}}}=\frac{C_{0}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{v_{d s}}}
$$

Energy stored in $C_{d s}$ at $v_{d s}=V_{D S}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{C d s}=\int v_{d s} i_{C} d t=\int_{0}^{V_{D S}} v_{d s} C_{d s}\left(v_{d s}\right) d v_{d s} \\
& W_{C d s}=\int_{0}^{V_{D S}} C_{0}^{\prime}\left(v_{d s}\right) \sqrt{v_{d s}} d v_{d s}=\frac{2}{3} C_{d s}\left(V_{D S}\right) V_{D S}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- same energy loss as linear capacitor having value $\frac{4}{3} C_{d s}\left(V_{D S}\right)$


## Some other sources of this type of switching loss

Schottky diode

- Essentially no stored charge
- Significant reverse-biased junction capacitance

Transformer leakage inductance

- Effective inductances in series with windings
- A significant loss when windings are not tightly coupled

Interconnection and package inductances

- Diodes
- Transistors
- A significant loss in high current applications


## Ringing induced by diode stored charge



- Diode is forward-biased while $i_{L}(t)>0$
- Negative inductor current removes diode stored charge $Q_{r}$
- When diode becomes reverse-biased, negative inductor current flows through capacitor $C$.
- Ringing of $L-C$ network is damped by parasitic losses. Ringing energy is lost.


## Energy associated with ringing

Recovered charge is $\quad Q_{r}=-\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{3}} i_{L}(t) d t$
Energy stored in inductor during interval $t_{2} \leq t \leq t_{3}$ :

$$
W_{L}=\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{3}} v_{L}(t) i_{L}(t) d t
$$

Applied inductor voltage during interval $t_{2} \leq t \leq t_{3}$ :

$$
v_{L}(t)=L \frac{d i_{L}(t)}{d t}=-V_{2}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{L}=\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{3}} L \frac{d i_{L}(t)}{d t} i_{L}(t) d t=\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{3}}\left(-V_{2}\right) i_{L}(t) d t \\
& W_{L}=\frac{1}{2} L i_{L}^{2}\left(t_{3}\right)=V_{2} Q_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$



### 4.3.4. Efficiency vs. switching frequency

Add up all of the energies lost during the switching transitions of one switching period:

$$
W_{t o t}=W_{o n}+W_{o f f}+W_{D}+W_{C}+W_{L}+\ldots
$$

Average switching power loss is

$$
P_{s w}=W_{\text {tot }} f_{s w}
$$

Total converter loss can be expressed as

$$
P_{\text {loss }}=P_{\text {cond }}+P_{\text {fived }}+W_{\text {tot }} f_{s w}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\text {fixed }}=\text { fixed losses (independent of load and } f_{s w} \text { ) } \\
& P_{\text {cond }}=\text { conduction losses }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Efficiency vs. switching frequency



Switching losses are equal to the other converter losses at the critical frequency

$$
f_{\text {crit }}=\frac{P_{\text {cond }}+P_{\text {fixed }}}{W_{\text {tot }}}
$$

This can be taken as a rough upper limit on the switching frequency of a practical converter. For $f_{s w}>f_{\text {crit }}$, the efficiency decreases rapidly with frequency.

## Summary of chapter 4

1. How an SPST ideal switch can be realized using semiconductor devices depends on the polarity of the voltage which the devices must block in the off-state, and on the polarity of the current which the devices must conduct in the on-state.
2. Single-quadrant SPST switches can be realized using a single transistor or a single diode, depending on the relative polarities of the off-state voltage and on-state current.
3. Two-quadrant SPST switches can be realized using a transistor and diode, connected in series (bidirectional-voltage) or in anti-parallel (bidirectionalcurrent). Several four-quadrant schemes are also listed here.
4. A "synchronous rectifier" is a MOSFET connected to conduct reverse current, with gate drive control as necessary. This device can be used where a diode would otherwise be required. If a MOSFET with sufficiently low $R_{\text {on }}$ is used, reduced conduction loss is obtained.

## Summary of chapter 4

5. Majority carrier devices, including the MOSFET and Schottky diode, exhibit very fast switching times, controlled essentially by the charging of the device capacitances. However, the forward voltage drops of these devices increases quickly with increasing breakdown voltage.
6. Minority carrier devices, including the BJT, IGBT, and thyristor family, can exhibit high breakdown voltages with relatively low forward voltage drop. However, the switching times of these devices are longer, and are controlled by the times needed to insert or remove stored minority charge.
7. Energy is lost during switching transitions, due to a variety of mechanisms. The resulting average power loss, or switching loss, is equal to this energy loss multiplied by the switching frequency. Switching loss imposes an upper limit on the switching frequencies of practical converters.

## Summary of chapter 4

8. The diode and inductor present a "clamped inductive load" to the transistor. When a transistor drives such a load, it experiences high instantaneous power loss during the switching transitions. An example where this leads to significant switching loss is the IGBT and the "current tail" observed during its turn-off transition.
9. Other significant sources of switching loss include diode stored charge and energy stored in certain parasitic capacitances and inductances. Parasitic ringing also indicates the presence of switching loss.

## Chapter 5. The Discontinuous Conduction Mode

5.1. Origin of the discontinuous conduction mode, and mode boundary
5.2. Analysis of the conversion ratio $M(D, K)$
5.3. Boost converter example
5.4. Summary of results and key points

## Introduction to Discontinuous Conduction Mode (DCM)

- Occurs because switching ripple in inductor current or capacitor voltage causes polarity of applied switch current or voltage to reverse, such that the current- or voltage-unidirectional assumptions made in realizing the switch are violated.
- Commonly occurs in dc-dc converters and rectifiers, having singlequadrant switches. May also occur in converters having two-quadrant switches.
- Typical example: dc-dc converter operating at light load (small load current). Sometimes, dc-dc converters and rectifiers are purposely designed to operate in DCM at all loads.
- Properties of converters change radically when DCM is entered:
$M$ becomes load-dependent
Output impedance is increased
Dynamics are altered
Control of output voltage may be lost when load is removed


### 5.1. Origin of the discontinuous conduction mode, and mode boundary

Buck converter example, with single-quadrant switches



Dc component $I=V / R$
Current ripple is

$$
\Delta i_{L}=\frac{\left(V_{g}-V\right)}{2 L} D T_{s}=\frac{V_{g} D D^{\prime} T_{s}}{2 L}
$$

Note that $I$ depends on load, but $\Delta i_{L}$ does not.
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## Reduction of load current

Increase $R$, until $I=\Delta i_{L}$


Minimum diode current is $\left(I-\Delta i_{L}\right)$
Dc component $I=V / R$
Current ripple is

$$
\Delta i_{L}=\frac{\left(V_{g}-V\right)}{2 L} D T_{s}=\frac{V_{g} D D^{\prime} T_{s}}{2 L}
$$

Note that $I$ depends on load, but $\Delta i_{L}$ does not.

CCM-DCM boundary
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## Further reduce load current

Increase $R$ some more, such that $I<\Delta i_{L}$


Minimum diode current is $\left(I-\Delta i_{L}\right)$
Dc component $I=V / R$
Current ripple is

$$
\Delta i_{L}=\frac{\left(V_{g}-V\right)}{2 L} D T_{s}=\frac{V_{g} D D^{\prime} T_{s}}{2 L}
$$

Note that $I$ depends on load, but $\Delta i_{L}$ does not.
The load current continues to be positive and non-zero.

Discontinuous conduction mode

onducting
devices:


Chapter 5: Discontinuous conduction mode

## Mode boundary

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
I>\Delta i_{L} & \text { for } C C M \\
I<\Delta i_{L} & \text { for } D C M
\end{array}
$$

Insert buck converter expressions for $I$ and $\Delta i_{L}$ :

$$
\frac{D V_{g}}{R}<\frac{D D^{\prime} T_{s} V_{g}}{2 L}
$$

Simplify:

$$
\frac{2 L}{R T_{s}}<D^{\prime}
$$

This expression is of the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& K<K_{\text {crit }}(D) \quad \text { for } D C M \\
\text { where } \quad & K=\frac{2 L}{R T_{s}} \text { and } \quad K_{\text {crit }}(D)=D^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

## $K$ and $K_{\text {crit }}$ vs. $D$

$$
\text { for } K<1 \text { : }
$$


for $K>1$ :


## Critical load resistance $R_{\text {crit }}$

Solve $K_{\text {crit }}$ equation for load resistance $R$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& R<R_{\text {crit }}(D) \quad \text { for } C C M \\
& R>R_{\text {crit }}(D) \quad \text { for } D C M \\
\text { where } \quad & R_{\text {crit }}(D)=\frac{2 L}{D^{\prime} T_{s}}
\end{array}
$$

## Summary: mode boundary

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
K>K_{\text {crit }}(D) & \text { or } & R<R_{\text {crit }}(D) & \text { for } C C M \\
K<K_{\text {crit }}(D) & \text { or } & R>R_{\text {crit }}(D) & \text { for } D C M
\end{array}
$$

Table 5.1. CCM-DCM mode boundaries for the buck, boost, and buck-boost converters

| Converter | $K_{\text {crit }}(D)$ | $\max _{0 \leq D \leq 1}\left(K_{\text {crit }}\right)$ | $R_{\text {crit }}(D)$ | $\min _{0 \leq D \leq 1}\left(R_{\text {crit }}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buck | $(1-D)$ | 1 | $\frac{2 L}{(1-D) T_{s}}$ | $2 \frac{L}{T_{s}}$ |
| Boost | $D(1-D)^{2}$ | $\frac{4}{27}$ | $\frac{2 L}{D(1-D)^{2} T_{s}}$ | $\frac{27}{2} \frac{L}{T_{s}}$ |
| Buck-boost | $(1-D)^{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{2 L}{(1-D)^{2} T_{s}}$ | $2 \frac{L}{T_{s}}$ |

### 5.2. Analysis of the conversion ratio $M(D, K)$

Analysis techniques for the discontinuous conduction mode:
Inductor volt-second balance

$$
\left\langle v_{L}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} v_{L}(t) d t=0
$$

Capacitor charge balance

$$
\left\langle i_{c}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} i_{c}(t) d t=0
$$

Small ripple approximation sometimes applies:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v(t) \approx V \text { because } \Delta v \ll V \\
& i(t) \approx I \text { is a poor approximation when } \Delta i>I
\end{aligned}
$$

Converter steady-state equations obtained via charge balance on each capacitor and volt-second balance on each inductor. Use care in applying small ripple approximation.

## Example: Analysis of DCM buck converter $M(D, K)$




## Subinterval 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{L}(t) & =V_{g}-v(t) \\
i_{C}(t) & =i_{L}(t)-v(t) / R
\end{aligned}
$$

Small ripple approximation for $v(t)$ (but not for $i(t)!$ ):


$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}(t) \approx V_{g}-V \\
& i_{C}(t) \approx i_{L}(t)-V / R
\end{aligned}
$$

## Subinterval 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}(t)=-v(t) \\
& i_{C}(t)=i_{L}(t)-v(t) / R
\end{aligned}
$$

Small ripple approximation for $v(t)$ but not for $i(t)$ :


$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}(t) \approx-V \\
& i_{C}(t) \approx i_{L}(t)-V / R
\end{aligned}
$$

## Subinterval 3

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}=0, \quad i_{L}=0 \\
& i_{C}(t)=i_{L}(t)-v(t) / R
\end{aligned}
$$

Small ripple approximation:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}(t)=0 \\
& i_{C}(t)=-V / R
\end{aligned}
$$

## Inductor volt-second balance



Volt-second balance:

$$
\left\langle v_{L}(t)\right\rangle=D_{1}\left(V_{g}-V\right)+D_{2}(-V)+D_{3}(0)=0
$$

Solve for $V$ :

$$
V=V_{g} \frac{D_{1}}{D_{1}+D_{2}}
$$

note that $D_{2}$ is unknown

## Capacitor charge balance

node equation:

$$
i_{L}(t)=i_{C}(t)+V / R
$$

capacitor charge balance:

$$
\left\langle i_{C}\right\rangle=0
$$

hence

$$
\left\langle i_{L}\right\rangle=V / R
$$

must compute dc component of inductor current and equate to load current (for this buck converter example)



## Inductor current waveform

peak current:

$$
i_{L}\left(D_{1} T_{s}\right)=i_{p k}=\frac{V_{g}-V}{L} D_{1} T_{s}
$$

average current:
$\left\langle i_{L}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} i_{L}(t) d t$

triangle area formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T_{s}} i_{L}(t) d t=\frac{1}{2} i_{p k}\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right) T_{s} \\
& \left\langle i_{L}\right\rangle=\left(V_{g}-V\right) \frac{D_{1} T_{s}}{2 L}\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

equate dc component to dc load current:

$$
\frac{V}{R}=\frac{D_{1} T_{s}}{2 L}\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)\left(V_{g}-V\right)
$$

## Solution for $V$

Two equations and two unknowns ( $V$ and $D_{2}$ ):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
V=V_{g} \frac{D_{1}}{D_{1}+D_{2}} & \text { (from inductor volt-second balan } \\
\frac{V}{R}=\frac{D_{1} T_{s}}{2 L}\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)\left(V_{g}-V\right) & \text { (from capacitor charge balance) }
\end{array}
$$

Eliminate $D_{2}$, solve for $V$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \frac{V}{V_{g}}=\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{1+4 K / D_{1}^{2}}} \\
& \text { where } \quad K=2 L / R T_{s} \\
& \text { valid for } \quad K<K_{\text {crit }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Buck converter $M(D, K)$



### 5.3. Boost converter example



Mode boundary:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
I>\Delta i_{L} & \text { for } C C M \\
I<\Delta i_{L} & \text { for } D C M
\end{array}
$$

Previous CCM soln:

$$
I=\frac{V_{g}}{D^{\prime 2} R} \quad \Delta i_{L}=\frac{V_{g}}{2 L} D T_{s}
$$

## Mode boundary

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{V_{g}}{D^{\prime 2} R}>\frac{D T_{s} V_{g}}{2 L} \quad \text { for } C C M \\
& \frac{2 L}{R T_{s}}>D D^{\prime 2} \quad \text { for } C C M
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
K>K_{c r i t}(D) \quad \text { for } C C M
$$

$$
K<K_{c r i t}(D) \quad \text { for } D C M
$$

where

$$
K=\frac{2 L}{R T_{s}} \quad \text { and } \quad K_{\text {crit }}(D)=D D^{\prime 2}
$$



## Mode boundary



## Conversion ratio: DCM boost



## Subinterval 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}(t)=V_{g} \\
& i_{C}(t)=-v(t) / R
\end{aligned}
$$

Small ripple approximation for $v(t)$ (but not for $i(t)!$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}(t) \approx V_{g} \\
& i_{C}(t) \approx-V / R
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
0<t<D_{l} T_{s}
$$

## Subinterval 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}(t)=V_{g}-v(t) \\
& i_{C}(t)=i(t)-v(t) / R
\end{aligned}
$$

Small ripple approximation for $v(t)$ but not for $i(t)$ :


$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}(t) \approx V_{g}-V \\
& i_{C}(t) \approx i(t)-V / R
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
D_{1} T_{s}<t<\left(D_{l}+D_{2}\right) T_{s}
$$

## Subinterval 3

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}=0, \quad i=0 \\
& i_{C}(t)=-v(t) / R
\end{aligned}
$$

Small ripple approximation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}(t)=0 \\
& i_{C}(t)=-V / R
\end{aligned}
$$


$\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right) T_{s}<t<T_{s}$

## Inductor volt-second balance



Volt-second balance:

$$
D_{1} V_{g}+D_{2}\left(V_{g}-V\right)+D_{3}(0)=0
$$

Solve for $V$ :

$$
V=\frac{D_{1}+D_{2}}{D_{2}} V_{g}
$$

note that $D_{2}$ is unknown

## Capacitor charge balance

node equation:

$$
i_{D}(t)=i_{C}(t)+v(t) / R
$$

capacitor charge balance:

$$
\left\langle i_{c}\right\rangle=0
$$

hence

$$
\left\langle i_{D}\right\rangle=V / R
$$

must compute dc component of diode current and equate to load current (for this boost converter example)

## Inductor and diode current waveforms

## peak current:

$$
i_{p k}=\frac{V_{g}}{L} D_{1} T_{s}
$$

average diode current:

$$
\left\langle i_{D}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} i_{D}(t) d t
$$

triangle area formula:

$$
\int_{0}^{T_{s}} i_{D}(t) d t=\frac{1}{2} i_{p k} D_{2} T_{s}
$$
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## Equate diode current to load current

average diode current:

$$
\left\langle i_{D}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{T_{s}}\left(\frac{1}{2} i_{p k} D_{2} T_{s}\right)=\frac{V_{g} D_{1} D_{2} T_{s}}{2 L}
$$

equate to dc load current:

$$
\frac{V_{s} D_{1} D_{2} T_{s}}{2 L}=\frac{V}{R}
$$

## Solution for $V$

Two equations and two unknowns ( $V$ and $D_{2}$ ):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
V=\frac{D_{1}+D_{2}}{D_{2}} V_{g} & \text { (from inductor volt-second balance) } \\
\frac{V_{g} D_{1} D_{2} T_{s}}{2 L}=\frac{V}{R} & \text { (from capacitor charge balance) }
\end{array}
$$

Eliminate $D_{2}$, solve for $V$. From volt-sec balance eqn:

$$
D_{2}=D_{1} \frac{V_{g}}{V-V_{g}}
$$

Substitute into charge balance eqn, rearrange terms:

$$
V^{2}-V V_{g}-\frac{V_{g}^{2} D_{1}^{2}}{K}=0
$$

## Solution for $V$

$$
V^{2}-V V_{g}-\frac{V_{g}^{2} D_{1}^{2}}{K}=0
$$

Use quadratic formula:

$$
\frac{V}{V_{g}}=\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1+4 D_{1}^{2} / K}}{2}
$$

Note that one root leads to positive $V$, while other leads to negative $V$. Select positive root:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{V}{V_{g}}=M\left(D_{1}, K\right)=\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 D_{1}^{2} / K}}{2} \\
& \text { where } \quad K=2 L / R T_{s} \\
& \text { valid for } \quad K<K_{\text {crit }}(D)
\end{aligned}
$$

Transistor duty cycle $D=$ interval 1 duty cycle $D_{I}$

## Boost converter characteristics



## Summary of DCM characteristics

Table 5.2. Summary of CCM-DCM characteristics for the buck, boost, and buck-boost converters

| Converter | $K_{\text {crit }}(D)$ | $D C M M(D, K)$ | $D C M D_{2}(D, K)$ | $C C M M(D)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buck | $(1-D)$ | $\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{1+4 K / D^{2}}}$ | $\frac{K}{D} M(D, K)$ | $D$ |
| Boost | $D(1-D)^{2}$ | $\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 D^{2} / K}}{2}$ | $\frac{K}{D} M(D, K)$ | $\frac{1}{1-D}$ |
| Buck-boost | $(1-D)^{2}$ | $-\frac{D}{\sqrt{K}}$ | $\sqrt{K}$ | $-\frac{D}{1-D}$ |

$$
\text { with } \quad K=2 L / R T_{s} . \quad D C M \text { occurs for } K<K_{\text {crit }} \text {. }
$$

## Summary of DCM characteristics



- DCM buck and boost characteristics are asymptotic to $M=1$ and to the DCM buck-boost characteristic
- DCM buck-boost characteristic is linear
- CCM and DCM characteristics intersect at mode boundary. Actual $M$ follows characteristic having larger magnitude
- DCM boost characteristic is nearly linear


## Summary of key points

1. The discontinuous conduction mode occurs in converters containing current- or voltage-unidirectional switches, when the inductor current or capacitor voltage ripple is large enough to cause the switch current or voltage to reverse polarity.
2. Conditions for operation in the discontinuous conduction mode can be found by determining when the inductor current or capacitor voltage ripples and dc components cause the switch on-state current or off-state voltage to reverse polarity.
3. The dc conversion ratio $M$ of converters operating in the discontinuous conduction mode can be found by application of the principles of inductor volt-second and capacitor charge balance.

## Summary of key points

4. Extra care is required when applying the small-ripple approximation. Some waveforms, such as the output voltage, should have small ripple which can be neglected. Other waveforms, such as one or more inductor currents, may have large ripple that cannot be ignored.
5. The characteristics of a converter changes significantly when the converter enters DCM. The output voltage becomes loaddependent, resulting in an increase in the converter output impedance.

## Chapter 6. Converter Circuits

6.1. Circuit manipulations
6.2. A short list of converters
6.3. Transformer isolation
6.4. Converter evaluation and design
6.5. Summary of key points

- Where do the boost, buck-boost, and other converters originate?
- How can we obtain a converter having given desired properties?
- What converters are possible?
- How can we obtain transformer isolation in a converter?
- For a given application, which converter is best?


### 6.1. Circuit manipulations



Begin with buck converter: derived in chapter 1 from first principles

- Switch changes dc component, low-pass filter removes switching harmonics
- Conversion ratio is $M=D$


### 6.1.1. Inversion of source and load

Interchange power input and output ports of a converter

$$
\text { Buck converter example } \quad V_{2}=D V_{1}
$$



## Inversion of source and load

Interchange power source and load:


## Realization of switches as in chapter 4

- Reversal of power flow requires new realization of switches
- Transistor conducts when switch is in position 2
- Interchange of $D$ and $D^{\prime}$

$$
V_{1}=\frac{1}{D^{\prime}} V_{2}
$$



Inversion of buck converter yields boost converter

### 6.1.2. Cascade connection of converters



## Example: buck cascaded by boost



## Buck cascaded by boost: simplification of internal filter

remove capacitor $C_{1}$

combine inductors $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$


Noninverting buck-boost converter

## Noninverting buck-boost converter


subinterval 2


## Reversal of output voltage polarity

subinterval 1
noninverting buck-boost


## Reduction of number of switches: inverting buck-boost

subinterval 1
subinterval 2


One side of inductor always connected to ground - hence, only one SPDT switch needed:


$$
\frac{V}{V_{g}}=-\frac{D}{1-D}
$$

## Discussion: cascade connections

- Properties of buck-boost converter follow from its derivation as buck cascaded by boost

Equivalent circuit model: buck 1:D transformer cascaded by boost
$D^{\prime}: 1$ transformer
Pulsating input current of buck converter
Pulsating output current of boost converter

- Other cascade connections are possible

Cuk converter: boost cascaded by buck

### 6.1.3. Rotation of three-terminal cell

Treat inductor and SPDT switch as threeterminal cell:


Three-terminal cell can be connected between source and load in three nontrivial distinct ways:

a-A b-B c-C buck converter<br>a-C b-A c-B boost converter<br>a-A b-C c-B buck-boost converter

## Rotation of a dual three-terminal network

## A capacitor and SPDT

 switch as a threeterminal cell:

Three-terminal cell can be connected between source and load in three nontrivial distinct ways:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a-A b-B c-C } & \text { buck converter with L-C input filter } \\
\text { a-C b-A c-B } & \text { boost converter with L-C output filter } \\
\text { a-A b-C c-B } & \text { Cuk converter }
\end{array}
$$

### 6.1.4. Differential connection of load to obtain bipolar output voltage



Differential load voltage is

$$
V=V_{1}-V_{2}
$$

The outputs $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ may both be positive, but the differential output voltage $V$ can be positive or negative.

## Differential connection using two buck converters



Converter \#1 transistor driven with duty cycle $D$
Converter \#2 transistor driven with duty cycle complement $D^{\prime}$
Differential load voltage is

$$
V=D V_{g}-D^{\prime} V_{g}
$$

Simplify:

$$
V=(2 D-1) V_{g}
$$

## Conversion ratio $M(D)$, differentially-connected buck converters

$$
V=(2 D-1) V_{g}
$$



## Simplification of filter circuit, differentially-connected buck converters



Bypass load directly with capacitor


## Simplification of filter circuit, differentially-connected buck converters

Combine series-connected inductors


## Differential connection to obtain $3 \varnothing$ inverter



With balanced $3 \varnothing$ load, neutral voltage is

$$
V_{n}=\frac{1}{3}\left(V_{1}+V_{2}+V_{3}\right)
$$

Phase voltages are

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{a n} & =V_{1}-V_{n} \\
V_{b n} & =V_{2}-V_{n} \\
V_{c n} & =V_{3}-V_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Control converters such that their output voltages contain the same dc biases. This dc bias will appear at the neutral point Vn. It then cancels out, so phase voltages contain no dc bias.

## $3 \varnothing$ differential connection of three buck converters



## $3 \varnothing$ differential connection of three buck converters

Re-draw for clarity:

"Voltage-source inverter" or buck-derived three-phase inverter

### 6.2. A short list of converters

An infinite number of converters are possible, which contain switches embedded in a network of inductors and capacitors

Two simple classes of converters are listed here:

- Single-input single-output converters containing a single inductor. The switching period is divided into two subintervals. This class contains eight converters.
- Single-input single-output converters containing two inductors. The switching period is divided into two subintervals. Several of the more interesting members of this class are listed.


## Single-input single-output converters containing one inductor

- Use switches to connect inductor between source and load, in one manner during first subinterval and in another during second subinterval
- There are a limited number of ways to do this, so all possible combinations can be found
- After elimination of degenerate and redundant cases, eight converters are found:
dc-dc converters
buck boost buck-boost noninverting buck-boost
dc-ac converters
bridge Watkins-Johnson
ac-dc converters
current-fed bridge inverse of Watkins-Johnson


## Converters producing a unipolar output voltage

> 1. Buck

2. Boost




## Converters producing a unipolar output voltage



## Converters producing a bipolar output voltage suitable as dc-ac inverters



6. Watkins-Johnson
$M(D)=\frac{2 D-1}{D}$



## Converters producing a bipolar output voltage suitable as ac-dc rectifiers





Several members of the class of two-inductor converters

2. SEPIC




Chapter 6: Converter circuits

Several members of the class of two-inductor converters





### 6.3. Transformer isolation

Objectives:

- Isolation of input and output ground connections, to meet safety requirements
- Reduction of transformer size by incorporating high frequency isolation transformer inside converter
- Minimization of current and voltage stresses when a large step-up or step-down conversion ratio is needed use transformer turns ratio
- Obtain multiple output voltages via multiple transformer secondary windings and multiple converter secondary circuits


## A simple transformer model



Equivalent circuit model


## The magnetizing inductance $L_{M}$

- Models magnetization of transformer core material
- Appears effectively in parallel with windings
- If all secondary windings are disconnected, then primary winding behaves as an inductor, equal to the magnetizing inductance
- At dc: magnetizing inductance tends to short-circuit. Transformers cannot pass dc voltages
- Transformer saturates when magnetizing current $i_{M}$ is too large

Transformer core B-H characteristic


## Volt-second balance in $L_{M}$

The magnetizing inductance is a real inductor, obeying

$$
v_{1}(t)=L_{M} \frac{d i_{M}(t)}{d t}
$$

integrate:

$$
i_{M}(t)-i_{M}(0)=\frac{1}{L_{M}} \int_{0}^{t} v_{1}(\tau) d \tau
$$

Magnetizing current is determined by integral of the applied winding voltage. The magnetizing current and the winding currents are independent quantities. Volt-second balance applies: in steady-state, $i_{M}\left(T_{s}\right)=i_{M}(0)$, and hence


$$
0=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} v_{1}(t) d t
$$

## Transformer reset

- "Transformer reset" is the mechanism by which magnetizing inductance volt-second balance is obtained
- The need to reset the transformer volt-seconds to zero by the end of each switching period adds considerable complexity to converters
- To understand operation of transformer-isolated converters:
- replace transformer by equivalent circuit model containing magnetizing inductance
- analyze converter as usual, treating magnetizing inductance as any other inductor
- apply volt-second balance to all converter inductors, including magnetizing inductance


### 6.3.1. Full-bridge and half-bridge isolated buck converters

Full-bridge isolated buck converter


## Full-bridge, with transformer equivalent circuit



## Full-bridge: waveforms



Fundamentals of Power Electronics

- During first switching period: transistors $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{4}$ conduct for time $D T_{s}$, applying voltseconds $V_{g} D T_{s}$ to primary winding
- During next switching period: transistors $Q_{2}$ and $Q_{3}$ conduct for time $D T_{s}$, applying voltseconds $-V_{g} D T_{s}$ to primary winding
- Transformer volt-second balance is obtained over two switching periods
- Effect of nonidealities?


## Effect of nonidealities on transformer volt-second balance

Volt-seconds applied to primary winding during first switching period:
$\left(V_{g}-\left(Q_{1}\right.\right.$ and $Q_{4}$ forward voltage drops $\left.)\right)\left(Q_{1}\right.$ and $Q_{4}$ conduction time $)$
Volt-seconds applied to primary winding during next switching period:

- $\left(V_{g}-\left(Q_{2}\right.\right.$ and $Q_{3}$ forward voltage drops $\left.)\right)\left(Q_{2}\right.$ and $Q_{3}$ conduction time $)$

These volt-seconds never add to exactly zero.
Net volt-seconds are applied to primary winding
Magnetizing current slowly increases in magnitude
Saturation can be prevented by placing a capacitor in series with primary, or by use of current programmed mode (chapter 11)

## Operation of secondary-side diodes



- During second ( $D^{\prime}$ ) subinterval, both secondary-side diodes conduct
- Output filter inductor current divides approximately equally between diodes
- Secondary amp-turns add to approximately zero
- Essentially no net magnetization of transformer core by secondary winding currents


## Volt-second balance on output filter inductor



## Half-bridge isolated buck converter



- Replace transistors $Q_{3}$ and $Q_{4}$ with large capacitors
- Voltage at capacitor centerpoint is $0.5 V_{g}$
- $v_{s}(t)$ is reduced by a factor of two
- $M=0.5 n D$


### 6.3.2. Forward converter



- Buck-derived transformer-isolated converter
- Single-transistor and two-transistor versions
- Maximum duty cycle is limited
- Transformer is reset while transistor is off


## Forward converter with transformer equivalent circuit



## Forward converter: waveforms



- Magnetizing current, in conjunction with diode $D_{l}$, operates in discontinuous conduction mode
- Output filter inductor, in conjunction with diode $D_{3}$, may operate in either CCM or DCM


## Subinterval 1: transistor conducts



## Subinterval 2: transformer reset



## Subinterval 3



## Magnetizing inductance volt-second balance



$$
\left\langle v_{1}\right\rangle=D\left(V_{g}\right)+D_{2}\left(-V_{g} n_{1} / n_{2}\right)+D_{3}(0)=0
$$

## Transformer reset

From magnetizing current volt-second balance:

$$
\left\langle v_{1}\right\rangle=D\left(V_{g}\right)+D_{2}\left(-V_{g} n_{1} / n_{2}\right)+D_{3}(0)=0
$$

Solve for $D_{2}$ :

$$
D_{2}=\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} D
$$

$D_{3}$ cannot be negative. But $D_{3}=1-D-D_{2}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{3}=1-D-D_{2} \geq 0 \\
& D_{3}=1-D\left(1+\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}}\right) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Solve for $D$

$$
D \leq \frac{1}{1+\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}}} \quad \text { for } n_{l}=n_{2}: \quad D \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

## What happens when $D>0.5$

magnetizing current waveforms,
for $n_{1}=n_{2}$


## Conversion ratio $M(D)$



$$
\left\langle v_{D 3}\right\rangle=V=\frac{n_{3}}{n_{1}} D V_{g}
$$

## Maximum duty cycle vs. transistor voltage stress

Maximum duty cycle limited to

$$
D \leq \frac{1}{1+\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}}}
$$

which can be increased by increasing the turns ratio $n_{2} / n_{1}$. But this increases the peak transistor voltage:

$$
\max v_{Q 1}=V_{g}\left(1+\frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}}\right)
$$

For $n_{1}=n_{2}$

$$
D \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \max v_{Q 1}=2 V_{g}
$$

## The two-transistor forward converter



### 6.3.3. Push-pull isolated buck converter



## Waveforms: push-pull



- Used with low-voltage inputs
- Secondary-side circuit identical to full bridge
- As in full bridge, transformer volt-second balance is obtained over two switching periods
- Effect of nonidealities on transformer volt-second balance?
- Current programmed control can be used to mitigate transformer saturation problems. Duty cycle control not recommended.


### 6.3.4. Flyback converter

buck-boost converter:

construct inductor winding using two parallel wires:


## Derivation of flyback converter, cont.

## Isolate inductor

 windings: the flyback converter

Flyback converter having a 1:n turns ratio and positive output:


## The "flyback transformer"



- A two-winding inductor
- Symbol is same as transformer, but function differs significantly from ideal transformer
- Energy is stored in magnetizing inductance
- Magnetizing inductance is relatively small
- Current does not simultaneously flow in primary and secondary windings
- Instantaneous winding voltages follow turns ratio
- Instantaneous (and rms) winding currents do not follow turns ratio
- Model as (small) magnetizing inductance in parallel with ideal transformer


## Subinterval 1



CCM: small ripple approximation leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{L} & =V_{g} \\
i_{C} & =-\frac{V}{R} \\
i_{g} & =I
\end{aligned}
$$

## Subinterval 2



## CCM Flyback waveforms and solution



## Equivalent circuit model: CCM Flyback

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle v_{L}\right\rangle=D\left(V_{g}\right)+D^{\prime}\left(-\frac{V}{n}\right)=0 \\
& \left\langle i_{c}\right\rangle=D\left(-\frac{V}{R}\right)+D^{\prime}\left(\frac{I}{n}-\frac{V}{R}\right)=0 \\
& I_{g}=\left\langle i_{g}\right\rangle=D(I)+D^{\prime}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Discussion: Flyback converter

- Widely used in low power and/or high voltage applications
- Low parts count
- Multiple outputs are easily obtained, with minimum additional parts
- Cross regulation is inferior to buck-derived isolated converters
- Often operated in discontinuous conduction mode
- DCM analysis: DCM buck-boost with turns ratio


### 6.3.5. Boost-derived isolated converters

- A wide variety of boost-derived isolated dc-dc converters can be derived, by inversion of source and load of buck-derived isolated converters:
- full-bridge and half-bridge isolated boost converters
- inverse of forward converter: the "reverse" converter
- push-pull boost-derived converter

Of these, the full-bridge and push-pull boost-derived isolated converters are the most popular, and are briefly discussed here.

## Full-bridge transformer-isolated boost-derived converter



- Circuit topologies are equivalent to those of nonisolated boost converter
- With 1:1 turns ratio, inductor current $i(t)$ and output current $i_{o}(t)$ waveforms are identical to nonisolated boost converter


## Transformer reset mechanism



- As in full-bridge buck topology, transformer voltsecond balance is obtained over two switching periods.
- During first switching period: transistors $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{4}$ conduct for time $D T_{s}$, applying volt-seconds $V D T_{s}$ to secondary winding.
- During next switching period: transistors $Q_{2}$ and $Q_{3}$ conduct for time $D T_{s}$, applying volt-seconds $-V D T_{s}$ to secondary winding.


## Conversion ratio $M(D)$



Application of volt-second balance to inductor voltage waveform:

$$
\left\langle v_{L}\right\rangle=D\left(V_{g}\right)+D^{\prime}\left(V_{g}-V / n\right)=0
$$

Solve for $M(D)$ :

$$
M(D)=\frac{V}{V_{g}}=\frac{n}{D^{\prime}}
$$

-boost with turns ratio $n$

## Push-pull boost-derived converter



## Push-pull converter based on Watkins-Johnson converter



### 6.3.6. Isolated versions of the SEPIC and Cuk converter

Basic nonisolated SEPIC


Isolated SEPIC


## Isolated SEPIC



## Inverse SEPIC

Nonisolated inverse SEPIC


Isolated inverse SEPIC


## Obtaining isolation in the Cuk converter

Nonisolated Cuk converter


Split capacitor $C_{1}$ into series capacitors $C_{l a}$ and $C_{l b}$


## Isolated Cuk converter

Insert transformer between capacitors $C_{l a}$ and $C_{l b}$

$$
M(D)=\frac{V}{V_{g}}=\frac{n D}{D^{\prime}}
$$



Discussion

- Capacitors $C_{l a}$ and $C_{l b}$ ensure that no dc voltage is applied to transformer primary or secondary windings
- Transformer functions in conventional manner, with small magnetizing current and negligible energy storage within the magnetizing inductance


### 6.4. Converter evaluation and design

For a given application, which converter topology is best?
There is no ultimate converter, perfectly suited for all possible applications

Trade studies

- Rough designs of several converter topologies to meet the given specifications
- An unbiased quantitative comparison of worst-case transistor currents and voltages, transformer size, etc.

Comparison via switch stress, switch utilization, and semiconductor cost

Spreadsheet design

### 6.4.1. Switch stress and switch utilization

- Largest single cost in a converter is usually the cost of the active semiconductor devices
- Conduction and switching losses associated with the active semiconductor devices often dominate the other sources of loss

This suggests evaluating candidate converter approaches by comparing the voltage and current stresses imposed on the active semiconductor devices.

Minimization of total switch stresses leads to reduced loss, and to minimization of the total silicon area required to realize the power devices of the converter.

## Total active switch stress $S$

In a converter having $k$ active semiconductor devices, the total active switch stress $S$ is defined as

$$
S=\sum_{j=1}^{k} V_{j} I_{j}
$$

where
$V_{j}$ is the peak voltage applied to switch $j$,
$I_{j}$ is the rms current applied to switch $j$ (peak current is also sometimes used).

In a good design, the total active switch stress is minimized.

## Active switch utilization $U$

It is desired to minimize the total active switch stress, while maximizing the output power $P_{\text {load }}$.

The active switch utilization $U$ is defined as

$$
U=\frac{P_{\text {load }}}{S}
$$

The active switch utilization is the converter output power obtained per unit of active switch stress. It is a converter figure-of-merit, which measures how well a converter utilizes its semiconductor devices.

Active switch utilization is less than 1 in transformer-isolated converters, and is a quantity to be maximized.

Converters having low switch utilizations require extra active silicon area, and operate with relatively low efficiency.
Active switch utilization is a function of converter operating point.

## CCM flyback example: Determination of $S$

During subinterval 2 , the transistor blocks voltage $V_{Q l, p k}$ equal to $V_{g}$ plus the reflected load voltage:

$$
V_{Q 1, p k}=V_{g}+V / n=\frac{V_{g}}{D^{\prime}}
$$

Transistor current coincides with $i_{g}(t)$. RMS value is

$$
I_{Q 1, r m s}=I \sqrt{D}=\frac{P_{\text {load }}}{V_{g} \sqrt{D}}
$$

Switch stress $S$ is

$$
S=V_{Q 1, p k} I_{Q 1, r m s}=\left(V_{g}+V / n\right)(I \sqrt{D})
$$



## CCM flyback example: Determination of $U$

Express load power $P_{\text {load }}$ in terms of $V$ and $I$ :

$$
P_{\text {load }}=D^{\prime} V \frac{I}{n}
$$

Previously-derived expression for $S$ :


$$
S=V_{Q 1, p k} I_{Q 1, m m s}=\left(V_{g}+V / n\right)(I \sqrt{D})
$$

Hence switch utilization $U$ is

$$
U=\frac{P_{\text {load }}}{S}=D^{\prime} \sqrt{D}
$$

## Flyback example: switch utilization $U(D)$

For given $V, V_{g}, P_{\text {load }}$, the designer can arbitrarily choose $D$. The turns ratio $n$ must then be chosen according to

$$
n=\frac{V}{V_{g}} \frac{D^{\prime}}{D}
$$

Single operating point design: choose $D=1 / 3$.
small $D$ leads to large transistor current
large $D$ leads to large transistor voltage
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## Comparison of switch utilizations of some common converters

Table 6.1. Active switch utilizations of some common dc-dc converters, single operating point.

| Converter | $U(D)$ | $\max U(D)$ | $\max U(D)$ <br> occurs at $D=$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buck | $\sqrt{D}$ | 1 | 1 |
| Boost | $\frac{D^{\prime}}{\sqrt{D}}$ | $\infty$ | 0 |
| Buck-boost, flyback, nonisolated SEPIC, isolated |  |  |  |
| $\quad$SEPIC, nonisolated Cuk, isolated Cuk | $D^{\prime} \sqrt{D}$ | $\frac{2}{3 \sqrt{3}}=0.385$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ |
| Forward, $n_{l}=n_{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{D}$ | $\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}=0.353$ | $\frac{1}{7}$ |
| Other isolated buck-derived converters <br> bridge, half-bridge, push-pull) | (full- | $\frac{\sqrt{D}}{2 \sqrt{2}}$ | $\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}=0.353$ |
| Isolated boost-derived converters <br> push-pull) | full bridge, | $\frac{D^{\prime}}{2 \sqrt{1+D}}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |

## Switch utilization : Discussion

- Increasing the range of operating points leads to reduced switch utilization
- Buck converter
can operate with high switch utilization ( $U$ approaching 1 ) when $D$ is close to 1
- Boost converter
can operate with high switch utilization ( $U$ approaching $\infty$ ) when $D$ is close to 1
- Transformer isolation leads to reduced switch utilization
- Buck-derived transformer-isolated converters
$U \leq 0.353$
should be designed to operate with $D$ as large as other considerations allow
transformer turns ratio can be chosen to optimize design


## Switch utilization: Discussion

- Nonisolated and isolated versions of buck-boost, SEPIC, and Cuk converters
$U \leq 0.385$
Single-operating-point optimum occurs at $D=1 / 3$
Nonisolated converters have lower switch utilizations than buck or boost
Isolation can be obtained without penalizing switch utilization


## Active semiconductor cost vs. switch utilization

$$
\binom{\text { semiconductor cost }}{\text { per } k W \text { output power }}=\frac{\binom{\text { semiconductor device cost }}{\text { per rated } k V A}}{\left(\begin{array}{c}
\text { voltage } \\
\text { derating } \\
\text { factor }
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\text { current } \\
\text { derating } \\
\text { factor }
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\text { converter } \\
\text { switch } \\
\text { utilization }
\end{array}\right)}
$$

(semiconductor device cost per rated kVA ) = cost of device, divided by product of rated blocking voltage and rms current, in $\$ / k V A$. Typical values are less than $\$ 1 / \mathrm{kVA}$
(voltage derating factor) and (current derating factor) are required to obtain reliable operation. Typical derating factors are 0.5-0.75
Typical cost of active semiconductor devices in an isolated dc-dc converter: \$1-\$10 per kW of output power.

### 6.4.2. Converter design using computer spreadsheet

Given ranges of $V_{g}$ and $P_{\text {load }}$, as well as desired value of $V$ and other quantities such as switching frequency, ripple, etc., there are two basic engineering design tasks:

- Compare converter topologies and select the best for the given specifications
- Optimize the design of a given converter

A computer spreadsheet is a very useful tool for this job. The results of the steady-state converter analyses of chapters 1-6 can be entered, and detailed design investigations can be quickly performed:

- Evaluation of worst-case stresses over a range of operating points
- Evaluation of design tradeoffs


## Spreadsheet design example

| Specifications |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| maximum input voltage $V_{g}$ | 390 V |
| minimum input voltage $V_{g}$ | 260 V |
| output voltage $V$ | 15 V |
| maximum load power $P_{\text {load }}$ | 200 W |
| minimum load power $P_{\text {load }}$ | 20 W |
| switching frequency $f_{s}$ | 100 kHz |
| maximum output ripple $\Delta v$ | 0.1 V |

Specifications
maximum input voltage $V_{g}$
minimum input voltage $V_{g}$
output voltage $V$
maximum load power $P_{\text {load }}$
minimum load power $P_{\text {load }}$
switching frequency $f_{s}$
maximum output ripple $\Delta v$

- Input voltage: rectified 230 V rms $\pm 20 \%$
- Regulated output of 15 V
- Rated load power 200W
- Must operate at $10 \%$ load
- Select switching frequency of 100kHz
- Output voltage ripple $\leq 0.1 \mathrm{~V}$

Compare single-transistor forward and flyback converters in this application Specifications are entered at top of spreadsheet

## Forward converter design, CCM



Design variables
reset winding turns ratio $n_{2} / n_{1} \quad 1$
turns ratio $n_{3} / n_{1}$
inductor current ripple $\Delta i$

1
0.125

2 A ref to sec

- Design for CCM at full load; may operate in DCM at light load


## Flyback converter design, CCM



Design variables
turns ratio $n_{2} / n_{1}$
0.125
inductor current ripple $\Delta i$

3A ref to sec

- Design for CCM at full load; may operate in DCM at light load


## Enter results of converter analysis into spreadsheet (Forward converter example)

Maximum duty cycle occurs at minimum $V_{g}$ and maximum $P_{\text {load }}$. Converter then operates in CCM, with

$$
D=\frac{n_{1}}{n_{3}} \frac{V}{V_{g}}
$$

Inductor current ripple is

$$
\Delta i=\frac{D^{\prime} V T_{s}}{2 L}
$$

Solve for $L$ :

$$
L=\frac{D^{\prime} V T_{s}}{2 \Delta i}
$$

$\Delta i$ is a design variable. For a given $\Delta i$, the equation above can be used to determine $L$. To ensure CCM operation at full load, $\Delta i$ should be less than the full-load output current. $C$ can be found in a similar manner.

## Forward converter example, continued

Check for DCM at light load. The solution of the buck converter operating in DCM is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\frac{n_{3}}{n_{1}} V_{g} \frac{2}{\sqrt{1+4 K / D^{2}}} \\
& \text { with } K=2 L / R T_{s}, \text { and } R=V^{2} / P_{\text {load }}
\end{aligned}
$$

These equations apply equally well to the forward converter, provided that all quantities are referred to the transformer secondary side.

Solve for $D$ :

$$
D=\frac{2 \sqrt{K}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{2 n_{3} V_{g}}{n_{1} V}-1\right)^{2}-1}} \text { in DCM } \quad D=\frac{n_{1}}{n_{3}} \frac{V}{V_{g}} \quad \text { in CCM }
$$

at a given operating point, the actual duty cycle is the small of the values calculated by the CCM and DCM equations above. Minimum $D$ occurs at minimum $P_{\text {load }}$ and maximum $V_{g}$.

## More regarding forward converter example

Worst-case component stresses can now be evaluated.
Peak transistor voltage is

$$
\max v_{Q 1}=V_{g}\left(1+\frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}}\right)
$$

Rms transistor current is

$$
I_{Q 1, r m s}=\frac{n_{3}}{n_{1}} \sqrt{D} \sqrt{I^{2}+(\Delta i)^{2} / 3} \approx \frac{n_{3}}{n_{1}} \sqrt{D} I
$$

(this neglects transformer magnetizing current)
Other component stresses can be found in a similar manner.
Magnetics design is left for a later chapter.

## Results: forward and flyback converter spreadsheets



## Discussion: transistor voltage

## Flyback converter

Ideal peak transistor voltage: 510V
Actual peak voltage will be higher, due to ringing causes by transformer leakage inductance

An 800 V or 1000 V MOSFET would have an adequate design margin
Forward converter
Ideal peak transistor voltage: 780V, 53\% greater than flyback
MOSFETs having voltage rating greater than 1000V are not available (in 1995) -when ringing due to transformer leakage inductance is accounted for, this design will have an inadequate design margin

Fix: use two-transistor forward converter, or change reset winding turns ratio

A conclusion: reset mechanism of flyback is superior to forward

## Discussion: rms transistor current

## Forward

1.13A worst-case
transistor utilization 0.226
Flyback
1.38A worst case, $22 \%$ higher than forward
transistor utilization 0.284
CCM flyback exhibits higher peak and rms currents. Currents in DCM flyback are even higher

## Discussion: secondary-side diode and capacitor stresses

Forward
peak diode voltage ..... 49V
rms diode current 9.1A / 11.1A
rms capacitor current 1.15AFlyback
peak diode voltage 64 V
rms diode current 16.3A
peak diode current 22.2A
rms capacitor current 9.1A
Secondary-side currents, especially capacitor currents, limit thepractical application of the flyback converter to situations where the loadcurrent is not too great.

## Summary of key points

1. The boost converter can be viewed as an inverse buck converter, while the buck-boost and Cuk converters arise from cascade connections of buck and boost converters. The properties of these converters are consistent with their origins. Ac outputs can be obtained by differential connection of the load. An infinite number of converters are possible, and several are listed in this chapter.
2. For understanding the operation of most converters containing transformers, the transformer can be modeled as a magnetizing inductance in parallel with an ideal transformer. The magnetizing inductance must obey all of the usual rules for inductors, including the principle of volt-second balance.

## Summary of key points

3. The steady-state behavior of transformer-isolated converters may be understood by first replacing the transformer with the magnetizing-inductance-plus-ideal-transformer equivalent circuit. The techniques developed in the previous chapters can then be applied, including use of inductor volt-second balance and capacitor charge balance to find dc currents and voltages, use of equivalent circuits to model losses and efficiency, and analysis of the discontinuous conduction mode.
4. In the full-bridge, half-bridge, and push-pull isolated versions of the buck and/or boost converters, the transformer frequency is twice the output ripple frequency. The transformer is reset while it transfers energy: the applied voltage polarity alternates on successive switching periods.

## Summary of key points

5. In the conventional forward converter, the transformer is reset while the transistor is off. The transformer magnetizing inductance operates in the discontinuous conduction mode, and the maximum duty cycle is limited.
6. The flyback converter is based on the buck-boost converter. The flyback transformer is actually a two-winding inductor, which stores and transfers energy.
7. The transformer turns ratio is an extra degree-of-freedom which the designer can choose to optimize the converter design. Use of a computer spreadsheet is an effective way to determine how the choice of turns ratio affects the component voltage and current stresses.
8. Total active switch stress, and active switch utilization, are two simplified figures-of-merit which can be used to compare the various converter circuits.

## Part II <br> Converter Dynamics and Control

7. AC equivalent circuit modeling
8. Converter transfer functions
9. Controller design
10. Ac and dc equivalent circuit modeling of the discontinuous conduction mode
11. Current programmed control

## Chapter 7. AC Equivalent Circuit Modeling

7.1. Introduction
7.2. The basic ac modeling approach
7.3. Example: A nonideal flyback converter
7.4. State-space averaging
7.5. Circuit averaging and averaged switch modeling
7.6. The canonical circuit model
7.7. Modeling the pulse-width modulator
7.8. Summary of key points

### 7.1. Introduction

Objective: maintain $v(t)$ equal to an accurate, constant value $V$.

There are disturbances:

- in $v_{g}(t)$
- in $R$

There are uncertainties:

- in element values
- in $V_{g}$
- in $R$

A simple dc-dc regulator system, employing a buck converter
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## Applications of control in power electronics

Dc-dc converters
Regulate dc output voltage.
Control the duty cycle $d(t)$ such that $v(t)$ accurately follows a reference signal $v_{\text {ref }}$

Dc-ac inverters
Regulate an ac output voltage.
Control the duty cycle $d(t)$ such that $v(t)$ accurately follows a reference signal $v_{\text {ref }}(t)$.

Ac-dc rectifiers
Regulate the dc output voltage.
Regulate the ac input current waveform.
Control the duty cycle $d(t)$ such that $i_{g}(t)$ accurately follows a reference signal $i_{\text {ref }}(t)$, and $v(t)$ accurately follows a reference signal $v_{\text {ref }}$.

## Objective of Part II

Develop tools for modeling, analysis, and design of converter control systems

Need dynamic models of converters:
How do ac variations in $v_{g}(t), R$, or $d(t)$ affect the output voltage $\nu(t)$ ?

What are the small-signal transfer functions of the converter?

- Extend the steady-state converter models of Chapters 2 and 3, to include CCM converter dynamics (Chapter 7)
- Construct converter small-signal transfer functions (Chapter 8)
- Design converter control systems (Chapter 9)
- Model converters operating in DCM (Chapter 10)
- Current-programmed control of converters (Chapter 11)


## Modeling

- Representation of physical behavior by mathematical means
- Model dominant behavior of system, ignore other insignificant phenomena
- Simplified model yields physical insight, allowing engineer to design system to operate in specified manner
- Approximations neglect small but complicating phenomena
- After basic insight has been gained, model can be refined (if it is judged worthwhile to expend the engineering effort to do so), to account for some of the previously neglected phenomena


## Neglecting the switching ripple

Suppose the duty cycle is modulated sinusoidally:

$$
d(t)=D+D_{m} \cos \omega_{m} t
$$

where $D$ and $D_{m}$ are constants, $\left|D_{m}\right| \ll D$, and the modulation frequency $\omega_{m}$ is much smaller than the converter switching frequency $\omega_{s}=2 \pi f_{s}$.

The resulting variations in transistor gate drive signal and converter output voltage:


## Output voltage spectrum with sinusoidal modulation of duty cycle



Contains frequency components at:

- Modulation frequency and its harmonics
- Switching frequency and its harmonics
- Sidebands of switching frequency

With small switching ripple, highfrequency components (switching harmonics and sidebands) are small.

If ripple is neglected, then only lowfrequency components (modulation frequency and harmonics) remain.

## Objective of ac converter modeling

- Predict how low-frequency variations in duty cycle induce lowfrequency variations in the converter voltages and currents
- Ignore the switching ripple
- Ignore complicated switching harmonics and sidebands

Approach:

- Remove switching harmonics by averaging all waveforms over one switching period


## Averaging to remove switching ripple

Average over one switching period to remove switching ripple:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L \frac{d\left\langle i_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t} & =\left\langle v_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
C \frac{d\left\langle v_{c}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t} & =\left\langle i_{c}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\left\langle x_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{t}^{t+T_{s}} x(\tau) d \tau
$$

Note that, in steady-state,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle v_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=0 \\
& \left\langle i_{C}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

by inductor volt-second balance and capacitor charge balance.

## Nonlinear averaged equations

The averaged voltages and currents are, in general, nonlinear functions of the converter duty cycle, voltages, and currents. Hence, the averaged equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
L \frac{d\left\langle i_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t} & =\left\langle v_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
C \frac{d\left\langle v_{C}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t} & =\left\langle i_{C}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

constitute a system of nonlinear differential equations.
Hence, must linearize by constructing a small-signal converter model.

## Small-signal modeling of the BJT

Nonlinear Ebers-Moll model


Linearized small-signal model, active region


## Buck-boost converter: nonlinear static control-to-output characteristic



Example: linearization at the quiescent operating point

$$
D=0.5
$$

## Result of averaged small-signal ac modeling

Small-signal ac equivalent circuit model


### 7.2. The basic ac modeling approach

## Buck-boost converter example



## Switch in position 1

Inductor voltage and capacitor current are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}(t)=L \frac{d i(t)}{d t}=v_{g}(t) \\
& i_{C}(t)=C \frac{d v(t)}{d t}=-\frac{v(t)}{R}
\end{aligned}
$$



Small ripple approximation: replace waveforms with their low-frequency averaged values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}(t)=L \frac{d i(t)}{d t} \approx\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& i_{C}(t)=C \frac{d v(t)}{d t} \approx-\frac{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Switch in position 2

Inductor voltage and capacitor current are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}(t)=L \frac{d i(t)}{d t}=v(t) \\
& i_{C}(t)=C \frac{d v(t)}{d t}=-i(t)-\frac{v(t)}{R}
\end{aligned}
$$



Small ripple approximation: replace waveforms with their low-frequency averaged values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}(t)=L \frac{d i(t)}{d t} \approx\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& i_{C}(t)=C \frac{d v(t)}{d t} \approx-\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}-\frac{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.2.1 Averaging the inductor waveforms

Inductor voltage waveform
Low-frequency average is found by evaluation of

$$
\left\langle x_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{t}^{t+T_{s}} x(\tau) d \tau
$$

Average the inductor voltage

$$
0 v_{L}(t) \uparrow \frac{\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\frac{\left\langle v_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d\left\langle v_{s}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}+d^{\prime}\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\operatorname{lT}_{s}} \frac{T_{s}}{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}}
$$ in this manner:

$$
\left\langle v_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{t}^{t+T_{s}} v_{L}(\tau) d \tau \approx d(t)\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}+d^{\prime}(t)\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

Insert into Eq. (7.2):

$$
L \frac{d\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t}=d(t)\left\langle v_{s}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}+d^{\prime}(t)\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

This equation describes how the low-frequency components of the inductor waveforms evolve in time.
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### 7.2.2 Discussion of the averaging approximation

Use of the average inductor voltage allows us to determine the net change in inductor current over one switching period, while neglecting the switching ripple.

In steady-state, the average inductor voltage is zero (volt-second balance), and hence the inductor current waveform is periodic: $i\left(t+T_{s}\right)=i(t)$. There is no net change in inductor current over one switching period.

During transients or ac variations, the average inductor voltage is not zero in general, and this leads to net variations in inductor current.


## Net change in inductor current is correctly predicted by the average inductor voltage

Inductor equation:

$$
L \frac{d i(t)}{d t}=v_{L}(t)
$$

Divide by $L$ and integrate over one switching period:

$$
\int_{t}^{t+T_{s}} d i=\frac{1}{L} \int_{t}^{t+T_{s}} v_{L}(\tau) d \tau
$$

Left-hand side is the change in inductor current. Right-hand side can be related to average inductor voltage by multiplying and dividing by $T_{s}$ as follows:

$$
i\left(t+T_{s}\right)-i(t)=\frac{1}{L} T_{s}\left\langle v_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

So the net change in inductor current over one switching period is exactly equal to the period $T_{s}$ multiplied by the average slope $\left\langle v_{L}\right\rangle_{T_{s}} / L$.

## Average inductor voltage correctly predicts average slope of $i_{L}(t)$



The net change in inductor current over one switching period is exactly equal to the period $T_{s}$ multiplied by the average slope $\left\langle v_{L}\right\rangle_{T_{s}} / L$.

$$
\frac{d\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t}
$$

We have

$$
i\left(t+T_{s}\right)-i(t)=\frac{1}{L} T_{s}\left\langle v_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

Rearrange:

$$
L \frac{i\left(t+T_{s}\right)-i(t)}{T_{s}}=\left\langle v_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

Define the derivative of $\langle i\rangle_{T_{s}}$ as (Euler formula):

$$
\frac{d\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t}=\frac{i\left(t+T_{s}\right)-i(t)}{T_{s}}
$$

Hence,

$$
L \frac{d\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t}=\left\langle v_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

## Computing how the inductor current changes over one switching period

Let's compute the actual inductor current waveform, using the linear ripple approximation.

$\begin{aligned} & \text { With switch in } \\ & \text { position 1: }\end{aligned} \underbrace{i\left(d T_{s}\right)}_{\text {(final value) })}=\underbrace{i(0)}_{\text {(initial value) }+ \text { (length of interval) (average slope) }}+\underbrace{\text { ( }}_{\left(\frac{\left.d T_{s}\right)}{\left(\frac{\left\langle v_{s}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{L}\right)}\right.}$

With switch in position 2:

$$
\underbrace{i\left(T_{s}\right)}=\underbrace{i\left(d T_{s}\right)}+\underbrace{\left(d^{\prime} T_{s}\right)}+\underbrace{\left(\frac{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{L}\right)}
$$

$($ final value $)=($ initial value $)+($ length of interval $)($ average slope $)$

## Net change in inductor current over one switching period

Eliminate $i\left(d T_{s}\right)$, to express $i\left(T_{s}\right)$ directly as a function of $i(0)$ :

$$
i\left(T_{s}\right)=i(0)+\frac{T_{s}}{L} \underbrace{\left(d(t)\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}+d^{\prime}(t)\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right)}_{\left\langle v_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}
$$

The intermediate step of computing $i\left(d T_{s}\right)$ is eliminated.
The final value $i\left(T_{s}\right)$ is equal to the initial value $i(0)$, plus the switching period Ts multiplied by the average slope $\left\langle v_{L}\right\rangle_{T_{s}} / L$.


Chapter 7: AC equivalent circuit modeling

### 7.2.3 Averaging the capacitor waveforms

Average capacitor current:

$$
\left\langle i_{c}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d(t)\left(-\frac{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{R}\right)+d^{\prime}(t)\left(-\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}-\frac{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{R}\right)
$$

Collect terms, and equate to $C d\langle v\rangle_{T_{s}} / d t$ :

$$
C \frac{d\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t}=-d^{\prime}(t)\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}-\frac{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{R}
$$




Capacitor voltage and current waveforms

### 7.2.4 The average input current

We found in Chapter 3 that it was sometimes necessary to write an equation for the average converter input current, to derive a complete dc equivalent circuit model. It is likewise necessary to do this for the ac model.

Buck-boost input current waveform is

$$
i_{g}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & \text { during subinterval } 1 \\
0 & \text { during subinterval } 2
\end{array}\right.
$$



Converter input current waveform

Average value:

$$
\left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d(t)\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

### 7.2.5. Perturbation and linearization

Converter averaged equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L \frac{d\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t} & =d(t)\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}+d^{\prime}(t)\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
C \frac{d\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t} & =-d^{\prime}(t)\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}-\frac{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{R} \\
\left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =d(t)\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

—nonlinear because of multiplication of the time-varying quantity $d(t)$ with other time-varying quantities such as $i(t)$ and $v(t)$.

## Construct small-signal model: Linearize about quiescent operating point

If the converter is driven with some steady-state, or quiescent, inputs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d(t)=D \\
& \left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=V_{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

then, from the analysis of Chapter 2, after transients have subsided the inductor current, capacitor voltage, and input current

$$
\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}^{\prime}}\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

reach the quiescent values $I, V$, and $I_{g}$, given by the steady-state analysis as

$$
\begin{aligned}
V & =-\frac{D}{D^{\prime}} V_{g} \\
I & =-\frac{V}{D^{\prime} R} \\
I_{g} & =D I
\end{aligned}
$$

## Perturbation

So let us assume that the input voltage and duty cycle are equal to some given (dc) quiescent values, plus superimposed small ac variations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =V_{g}+\hat{v}_{g}(t) \\
d(t) & =D+\hat{d}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

In response, and after any transients have subsided, the converter dependent voltages and currents will be equal to the corresponding quiescent values, plus small ac variations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}=I+\hat{i}(t) \\
\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}=V+\hat{v}(t) \\
\left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=I_{g}+\hat{i}_{g}(t)
\end{gathered}
$$

## The small-signal assumption

If the ac variations are much smaller in magnitude than the respective quiescent values,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\hat{v}_{g}(t)\right| \ll\left|V_{g}\right| \\
&|\hat{d}(t)| \ll|D| \\
&|\hat{i}(t)| \ll|I| \\
&|\hat{v}(t)| \ll|V| \\
&\left|\hat{i}_{g}(t)\right| \ll\left|I_{g}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

then the nonlinear converter equations can be linearized.

## Perturbation of inductor equation

Insert the perturbed expressions into the inductor differential equation:

$$
L \frac{d(I+\hat{i}(t))}{d t}=(D+\hat{d}(t))\left(V_{g}+\hat{v}_{g}(t)\right)+\left(D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t)\right)(V+\hat{v}(t))
$$

note that $d^{\prime}(t)$ is given by

$$
d^{\prime}(t)=(1-d(t))=1-(D+\hat{d}(t))=D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t) \quad \text { with } D^{\prime}=1-D
$$

Multiply out and collect terms:

$$
L\left(\frac{\vec{d}^{0}}{d t}+\frac{d \hat{i}(t)}{d t}\right)=\underbrace{\left(D V_{g}+D^{\prime} V\right)}_{\text {Dc terms }}+\underbrace{\left(D \hat{v}_{g}(t)+D^{\prime} \hat{v}(t)+\left(V_{g}-V\right) \hat{d}(t)\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
1^{s t} \text { order ac terms } \\
(\text { linear })
\end{array}}+\underbrace{\hat{d}(t)\left(\hat{v}_{g}(t)-\hat{v}(t)\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
2^{\text {nd }} \begin{array}{c}
\text { order ac terms } \\
(\text { nonlinear })
\end{array}
\end{array}}
$$

## The perturbed inductor equation

$$
L\left(\frac{\hat{d}^{0}}{d t}+\frac{d \hat{i}(t)}{d t}\right)=\underbrace{\left(D V_{g}+D^{\prime} V\right)}_{D c \text { terms }}+\underbrace{(\text { linear })}_{1^{\text {st }} \text { order ac terms }} \begin{array}{|}
\left(D \hat{v}_{g}(t)+D^{\prime} \hat{v}(t)+\left(V_{g}-V\right) \hat{d}(t)\right)
\end{array} \underbrace{\hat{d}(t)\left(\hat{v}_{g}(t)-\hat{v}(t)\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
2^{\text {nd }} \begin{array}{c}
\text { order ac terms } \\
(\text { nonlinear })
\end{array}
\end{array}}
$$

Since $I$ is a constant (dc) term, its derivative is zero
The right-hand side contains three types of terms:

- Dc terms, containing only dc quantities
- First-order ac terms, containing a single ac quantity, usually multiplied by a constant coefficient such as a dc term. These are linear functions of the ac variations
- Second-order ac terms, containing products of ac quantities. These are nonlinear, because they involve multiplication of ac quantities


## Neglect of second-order terms

$$
L\left(\frac{\vec{d}^{0}}{d t}+\frac{d \hat{i}(t)}{d t}\right)=\underbrace{\left(D V_{g}+D^{\prime} V\right)}_{\text {Dc terms }}+\underbrace{(\text { linear })}_{1^{\text {st }} \text { order ac terms }} \begin{array}{|}
\left(D \hat{v}_{g}(t)+D^{\prime} \hat{v}(t)+\left(V_{g}-V\right) \hat{d}(t)\right)
\end{array} \underbrace{\hat{d(t)\left(\hat{v}_{g}(t)-\hat{v}(t)\right)}}_{\begin{array}{c}
2^{\text {nd }} \begin{array}{c}
\text { order ac terms } \\
(\text { nonlinear })
\end{array}
\end{array}}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Provided } & \left|\hat{v}_{g}(t)\right| \ll\left|V_{g}\right| \\
& |\hat{d}(t)| \ll|D| \\
& |\hat{i}(t)| \ll|I| \\
& |\hat{v}(t)| \ll|V| \\
& \left|\hat{i}_{g}(t)\right| \ll\left|I_{g}\right|
\end{array}
$$

## Linearized inductor equation

Upon discarding second-order terms, and removing dc terms (which add to zero), we are left with

$$
L \frac{d \hat{i}(t)}{d t}=D \hat{v}_{g}(t)+D^{\prime} \hat{v}(t)+\left(V_{g}-V\right) \hat{d}(t)
$$

This is the desired result: a linearized equation which describes smallsignal ac variations.

Note that the quiescent values $D, D^{\prime}, V, V_{g}$, are treated as given constants in the equation.

## Capacitor equation

Perturbation leads to

$$
C \frac{d(V+\hat{v}(t))}{d t}=-\left(D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t)\right)(I+\hat{i}(t))-\frac{(V+\hat{v}(t))}{R}
$$

Collect terms:

$$
C\left(\frac{d \nabla^{0}}{d t}+\frac{d \hat{\nu}(t)}{d t}\right)=\underbrace{\left(-D^{\prime} I-\frac{V}{R}\right)}_{D c \text { terms }}+\underbrace{\left(-D^{\prime} \hat{i}(t)-\frac{\hat{v}(t)}{R}+I \hat{d}(t)\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
1^{s t} \text { order ac terms } \\
(\text { linear })
\end{array}}+\underbrace{\hat{d}(t) \hat{i}(t)}_{\begin{array}{c}
2^{\text {nd }} \begin{array}{c}
\text { order ac term } \\
(\text { nonlinear })
\end{array}
\end{array}}
$$

Neglect second-order terms. Dc terms on both sides of equation are equal. The following terms remain:

$$
C \frac{d \hat{v}(t)}{d t}=-D^{\prime} \hat{i}(t)-\frac{\hat{v}(t)}{R}+I \hat{d}(t)
$$

This is the desired small-signal linearized capacitor equation.

## Average input current

Perturbation leads to

$$
I_{g}+\hat{i}_{g}(t)=(D+\hat{d}(t))(I+\hat{i}(t))
$$

Collect terms:

$$
\underbrace{I_{g}}+\underbrace{\hat{i}_{g}(t)}=\underbrace{(D I)}+\underbrace{(D \hat{i}(t)+I \hat{d}(t))}+\underbrace{\hat{d}(t) \hat{i}(t)}
$$

Dc term $\quad 1^{\text {st }}$ order ac term $\quad$ Dc term $\quad \begin{gathered}1^{\text {st }} \text { order ac terms } \\ \text { (linear) }\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}2^{\text {nd }} \text { order ac term } \\ \text { (nonlinear) }\end{gathered}$
Neglect second-order terms. Dc terms on both sides of equation are equal. The following first-order terms remain:

$$
\hat{i}_{g}(t)=D \hat{i}(t)+I \hat{d}(t)
$$

This is the linearized small-signal equation which described the converter input port.

### 7.2.6. Construction of small-signal equivalent circuit model

The linearized small-signal converter equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L \frac{d \hat{i}(t)}{d t} & =D \hat{v}_{g}(t)+D^{\prime} \hat{v}(t)+\left(V_{g}-V\right) \hat{d}(t) \\
C \frac{d \hat{v}(t)}{d t} & =-D^{\prime} \hat{i}(t)-\frac{\hat{v}(t)}{R}+I \hat{d}(t) \\
\hat{i}_{g}(t) & =D \hat{i}(t)+I \hat{d}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Reconstruct equivalent circuit corresponding to these equations, in manner similar to the process used in Chapter 3.

## Inductor loop equation

$$
L \frac{d \hat{i}(t)}{d t}=D \hat{v}_{g}(t)+D^{\prime} \hat{v}(t)+\left(V_{g}-V\right) \hat{d}(t)
$$



## Capacitor node equation

$$
C \frac{d \hat{v}(t)}{d t}=-D^{\prime} \hat{i}(t)-\frac{\hat{v}(t)}{R}+I \hat{d}(t)
$$



## Input port node equation

$$
\hat{i}_{g}(t)=D \hat{i}(t)+I \hat{d}(t)
$$



## Complete equivalent circuit



Replace dependent sources with ideal dc transformers:


Small-signal ac equivalent circuit model of the buck-boost converter

### 7.2.7. Results for several basic converters



## Results for several basic converters

buck-boost


### 7.3. Example: a nonideal flyback converter

Flyback converter example


- MOSFET has onresistance $R_{\text {on }}$
- Flyback transformer has magnetizing inductance $L$, referred to primary


## Circuits during subintervals 1 and 2

Flyback converter, with transformer equivalent circuit


## Subinterval 1



Subinterval 2


## Subinterval 1

Circuit equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{L}(t) & =v_{g}(t)-i(t) R_{o n} \\
i_{C}(t) & =-\frac{v(t)}{R} \\
i_{g}(t) & =i(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Small ripple approximation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}(t)=\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} R_{o n} \\
& i_{C}(t)=-\frac{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{R} \\
& i_{g}(t)=\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$



MOSFET conducts, diode is reverse-biased

## Subinterval 2

Circuit equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}(t)=-\frac{v(t)}{n} \\
& i_{C}(t)=-\frac{i(t)}{n}-\frac{v(t)}{R} \\
& i_{g}(t)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Small ripple approximation:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{L}(t)=-\frac{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{n} \\
& i_{C}(t)=-\frac{\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{n}-\frac{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{R} \\
& i_{g}(t)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

MOSFET is off, diode conducts

## Inductor waveforms



Average inductor voltage:

$$
\left\langle v_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d(t)\left(\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} R_{o n}\right)+d^{\prime}(t)\left(\frac{-\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{n}\right)
$$

Hence, we can write:

$$
L \frac{d\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t}=d(t)\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-d(t)\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} R_{o n}-d^{\prime}(t) \frac{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{n}
$$

## Capacitor waveforms



Average capacitor current:

$$
\left\langle i_{c}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d(t)\left(\frac{-\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{R}\right)+d^{\prime}(t)\left(\frac{\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{n}-\frac{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{R}\right)
$$

Hence, we can write:

$$
C \frac{d\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t}=d^{\prime}(t) \frac{\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{n}-\frac{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{R}
$$

## Input current waveform



Average input current:

$$
\left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d(t)\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

## The averaged converter equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
L \frac{d\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t} & =d(t)\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-d(t)\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} R_{o n}-d^{\prime}(t) \frac{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{n} \\
C \frac{d\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t} & =d^{\prime}(t) \frac{\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{n}-\frac{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{R} \\
\left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =d(t)\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- a system of nonlinear differential equations

Next step: perturbation and linearization. Let

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =V_{g}+\hat{v}_{g}(t) & \langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & =I+\hat{i}(t) \\
d(t)=D+\hat{d}(t) & \langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & =V+\hat{v}(t) \\
& \left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =I_{g}+\hat{i}_{g}(t)
\end{array}
$$

## Perturbation of the averaged inductor equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
L \frac{d\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t}=d(t)\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-d(t)\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} R_{o n}-d^{\prime}(t) \frac{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{n} \\
L \frac{d(I+\hat{i}(t))}{d t}=(D+\hat{d}(t))\left(V_{g}+\hat{v}_{g}(t)\right)-\left(D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t)\right) \frac{(V+\hat{v}(t))}{n}-(D+\hat{d}(t))(I+\hat{i}(t)) R_{o n} \\
L\left(\frac{\overline{U I I}^{0}}{d t}+\frac{d \hat{i}(t)}{d t}\right)=\underbrace{\left(D V_{g}-D^{\prime} \frac{V}{n}-D R_{o n} I\right)}_{D c \text { terms }}+\underbrace{+\underbrace{\left(\hat{d}(t) \hat{v}_{g}(t)+\hat{d}(t) \frac{\hat{v}(t)}{n}-\hat{d}(t) \hat{i}(t) R_{o n}\right)}_{2^{\text {st }} \operatorname{order} \text { ac terms }(\text { linear })}}_{\underbrace{\left(D \hat{v}_{g}(t)-D^{\prime} \frac{\hat{v}(t)}{n}+\left(V_{g}+\frac{V}{n}-I R_{o n}\right) \hat{d}(t)-D R_{o n} \hat{i}(t)\right)}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Linearization of averaged inductor equation

Dc terms:

$$
0=D V_{g}-D^{\prime} \frac{V}{n}-D R_{o n} I
$$

Second-order terms are small when the small-signal assumption is satisfied. The remaining first-order terms are:

$$
L \frac{d \hat{i}(t)}{d t}=D \hat{v}_{g}(t)-D^{\prime} \frac{\hat{v}(t)}{n}+\left(V_{g}+\frac{V}{n}-I R_{o n}\right) \hat{d}(t)-D R_{o n} \hat{i}(t)
$$

This is the desired linearized inductor equation.

## Perturbation of averaged capacitor equation

Original averaged equation:

$$
C \frac{d\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t}=d^{\prime}(t) \frac{\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{n}-\frac{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{R}
$$

Perturb about quiescent operating point:

$$
C \frac{d(V+\hat{v}(t))}{d t}=\left(D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t)\right) \frac{(I+\hat{i}(t))}{n}-\frac{(V+\hat{v}(t))}{R}
$$

Collect terms:

$$
C\left(\frac{d V^{0}}{d t}+\frac{d \hat{v}(t)}{d t}\right)=\underbrace{\left(\frac{D^{\prime} I}{n}-\frac{V}{R}\right)}_{D c \text { terms }}+\underbrace{\left(\frac{D^{\prime} \hat{i}(t)}{n}-\frac{\hat{v}(t)}{R}-\frac{I \hat{d}(t)}{n}\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
1^{\text {st }} \begin{array}{c}
\text { order ac terms } \\
(\text { linear })
\end{array}
\end{array}}-\underbrace{2^{\text {nd }} \begin{array}{c}
\text { order ac term } \\
\text { (nonlinear) }
\end{array}}
$$

## Linearization of averaged capacitor equation

Dc terms:

$$
0=\left(\frac{D^{\prime} I}{n}-\frac{V}{R}\right)
$$

Second-order terms are small when the small-signal assumption is satisfied. The remaining first-order terms are:

$$
C \frac{d \hat{v}(t)}{d t}=\frac{D^{\prime} \hat{i}(t)}{n}-\frac{\hat{v}(t)}{R}-\frac{I \hat{d}(t)}{n}
$$

This is the desired linearized capacitor equation.

## Perturbation of averaged input current equation

Original averaged equation:

$$
\left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d(t)\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

Perturb about quiescent operating point:

$$
I_{g}+\hat{i}_{g}(t)=(D+\hat{d}(t))(I+\hat{i}(t))
$$

Collect terms:

$$
\underbrace{I_{g}}_{\text {Dc term }}+\underbrace{\hat{i}_{g}(t)}_{1^{\text {st }} \text { order ac term }}=\underbrace{(D I)}_{\text {Dc term }}+\underbrace{(D \hat{i}(t)+I \hat{d}(t))}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { st } \text { order ac terms } \\
(\text { linear })
\end{array}}+\underbrace{}_{\begin{array}{c}
2^{\text {nd }} \begin{array}{c}
\text { order ac term } \\
(\text { nonlinear })
\end{array}
\end{array} \hat{\hat{d}(t) \hat{i}(t)}}
$$

## Linearization of averaged input current equation

Dc terms:

$$
I_{g}=D I
$$

Second-order terms are small when the small-signal assumption is satisfied. The remaining first-order terms are:

$$
\hat{i}_{s}(t)=D \hat{i}(t)+I \hat{d}(t)
$$

This is the desired linearized input current equation.

## Summary: dc and small-signal ac converter equations

Dc equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=D V_{g}-D^{\prime} \frac{V}{n}-D R_{o n} I \\
& 0=\left(\frac{D^{\prime} I}{n}-\frac{V}{R}\right) \\
& I_{g}=D I
\end{aligned}
$$

Small-signal ac equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L \frac{d \hat{i}(t)}{d t}=D \hat{\nu}_{g}(t)-D^{\prime \hat{v}(t)} \\
& n
\end{aligned}+\left(V_{g}+\frac{V}{n}-I R_{o n}\right) \hat{d}(t)-D R_{o n} \hat{i}(t) ~ 子 \begin{aligned}
C \frac{d \hat{v}(t)}{d t} & =\frac{D^{\prime} \hat{i}(t)}{n}-\frac{\hat{v}(t)}{R}-\frac{I \hat{d}(t)}{n} \\
\hat{i}_{g}(t) & =D \hat{i}(t)+I \hat{d}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next step: construct equivalent circuit models.

## Small-signal ac equivalent circuit: inductor loop

$$
L \frac{d \hat{i}(t)}{d t}=D \hat{v}_{g}(t)-D^{\prime} \frac{\hat{v}(t)}{n}+\left(V_{g}+\frac{V}{n}-I R_{o n}\right) \hat{d}(t)-D R_{o n} \hat{i}(t)
$$



## Small-signal ac equivalent circuit: capacitor node

$$
C \frac{d \hat{v}(t)}{d t}=\frac{D^{\prime} \hat{i}(t)}{n}-\frac{\hat{v}(t)}{R}-\frac{I \hat{d}(t)}{n}
$$



## Small-signal ac equivalent circuit: converter input node

$$
\hat{i}_{g}(t)=D \hat{i}(t)+I \hat{d}(t)
$$



## Small-signal ac model, nonideal flyback converter example



Replace dependent sources with ideal transformers:


### 7.4. State Space Averaging

- A formal method for deriving the small-signal ac equations of a switching converter
- Equivalent to the modeling method of the previous sections
- Uses the state-space matrix description of linear circuits
- Often cited in the literature
- A general approach: if the state equations of the converter can be written for each subinterval, then the small-signal averaged model can always be derived
- Computer programs exist which utilize the state-space averaging method


### 7.4.1. The state equations of a network

- A canonical form for writing the differential equations of a system
- If the system is linear, then the derivatives of the state variables are expressed as linear combinations of the system independent inputs and state variables themselves
- The physical state variables of a system are usually associated with the storage of energy
- For a typical converter circuit, the physical state variables are the inductor currents and capacitor voltages
- Other typical physical state variables: position and velocity of a motor shaft
- At a given point in time, the values of the state variables depend on the previous history of the system, rather than the present values of the system inputs
- To solve the differential equations of a system, the initial values of the state variables must be specified


## State equations of a linear system, in matrix form

A canonical matrix form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{K} \frac{d \mathbf{x}(t)}{d t}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}(t) \\
& \mathbf{y}(t)=\mathbf{C} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{E} \mathbf{u}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

State vector $\mathbf{x}(t)$ contains inductor currents, capacitor voltages, etc.:

$$
\mathbf{x}(t)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}(t) \\
x_{2}(t) \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right], \quad \frac{d \mathbf{x}(t)}{d t}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{d x_{1}(t)}{d t} \\
\frac{d x_{2}(t)}{d t} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right]
$$

Input vector $\mathbf{u}(t)$ contains independent sources such as $v_{g}(t)$
Output vector $\mathbf{y}(t)$ contains other dependent quantities to be computed, such as $i_{g}(t)$
Matrix K contains values of capacitance, inductance, and mutual inductance, so that $\mathbf{K} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} / \mathrm{d} t$ is a vector containing capacitor currents and inductor winding voltages. These quantities are expressed as linear combinations of the independent inputs and state variables. The matrices A, $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$, and $\mathbf{E}$ contain the constants of proportionality.

## Example

State vector


Matrix K

$$
\mathbf{K}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & C_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & L
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { Input vector } \\
\mathbf{u}(t)=\left\lfloor i_{i n}(t)\right\rfloor
\end{gathered}
$$

Choose output vector as

$$
\mathbf{y}(t)=\left|\begin{array}{l}
v_{\text {out }}(t) \\
i_{R 1}(t)
\end{array}\right|
$$

To write the state equations of this circuit, we must express the inductor voltages and capacitor currents as linear combinations of the elements of the $\mathbf{x}(t)$ and $\mathbf{u}(t)$ vectors.

## Circuit equations



Find $i_{C l}$ via node equation: $\quad i_{C 1}(t)=C_{1} \frac{d v_{1}(t)}{d t}=i_{i n}(t)-\frac{v_{1}(t)}{R}-i(t)$
Find $i_{C 2}$ via node equation: $\quad i_{C 2}(t)=C_{2} \frac{d v_{2}(t)}{d t}=i(t)-\frac{v_{2}(t)}{R_{2}+R_{3}}$
Find $v_{L}$ via loop equation: $\quad v_{L}(t)=L \frac{d i(t)}{d t}=v_{1}(t)-v_{2}(t)$

## Equations in matrix form

The same equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{C 1}(t)=C_{1} \frac{d v_{1}(t)}{d t}=i_{\text {in }}(t)-\frac{v_{1}(t)}{R}-i(t) \\
& i_{C 2}(t)=C_{2} \frac{d v_{2}(t)}{d t}=i(t)-\frac{v_{2}(t)}{R_{2}+R_{3}} \\
& v_{L}(t)=L \frac{d i(t)}{d t}=v_{1}(t)-v_{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Express in matrix form:

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & C_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & L
\end{array}\right]} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{d v_{1}(t)}{d t} \\
\frac{d v_{2}(t)}{d t} \\
\frac{d i(t)}{d t}
\end{array}\right]} & =\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-\frac{1}{R_{1}} & 0 & -1 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{R_{2}+R_{3}} & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right]}+\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{1}(t) \\
v_{2}(t) \\
i(t)
\end{array}\right]}+\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left.i_{i n}(t)\right]
\end{array}\right.} \\
\mathbf{K} & \mathbf{A}(t)+\mathbf{B}
\end{array} \mathbf{\mathbf { u } ( t )} \begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
d \mathbf{x}(t) \\
d t
\end{array}\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Output (dependent signal) equations



Express elements of the vector $\mathbf{y}$ as linear combinations of elements of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{u}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{\text {out }}(t)=v_{2}(t) \frac{R_{3}}{R_{2}+R_{3}} \\
& i_{R 1}(t)=\frac{v_{1}(t)}{R_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Express in matrix form

The same equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{\text {out }}(t)=v_{2}(t) \frac{R_{3}}{R_{2}+R_{3}} \\
& i_{R 1}(t)=\frac{v_{1}(t)}{R_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Express in matrix form:

$$
\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{\text {out }}(t) \\
i_{R 1}(t)
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{y}(t)}=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \frac{R_{3}}{R_{2}+R_{3}} & 0 \\
\frac{1}{R_{1}} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{C}} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{1}(t) \\
v_{2}(t) \\
i(t)
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{E} \quad \mathbf{u}(t)}+\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]} \underbrace{\left[i_{\text {in }}(t)\right]}
$$

### 7.4.2. The basic state-space averaged model

Given: a PWM converter, operating in continuous conduction mode, with two subintervals during each switching period.

During subinterval 1, when the switches are in position 1, the converter reduces to a linear circuit that can be described by the following state equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K} \frac{d \mathbf{x}(t)}{d t} & =\mathbf{A}_{1} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{B}_{1} \mathbf{u}(t) \\
\mathbf{y}(t) & =\mathbf{C}_{1} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{E}_{1} \mathbf{u}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

During subinterval 2, when the switches are in position 2, the converter reduces to another linear circuit, that can be described by the following state equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K} \frac{d \mathbf{x}(t)}{d t} & =\mathbf{A}_{2} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{B}_{2} \mathbf{u}(t) \\
\mathbf{y}(t) & =\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{E}_{2} \mathbf{u}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equilibrium (dc) state-space averaged model

Provided that the natural frequencies of the converter, as well as the frequencies of variations of the converter inputs, are much slower than the switching frequency, then the state-space averaged model that describes the converter in equilibrium is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{0} & =\mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{B} \mathbf{U} \\
\mathbf{Y} & =\mathbf{C} \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{E} \mathbf{U}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the averaged matrices are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{A}=D \mathbf{A}_{1}+D^{\prime} \mathbf{A}_{2} \\
& \mathbf{B}=D \mathbf{B}_{1}+D^{\prime} \mathbf{B}_{2} \\
& \mathbf{C}=D \mathbf{C}_{1}+D^{\prime} \mathbf{C}_{2} \\
& \mathbf{E}=D \mathbf{E}_{1}+D^{\prime} \mathbf{E}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the equilibrium dc components are
$\mathbf{X}=$ equilibrium (dc) state vector
$\mathbf{U}=$ equilibrium (dc) input vector
$\mathbf{Y}=$ equilibrium (dc) output vector
$D=$ equilibrium (dc) duty cycle

## Solution of equilibrium averaged model

Equilibrium state-space averaged model:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{0} & =\mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{B} \mathbf{U} \\
\mathbf{Y} & =\mathbf{C} \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{E} \mathbf{U}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution for $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{X}=-\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{U} \\
& \mathbf{Y}=\left(-\mathbf{C A}^{-1} \mathbf{B}+\mathbf{E}\right) \mathbf{U}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Small-signal ac state-space averaged model

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K} \frac{d \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)}{d t} & =\mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)+\mathbf{B} \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)+\left\{\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right) \mathbf{X}+\left(\mathbf{B}_{1}-\mathbf{B}_{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\right\} \hat{d}(t) \\
\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) & =\mathbf{C} \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)+\mathbf{E} \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)+\left\{\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}-\mathbf{C}_{2}\right) \mathbf{X}+\left(\mathbf{E}_{1}-\mathbf{E}_{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\right\} \hat{d}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)=\text { small }-\operatorname{signal}(a c) \text { perturbation in state vector } \\
& \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)=\text { small }-\operatorname{signal}(a c) \text { perturbation in input vector } \\
& \hat{\mathbf{y}}(t)=\text { small }-\operatorname{signal}(\mathrm{ac}) \text { perturbation in output vector } \\
& \hat{d}(t)=\text { small }-\operatorname{signal}(\mathrm{ac}) \text { perturbation in duty cycle }
\end{aligned}
$$

So if we can write the converter state equations during subintervals 1 and 2 , then we can always find the averaged dc and small-signal ac models

### 7.4.3. Discussion of the state-space averaging result

As in Sections 7.1 and 7.2, the low-frequency components of the inductor currents and capacitor voltages are modeled by averaging over an interval of length $T_{s}$. Hence, we define the average of the state vector as:

$$
\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{t}^{t+T_{s}} \mathbf{x}(\tau) d \tau
$$

The low-frequency components of the input and output vectors are modeled in a similar manner.

By averaging the inductor voltages and capacitor currents, one obtains:

$$
\mathbf{K} \frac{d\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t}=\left(d(t) \mathbf{A}_{1}+d^{\prime}(t) \mathbf{A}_{2}\right)\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left(d(t) \mathbf{B}_{1}+d^{\prime}(t) \mathbf{B}_{2}\right)\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

## Change in state vector during first subinterval

During subinterval 1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K} \frac{d \mathbf{x}(t)}{d t} & =\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{B}_{1} \mathbf{u}(t) \\
\mathbf{y}(t) & =\mathbf{C}_{1} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{u}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

So the elements of $\mathbf{x}(t)$ change with the slope

$$
\frac{d \mathbf{x}(t)}{d t}=\mathbf{K}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{A}_{1} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{B}_{1} \mathbf{u}(t)\right)
$$

Small ripple assumption: the elements of $\mathbf{x}(t)$ and $\mathbf{u}(t)$ do not change significantly during the subinterval. Hence the slopes are essentially constant and are equal to

$$
\frac{d \mathbf{x}(t)}{d t}=\mathbf{K}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right)
$$

## Change in state vector during first subinterval

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \mathbf{x}(t)}{d t}=\mathbf{K}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right) \\
& \mathbf{x}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underbrace{\mathbf{x}\left(d T_{s}\right)}_{\text {slope }}=\underbrace{\mathbf{x}(0)}+\underbrace{\left(d T_{s}\right)} \mathbf{K}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right) \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { final initial interval } \\
\text { value value length }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Change in state vector during second subinterval

Use similar arguments.
State vector now changes with the essentially constant slope

$$
\frac{d \mathbf{x}(t)}{d t}=\mathbf{K}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{A}_{2}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{B}_{2}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right)
$$

The value of the state vector at the end of the second subinterval is therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underbrace{\mathbf{x}\left(T_{s}\right)}=\underbrace{\mathbf{x}\left(d T_{s}\right)}+\underbrace{\left(d^{\prime} T_{s}\right)}_{\text {slope }} \underbrace{\mathbf{K}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{A}_{2}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{B}_{2}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right)} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { final initial interval } \\
\text { value value length }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Net change in state vector over one switching period

We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}\left(d T_{s}\right)=\mathbf{x}(0)+\left(d T_{s}\right) \mathbf{K}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{B}_{1}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right) \\
& \mathbf{x}\left(T_{s}\right)=\mathbf{x}\left(d T_{s}\right)+\left(d^{\prime} T_{s}\right) \mathbf{K}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{A}_{2}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{B}_{2}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Eliminate $\mathbf{x}\left(d T_{s}\right)$, to express $\mathbf{x}\left(T_{s}\right)$ directly in terms of $\mathbf{x}(0)$ :

$$
\mathbf{x}\left(T_{s}\right)=\mathbf{x}(0)+d T_{s} \mathbf{K}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{B}_{1}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right)+d^{\prime} T_{s} \mathbf{K}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{A}_{2}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{B}_{2}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right)
$$

Collect terms:

$$
\mathbf{x}\left(T_{s}\right)=\mathbf{x}(0)+T_{s} \mathbf{K}^{-1}\left(d(t) \mathbf{A}_{1}+d^{\prime}(t) \mathbf{A}_{2}\right)\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+T_{s} \mathbf{K}^{-1}\left(d(t) \mathbf{B}_{1}+d^{\prime}(t) \mathbf{B}_{2}\right)\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

## Approximate derivative of state vector



Use Euler approximation:

$$
\frac{d\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t} \approx \frac{\mathbf{x}\left(T_{s}\right)-\mathbf{x}(0)}{T_{s}}
$$

We obtain:

$$
\mathbf{K} \frac{d\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t}=\left(d(t) \mathbf{A}_{1}+d^{\prime}(t) \mathbf{A}_{2}\right)\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left(d(t) \mathbf{B}_{1}+d^{\prime}(t) \mathbf{B}_{2}\right)\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

## Low-frequency components of output vector



Remove switching harmonics by averaging over one switching period:

$$
\langle\mathbf{y}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}=d(t)\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right)+d^{\prime}(t)\left(\mathbf{C}_{2}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{E}_{2}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right)
$$

Collect terms:

$$
\langle\mathbf{y}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left(d(t) \mathbf{C}_{1}+d^{\prime}(t) \mathbf{C}_{2}\right)\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left(d(t) \mathbf{E}_{1}+d^{\prime}(t) \mathbf{E}_{2}\right)\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

## Averaged state equations: quiescent operating point

The averaged (nonlinear) state equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K} \frac{d\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t} & =\left(d(t) \mathbf{A}_{1}+d^{\prime}(t) \mathbf{A}_{2}\right)\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left(d(t) \mathbf{B}_{1}+d^{\prime}(t) \mathbf{B}_{2}\right)\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\langle\mathbf{y}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\left(d(t) \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}+d^{\prime}(t) \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left(d(t) \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}}+d^{\prime}(t) \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The converter operates in equilibrium when the derivatives of all elements of $\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}$ are zero. Hence, the converter quiescent operating point is the solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{0} & =\mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{B} \mathbf{U} \\
\mathbf{Y} & =\mathbf{C} \mathbf{X}+\mathbf{E} \mathbf{U}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{A}=D \mathbf{A}_{1}+D^{\prime} \mathbf{A}_{2} & \text { and } \\
\mathbf{B}=D \mathbf{B}_{1}+D^{\prime} \mathbf{B}_{2} & \\
\mathbf{C}=D \mathbf{C}_{1}+D^{\prime} \mathbf{C}_{2} & \mathbf{U}=\text { equilibrium }(d c) \text { state vector } \\
\mathbf{E}=D \mathbf{E}_{1}+D^{\prime} \mathbf{E}_{2} & \\
\mathbf{Y}=\text { equilibrium }(d c) \text { input vector } \\
& D=\text { equilibrium }(d c) \text { duty cycter }
\end{array}
$$

Averaged state equations: perturbation and linearization

Let | $\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}$ | $=\mathbf{X}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ | with | $\\|\mathbf{U}\\| \gg\\|\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)\\|$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}$ | $=\mathbf{U}+\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)$ |  | $D$ |
| $\langle\mathbf{y}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}$ | $=\mathbf{Y}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t)$ |  | $>\hat{d}(t) \mid$ |
| $d(t)$ | $=D+\hat{d}(t) \Rightarrow d^{\prime}(t)=D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t)$ |  | $\\|\mathbf{X}\\| \gg\\|\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\\|$ |
|  |  |  |  |

Substitute into averaged state equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K} \frac{d(\mathbf{X}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))}{d t} & =\left((D+\hat{d}(t)) \mathbf{A}_{1}+\left(D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t)\right) \mathbf{A}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{X}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \\
& +\left((D+\hat{d}(t)) \mathbf{B}_{1}+\left(D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t)\right) \mathbf{B}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{U}+\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)) \\
(\mathbf{Y}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t)) & =\left((D+\hat{d}(t)) \mathbf{C}_{1}+\left(D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t)\right) \mathbf{C}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{X}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \\
& +\left((D+\hat{d}(t)) \mathbf{E}_{1}+\left(D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t)\right) \mathbf{E}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{U}+\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t))
\end{aligned}
$$

## Averaged state equations: perturbation and linearization

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underbrace{\mathbf{K} \frac{d \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)}{d t}}=\underbrace{(\mathbf{A X}+\mathbf{B U})}+\underbrace{\mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)+\mathbf{B} \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)+\left\{\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right) \mathbf{X}+\left(\mathbf{B}_{1}-\mathbf{B}_{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\right\} \hat{d}(t)} \\
& \text { first-order ac determs first-order ac terms } \\
& +\underbrace{\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right) \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) \hat{d}(t)+\left(\mathbf{B}_{1}-\mathbf{B}_{2}\right) \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) \hat{d}(t)}_{\text {second-order nonlinear terms }} \\
& \underbrace{(\mathbf{Y}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t))}=\underbrace{(\mathbf{C X}+\mathbf{E U})}+\mathbf{C} \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)+\mathbf{E} \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)+\left\{\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}-\mathbf{C}_{2}\right) \mathbf{X}+\left(\mathbf{E}_{1}-\mathbf{E}_{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\right\} \hat{d}(t) \\
& d c+1 \text { st order ac de terms first-order ac terms } \\
& +\underbrace{\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}-\mathbf{C}_{2}\right) \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) \hat{d}(t)+\left(\mathbf{E}_{1}-\mathbf{E}_{2}\right) \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) \hat{d}(t)}_{\text {second-order nonlinear terms }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linearized small-signal state equations

Dc terms drop out of equations. Second-order (nonlinear) terms are small when the small-signal assumption is satisfied. We are left with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K} \frac{d \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)}{d t} & =\mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)+\mathbf{B} \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)+\left\{\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right) \mathbf{X}+\left(\mathbf{B}_{1}-\mathbf{B}_{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\right\} \hat{d}(t) \\
\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) & =\mathbf{C} \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)+\mathbf{E} \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)+\left\{\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}-\mathbf{C}_{2}\right) \mathbf{X}+\left(\mathbf{E}_{1}-\mathbf{E}_{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\right\} \hat{d}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the desired result.

### 7.4.4. Example: State-space averaging of a nonideal buck-boost converter



Model nonidealities:

- MOSFET onresistance $R_{\text {on }}$
- Diode forward voltage drop $V_{D}$
state vector

$$
\mathbf{x}(t)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
i(t) \\
v(t)
\end{array}\right]
$$

input vector
$\mathbf{u}(t)=\left[\begin{array}{c}v_{g}(t) \\ V_{D}\end{array}\right]$
output vector

$$
\mathbf{y}(t)=\left[i_{g}(t)\right]
$$

## Subinterval 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L \frac{d i(t)}{d t}=v_{g}(t)-i(t) R_{o n} \\
& C \frac{d v(t)}{d t}=-\frac{v(t)}{R} \\
& i_{g}(t)=i(t) \\
& \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
L & 0 \\
0 & C
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{K}} \underbrace{\frac{d}{d t}}_{\frac{d \mathbf{x}(t)}{d t}} \begin{array}{c}
i(t) \\
v(t)
\end{array}] . \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-R_{\text {on }} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{R}
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
i(t) \\
v(t)
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{x}(t)}+\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{g}(t) \\
V_{D}
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{u}(t)} \\
& \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{l}
i_{g}(t)
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{y}(t)}=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{l}
i(t) \\
v(t)
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{x}(t)}+\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}}} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{g}(t) \\
V_{D}
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{u}(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Subinterval 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L \frac{d i(t)}{d t}=v(t)-V_{D} \\
& C \frac{d v(t)}{d t}=-\frac{v(t)}{R}-i(t) \\
& i_{g}(t)=0 \\
& \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
L & 0 \\
0 & C
\end{array}\right]} \underbrace{\frac{d}{d t}\left[\begin{array}{l}
i(t) \\
v(t)
\end{array}\right]}=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & -\frac{1}{R}
\end{array}\right]} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{l}
i(t) \\
v(t)
\end{array}\right]}+\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{g}(t) \\
V_{D}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& \begin{array}{llllll}
\mathbf{K} & \frac{d \mathbf{x}(t)}{d t} & \mathbf{A}_{2} & \mathbf{x}(t) & \mathbf{B}_{2} & \mathbf{u}(t)
\end{array} \\
& \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{l}
i_{g}(t)
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{y}(t)}=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{C}_{2}} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{l}
i(t) \\
v(t)
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{x}(t)}+\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{E}_{2}} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{g}(t) \\
V_{D}
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{u}(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Evaluate averaged matrices

$$
\mathbf{A}=D \mathbf{A}_{1}+D^{\prime} \mathbf{A}_{2}=D\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-R_{o n} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{R}
\end{array}\right]+D^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & -\frac{1}{R}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-D R_{o n} & D^{\prime} \\
-D^{\prime} & -\frac{1}{R}
\end{array}\right]
$$

In a similar manner,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{B}=D \mathbf{B}_{1}+D^{\prime} \mathbf{B}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D & -D^{\prime} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathbf{C}=D \mathbf{C}_{1}+D^{\prime} \mathbf{C}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
D & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathbf{E}=D \mathbf{E}_{1}+D^{\prime} \mathbf{E}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## DC state equations

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathbf{0}=\mathbf{A X}+\mathbf{B} \mathbf{U} \\
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{C X}+\mathbf{E} \mathbf{U} & \text { or, } & {\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]} & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-D R_{o n} & D^{\prime} \\
-D^{\prime} & -\frac{1}{R}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
I \\
V
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D & -D^{\prime} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{g} \\
V_{D}
\end{array}\right] \\
{\left[I_{g}\right]} & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
D & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
I \\
V
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{g} \\
V_{D}
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}
$$

DC solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
I \\
V
\end{array}\right]=\left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{D}{D^{\prime 2}} \frac{R_{o n}}{R}}\right)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{D}{D^{\prime 2} R} & \frac{1}{D^{\prime} R} \\
-\frac{D}{D^{\prime}} & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
V_{g} \\
V_{D}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[I_{g}\right]=\left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{D}{D^{\prime 2}} \frac{R_{o n}}{R}}\right)\left[\frac{D^{2}}{D^{\prime 2} R} \frac{D}{D^{\prime} R}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
V_{g} \\
V_{D}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Steady-state equivalent circuit

DC state equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-D R_{o n} & D^{\prime} \\
-D^{\prime} & -\frac{1}{R}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
I \\
V
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D & -D^{\prime} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{g} \\
V_{D}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[I_{g}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
D & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
I \\
V
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
V_{g} \\
V_{D}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corresponding equivalent circuit:


## Small-signal ac model

Evaluate matrices in small-signal model:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbf{A}_{1}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right) \mathbf{X}+\left(\mathbf{B}_{1}-\mathbf{B}_{2}\right) \mathbf{U}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-V \\
I
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
V_{g}-I R_{o n}+V_{D} \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
V_{g}-V-I R_{o n}+V_{D} \\
I
\end{array}\right] \\
& \left(\mathbf{C}_{1}-\mathbf{C}_{2}\right) \mathbf{X}+\left(\mathbf{E}_{1}-\mathbf{E}_{2}\right) \mathbf{U}=[I]
\end{aligned}
$$

Small-signal ac state equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
L & 0 \\
0 & C
\end{array}\right] \frac{d}{d t}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\hat{i}(t) \\
\hat{v}(t)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-D R_{o n} & D^{\prime} \\
-D^{\prime} & -\frac{1}{R}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\hat{i}(t) \\
\hat{v}(t)
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D & -D^{\prime} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\hat{v}_{g}(t) \\
\hat{v}_{D}^{(0)}(t)
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
V_{g}-V-I R_{o n}+V_{D} \\
I
\end{array}\right] \hat{d}(t)} \\
& {\left[\hat{i}_{g}(t)\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
D & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\hat{i}(t) \\
\hat{v}(t)
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\hat{v}_{g}(t) \\
\hat{v}_{D}^{\prime}(t)
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
I
\end{array}\right] \hat{d}(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Construction of ac equivalent circuit

Small-signal ac equations, in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L \frac{d \hat{i}(t)}{d t}=D^{\prime} \hat{v}(t)-D R_{o n} \hat{i}(t)+D \hat{v}_{g}(t)+\left(V_{g}-V-I R_{o n}+V_{D}\right) \hat{d}(t) \\
& C \frac{d \hat{v}(t)}{d t}=-D^{\prime} \hat{i}(t)-\frac{\hat{v}(t)}{R}+I \hat{d}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

scalar form:

$$
\hat{i}_{g}(t)=D \hat{i}(t)+I \hat{d}(t)
$$

Corresponding equivalent circuits:


## Complete small-signal ac equivalent circuit

Combine individual circuits to obtain


### 7.5. Circuit Averaging and Averaged Switch Modeling

- Historically, circuit averaging was the first method known for modeling the small-signal ac behavior of CCM PWM converters
- It was originally thought to be difficult to apply in some cases
- There has been renewed interest in circuit averaging and its corrolary, averaged switch modeling, in the last decade
- Can be applied to a wide variety of converters
- We will use it to model DCM, CPM, and resonant converters
- Also useful for incorporating switching loss into ac model of CCM converters
- Applicable to $3 \varnothing$ PWM inverters and rectifiers
- Can be applied to phase-controlled rectifiers
- Rather than averaging and linearizing the converter state equations, the averaging and linearization operations are performed directly on the converter circuit


## Separate switch network from remainder of converter



## Boost converter example

Ideal boost converter example


Two ways to define the switch network
(a)

(b)


## Discussion

- The number of ports in the switch network is less than or equal to the number of SPST switches
- Simple dc-dc case, in which converter contains two SPST switches: switch network contains two ports
The switch network terminal waveforms are then the port voltages and currents: $v_{1}(t), i_{1}(t), v_{2}(t)$, and $i_{2}(t)$.
Two of these waveforms can be taken as independent inputs to the switch network; the remaining two waveforms are then viewed as dependent outputs of the switch network.
- Definition of the switch network terminal quantities is not unique. Different definitions lead equivalent results having different forms


## Boost converter example

Let's use definition (a):


Since $i_{1}(t)$ and $v_{2}(t)$ coincide with the converter inductor current and output voltage, it is convenient to define these waveforms as the independent inputs to the switch network. The switch network dependent outputs are then $v_{1}(t)$ and $i_{2}(t)$.

## Obtaining a time-invariant network: Modeling the terminal behavior of the switch network

Replace the switch network with dependent sources, which correctly represent the dependent output waveforms of the switch network


Boost converter example

## Definition of dependent generator waveforms




The waveforms of the dependent generators are defined to be identical to the actual terminal waveforms of the switch network.

The circuit is therefore electrical identical to the original converter.

So far, no approximations have been made.

## The circuit averaging step

Now average all waveforms over one switching period:


## The averaging step

The basic assumption is made that the natural time constants of the converter are much longer than the switching period, so that the converter contains low-pass filtering of the switching harmonics. One may average the waveforms over an interval that is short compared to the system natural time constants, without significantly altering the system response. In particular, averaging over the switching period $T_{s}$ removes the switching harmonics, while preserving the low-frequency components of the waveforms.

In practice, the only work needed for this step is to average the switch dependent waveforms.

## Averaging step: boost converter example



## Compute average values of dependent sources



Average the waveforms of the dependent sources:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d^{\prime}(t)\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& \left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d^{\prime}(t)\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Perturb and linearize

As usual, let:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =V_{g}+\hat{v}_{g}(t) \\
d(t) & =D+\hat{d}(t) \Rightarrow d^{\prime}(t)=D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t) \\
\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=I+\hat{i}(t) \\
\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=V+\hat{v}(t) \\
\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =V_{1}+\hat{v}_{1}(t) \\
\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =I_{2}+\hat{i}_{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

The circuit becomes:


## Dependent voltage source

$$
\left(D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t)\right)(V+\hat{v}(t))=D^{\prime}(V+\hat{v}(t))-V \hat{d}(t)-\hat{v}(t) \hat{\alpha}(t)
$$

nonlinear, 2nd order


## Dependent current source

$$
\left(D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t)\right)(I+\hat{i}(t))=D^{\prime}(I+\hat{i}(t))-I \hat{d}(t)-\hat{i}(t) \hat{d}(t)
$$



## Linearized circuit-averaged model



## Summary: Circuit averaging method

Model the switch network with equivalent voltage and current sources, such that an equivalent time-invariant network is obtained

Average converter waveforms over one switching period, to remove the switching harmonics

Perturb and linearize the resulting low-frequency model, to obtain a small-signal equivalent circuit

## Averaged switch modeling: CCM

Circuit averaging of the boost converter: in essence, the switch network was replaced with an effective ideal transformer and generators:


## Basic functions performed by switch network



For the boost example, we can conclude that the switch network performs two basic functions:

- Transformation of dc and small-signal ac voltage and current levels, according to the $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}: 1$ conversion ratio
- Introduction of ac voltage and current variations, drive by the control input duty cycle variations

Circuit averaging modifies only the switch network. Hence, to obtain a smallsignal converter model, we need only replace the switch network with its averaged model. Such a procedure is called averaged switch modeling.

## Averaged switch modeling: Procedure

1. Define a switch network and its terminal waveforms. For a simple transistor-diode switch network as in the buck, boost, etc., there are two ports and four terminal quantities: $v_{1}$, $i_{1}, v_{2}, i_{2}$. The switch network also contains a control input $d$. Buck example:
2. To derive an averaged switch model, express the average values of two of the terminal quantities, for example $\left\langle v_{2}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$ and $\left\langle i_{1}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$, as functions of the other average terminal quantities $\left\langle v_{1}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$ and $\left\langle i_{1}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$. $\left\langle v_{2}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$ and $\left\langle i_{1}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$ may also be functions of the control input $d$, but they should not be expressed in terms of other converter signals.

## The basic buck-type CCM switch cell



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d(t)\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& \left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d(t)\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Replacement of switch network by dependent sources, CCM buck example



Perturbation and linearization of switch network: $I_{1}+\hat{i}_{1}(t)=D\left(I_{2}+\hat{i}_{2}(t)\right)+I_{2} \hat{d}(t)$
$V_{2}+\hat{v}_{2}(t)=D\left(V_{1}+\hat{v}_{1}(t)\right)+V_{1} \hat{d}(t)$


Resulting averaged switch model: CCM buck converter


## Three basic switch networks, and their CCM dc and small-signal ac averaged switch models


see also
Appendix 3 Averaged switch modeling of a CCM SEPIC


## Example: Averaged switch modeling of CCM buck converter, including switching loss



## Averaging $i_{1}(t)$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} i_{1}(t) d t \\
& =\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left(\frac{t_{1}+t_{v f}+t_{v r}+\frac{1}{2} t_{i r}+\frac{1}{2} t_{i f}}{T_{s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Expression for $\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle$

Given

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} i_{1}(t) d t \\
& =\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left(\frac{t_{1}+t_{v f}+t_{v r}+\frac{1}{2} t_{i r}+\frac{1}{2} t_{i f}}{T_{s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d=\left(\frac{t_{1}+\frac{1}{2} t_{v f}+\frac{1}{2} t_{v r}+\frac{1}{2} t_{i r}+\frac{1}{2} t_{i f}}{T_{s}}\right) \\
& d_{v}=\left(\frac{t_{v f}+t_{v r}}{T_{s}}\right) \\
& d_{i}=\left(\frac{t_{i r}+t_{i f}}{T_{s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we can write

$$
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left(d+\frac{1}{2} d_{v}\right)
$$

## Averaging the switch network output voltage $v_{2}(t)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle v_{1}(t)-v_{C E}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}}\left(-v_{C E}(t)\right) d t+\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& \left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left(\frac{t_{1}+\frac{1}{2} t_{v f}+\frac{1}{2} t_{v r}}{T_{s}}\right) \\
& \left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left(d-\frac{1}{2} d_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Construction of large-signal averaged-switch model



## Switching loss predicted by averaged switch model



$$
P_{s w}=\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{v}+d_{i}\right)\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

## Solution of averaged converter model in steady state



Output voltage:

$$
V=\left(D-\frac{1}{2} D_{i}\right) V_{g}=D V_{g}\left(1-\frac{D_{i}}{2 D}\right)
$$

Efficiency calcuation:
$P_{i n}=V_{g} I_{1}=V_{1} I_{2}\left(D+\frac{1}{2} D_{v}\right)$
$P_{\text {out }}=V I_{2}=V_{1} I_{2}\left(D-\frac{1}{2} D_{i}\right)$
$\eta=\frac{P_{\text {out }}}{P_{\text {in }}}=\frac{\left(D-\frac{1}{2} D_{i}\right)}{\left(D+\frac{1}{2} D_{v}\right)}=\frac{\left(1-\frac{D_{i}}{2 D}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{D_{v}}{2 D}\right)}$

### 7.6. The canonical circuit model

All PWM CCM dc-dc converters perform the same basic functions:

- Transformation of voltage and current levels, ideally with $100 \%$ efficiency
- Low-pass filtering of waveforms
- Control of waveforms by variation of duty cycle

Hence, we expect their equivalent circuit models to be qualitatively similar.

Canonical model:

- A standard form of equivalent circuit model, which represents the above physical properties
- Plug in parameter values for a given specific converter


### 7.6.1. Development of the canonical circuit model

1. Transformation of $d c$ voltage and current levels

- modeled as in Chapter 3 with ideal dc transformer
- effective turns ratio $M(D)$
- can refine dc model by addition of effective loss elements, as in Chapter 3



## Steps in the development of the canonical circuit model

2. Ac variations in $v_{g}(t)$ induce ac variations in $v(t)$

- these variations are also transformed by the conversion ratio $M(D)$



## Steps in the development of the canonical circuit model

3. Converter must contain an effective lowpass filter characteristic

- necessary to filter switching ripple
- also filters ac variations
- effective filter



## Steps in the development of the canonical circuit model


4. Control input variations also induce ac variations in converter waveforms

- Independent sources represent effects of variations in duty cycle
- Can push all sources to input side as shown. Sources may then become frequency-dependent


## Transfer functions predicted by canonical model



Line-to-output transfer function: $\quad G_{v g}(s)=\frac{\hat{v}(s)}{\hat{v}_{g}(s)}=M(D) H_{e}(s)$
Control-to-output transfer function: $\quad G_{v d}(s)=\frac{\hat{\hat{f}}(s)}{\hat{d}(s)}=e(s) M(D) H_{e}(s)$

### 7.6.2. Example: manipulation of the buck-boost converter model into canonical form

Small-signal ac model of the buck-boost converter


- Push independent sources to input side of transformers
- Push inductor to output side of transformers
- Combine transformers


## Step 1

Push voltage source through 1:D transformer Move current source through D':1 transformer


## Step 2

How to move the current source past the inductor:
Break ground connection of current source, and connect to node $A$ instead.
Connect an identical current source from node A to ground, so that the node equations are unchanged.


## Step 3

The parallel-connected current source and inductor can now be replaced by a Thevenin-equivalent network:


## Step 4

Now push current source through 1:D transformer.
Push current source past voltage source, again by:
Breaking ground connection of current source, and connecting to node B instead.
Connecting an identical current source from node B to ground, so that the node equations are unchanged.
Note that the resulting parallel-connected voltage and current sources are equivalent to a single voltage source.


## Step 5: final result

Push voltage source through 1:D transformer, and combine with existing input-side transformer.

Combine series-connected transformers.


## Coefficient of control-input voltage generator

Voltage source coefficient is:

$$
e(s)=\frac{V_{g}+V}{D}-\frac{s L I}{D D^{\prime}}
$$

Simplification, using dc relations, leads to

$$
e(s)=-\frac{V}{D^{2}}\left(1-\frac{s D L}{D^{\prime 2} R}\right)
$$

Pushing the sources past the inductor causes the generator to become frequency-dependent.

### 7.6.3. Canonical circuit parameters for some common converters



Table 7.1. Canonical model parameters for the ideal buck, boost, and buck-boost converters

| Converter | $M(D)$ | $L_{e}$ | $e(s)$ | $j(s)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buck | $D$ | $L$ | $\frac{V}{D^{2}}$ | $\frac{V}{R}$ |
| Boost | $\frac{1}{D^{\prime}}$ | $\frac{L}{D^{\prime 2}}$ | $V\left(1-\frac{s L}{D^{\prime 2} R}\right)$ | $\frac{V}{D^{\prime 2} R}$ |
| Buck-boost | $-\frac{D}{D^{\prime}}$ | $\frac{L}{D^{\prime 2}}$ | $-\frac{V}{D^{2}}\left(1-\frac{s D L}{D^{\prime 2} R}\right)$ | $-\frac{V}{D^{\prime 2} R}$ |

### 7.7. Modeling the pulse-width modulator



## A simple pulse-width modulator



## Equation of pulse-width modulator

For a linear sawtooth waveform:

$$
d(t)=\frac{v_{c}(t)}{V_{M}} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq v_{c}(t) \leq V_{M}
$$

So $d(t)$ is a linear function of $v_{c}(t)$.


## Perturbed equation of pulse-width modulator

PWM equation:

$$
d(t)=\frac{v_{c}(t)}{V_{M}} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq v_{c}(t) \leq V_{M}
$$

Perturb:

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{c}(t) & =V_{c}+\hat{v}_{c}(t) \\
d(t) & =D+\hat{d}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Result:

$$
D+\hat{d}(t)=\frac{V_{c}+\hat{v}_{c}(t)}{V_{M}}
$$

Block diagram:


Dc and ac relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D & =\frac{V_{c}}{V_{M}} \\
\hat{d}(t) & =\frac{\hat{\hat{v}}_{c}(t)}{V_{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Sampling in the pulse-width modulator

The input voltage is a continuous function of time, but there can be only one discrete value of the duty cycle for each switching period.

Therefore, the pulsewidth modulator
 samples the control waveform, with sampling rate equal to the switching frequency.

In practice, this limits the useful frequencies of ac variations to values much less than the switching frequency. Control system bandwidth must be sufficiently less than the Nyquist rate $f_{s} / 2$. Models that do not account for sampling are accurate only at frequencies much less than $f_{s} / 2$.

### 7.8. Summary of key points

1. The CCM converter analytical techniques of Chapters 2 and 3 can be extended to predict converter ac behavior. The key step is to average the converter waveforms over one switching period. This removes the switching harmonics, thereby exposing directly the desired dc and low-frequency ac components of the waveforms. In particular, expressions for the averaged inductor voltages, capacitor currents, and converter input current are usually found.
2. Since switching converters are nonlinear systems, it is desirable to construct small-signal linearized models. This is accomplished by perturbing and linearizing the averaged model about a quiescent operating point.
3. Ac equivalent circuits can be constructed, in the same manner used in Chapter 3 to construct dc equivalent circuits. If desired, the ac equivalent circuits may be refined to account for the effects of converter losses and other nonidealities.

## Summary of key points

4. The state-space averaging method of section 7.4 is essentially the same as the basic approach of section 7.2, except that the formality of the state-space network description is used. The general results are listed in section 7.4.2.
5. The circuit averaging technique also yields equivalent results, but the derivation involves manipulation of circuits rather than equations. Switching elements are replaced by dependent voltage and current sources, whose waveforms are defined to be identical to the switch waveforms of the actual circuit. This leads to a circuit having a time-invariant topology. The waveforms are then averaged to remove the switching ripple, and perturbed and linearized about a quiescent operating point to obtain a small-signal model.

## Summary of key points

6. When the switches are the only time-varying elements in the converter, then circuit averaging affects only the switch network. The converter model can then be derived by simply replacing the switch network with its averaged model. Dc and small-signal ac models of several common CCM switch networks are listed in section 7.5.4. Switching losses can also be modeled using this approach.
7. The canonical circuit describes the basic properties shared by all dc-dc PWM converters operating in the continuous conduction mode. At the heart of the model is the ideal 1:M(D) transformer, introduced in Chapter 3 to represent the basic dc-dc conversion function, and generalized here to include ac variations. The converter reactive elements introduce an effective low-pass filter into the network. The model also includes independent sources which represent the effect of duty cycle variations. The parameter values in the canonical models of several basic converters are tabulated for easy reference.

## Summary of key points

8. The conventional pulse-width modulator circuit has linear gain, dependent on the slope of the sawtooth waveform, or equivalently on its peak-to-peak magnitude.

## Chapter 8. Converter Transfer Functions

### 8.1. Review of Bode plots

8.1.1. Single pole response
8.1.2. Single zero response
8.1.3. Right half-plane zero
8.1.4. Frequency inversion
8.1.5. Combinations
8.1.6. Double pole response: resonance
8.1.7. The low-Q approximation
8.1.8. Approximate roots of an arbitrary-degree polynomial
8.2. Analysis of converter transfer functions
8.2.1. Example: transfer functions of the buck-boost converter
8.2.2. Transfer functions of some basic CCM converters
8.2.3. Physical origins of the right half-plane zero in converters

## Converter Transfer Functions

### 8.3. Graphical construction of converter transfer functions

8.3.1. Series impedances: addition of asymptotes
8.3.2. Parallel impedances: inverse addition of asymptotes
8.3.3. Another example
8.3.4. Voltage divider transfer functions: division of asymptotes
8.4. Measurement of ac transfer functions and impedances
8.5. Summary of key points

## Design-oriented analysis

How to approach a real (and hence, complicated) system
Problems:
Complicated derivations
Long equations
Algebra mistakes
Design objectives:
Obtain physical insight which leads engineer to synthesis of a good design
Obtain simple equations that can be inverted, so that element values can be chosen to obtain desired behavior. Equations that cannot be inverted are useless for design!

Design-oriented analysis is a structured approach to analysis, which attempts to avoid the above problems

## Some elements of design-oriented analysis, discussed in this chapter

- Writing transfer functions in normalized form, to directly expose salient features
- Obtaining simple analytical expressions for asymptotes, corner frequencies, and other salient features, allows element values to be selected such that a given desired behavior is obtained
- Use of inverted poles and zeroes, to refer transfer function gains to the most important asymptote
- Analytical approximation of roots of high-order polynomials
- Graphical construction of Bode plots of transfer functions and polynomials, to
avoid algebra mistakes
approximate transfer functions
obtain insight into origins of salient features


### 8.1. Review of Bode plots

Decibels

$$
\|G\|_{\mathrm{dB}}=20 \log _{10}(\|G\|)
$$

Decibels of quantities having units (impedance example): normalize before taking log

$$
\|Z\|_{\mathrm{dB}}=20 \log _{10}\left(\frac{\|Z\|}{R_{\text {base }}}\right)
$$

Table 8.1. Expressing magnitudes in decibels
Actual magnitude $\quad$ Magnitude in $d B$

| $1 / 2$ | -6 dB |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 dB |
| 2 | 6 dB |
| $5=10 / 2$ | $20 \mathrm{~dB}-6 \mathrm{~dB}=14 \mathrm{~dB}$ |
| 10 | 20 dB |
| $1000=10^{3}$ | $3 \cdot 20 \mathrm{~dB}=60 \mathrm{~dB}$ |

$5 \Omega$ is equivalent to 14 dB with respect to a base impedance of $R_{\text {base }}=$ $1 \Omega$, also known as $14 \mathrm{~dB} \Omega$.
$60 \mathrm{~dB} \mu \mathrm{~A}$ is a current 60 dB greater than a base current of $1 \mu \mathrm{~A}$, or 1 mA .

## Bode plot of $f^{n}$

Bode plots are effectively log-log plots, which cause functions which vary as $f^{h}$ to become linear plots. Given:

$$
\|G\|=\left(\frac{f}{f_{0}}\right)^{n}
$$

Magnitude in dB is
$\|G\|_{\mathrm{dB}}=20 \log _{10}\left(\frac{f}{f_{0}}\right)^{n}=20 n \log _{10}\left(\frac{f}{f_{0}}\right)$

- Slope is $20 n \mathrm{~dB} /$ decade
- Magnitude is 1 , or 0 dB , at frequency $f=f_{0}$



### 8.1.1. Single pole response

Simple R-C example


Transfer function is

$$
G(s)=\frac{v_{2}(s)}{v_{1}(s)}=\frac{\frac{1}{s C}}{\frac{1}{s C}+R}
$$

Express as rational fraction:

$$
G(s)=\frac{1}{1+s R C}
$$

This coincides with the normalized form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
G(s)=\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)} \\
\omega_{0}=\frac{1}{R C}
\end{array}
$$

with

## $G(j \omega)$ and $\|G(j \omega)\|$

Let $s=j \omega$ :

$$
G(j \omega)=\frac{1}{\left(1+j \frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)}=\frac{1-j \frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}}{1+\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}
$$

Magnitude is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|G(j \omega)\| & =\sqrt{[\operatorname{Re}(G(j \omega))]^{2}+[\operatorname{Im}(G(j \omega))]^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Magnitude in dB:


$$
\|G(j \omega)\|_{\mathrm{dB}}=-20 \log _{10}\left(\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}\right) \mathrm{dB}
$$

## Asymptotic behavior: low frequency

For small frequency,
$\omega \ll \omega_{0}$ and $f \ll f_{0}$ :

$$
\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right) \ll 1
$$

Then || $G(j \omega)$ ||
becomes

$$
\|G(j \omega)\| \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}}=1
$$

Or, in dB,

$$
\|G(j \omega)\|_{\mathrm{dB}} \approx 0 \mathrm{~dB}
$$

$$
\|G(j \omega)\|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}}
$$



This is the low-frequency asymptote of \| $G(j \omega)$ \|

## Asymptotic behavior: high frequency

For high frequency,
$\omega \gg \omega_{0}$ and $f \gg f_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right) \gg 1 \\
& 1+\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2} \approx\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\|G(j \omega)\|_{\mathrm{dB}} \quad\|G(j \omega)\|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}}
$$

Then || $G(j \omega)$ || becomes

$$
\|G(j \omega)\| \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}}=\left(\frac{f}{f_{0}}\right)^{-1}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\| G(j \\
\left(\frac{f}{f_{0}}\right)^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Deviation of exact curve near $f=f_{0}$

Evaluate exact magnitude:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { at } f=f_{0}: \\
& \qquad\left\|G\left(j \omega_{0}\right)\right\|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{\omega_{0}}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
& \left\|G\left(j \omega_{0}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{dB}}=-20 \log _{10}\left(\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{\omega_{0}}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}\right) \approx-3 \mathrm{~dB} \\
& \text { at } f=0.5 f_{0} \text { and } 2 f_{0} \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar arguments show that the exact curve lies 1 dB below the asymptotes.

## Summary: magnitude



## Phase of $G(j \omega)$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(j \omega)=\frac{1}{\left(1+j \frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)}=\frac{1-j \frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}}{1+\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}} \\
& \angle G(j \omega)=-\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Phase of $G(j \omega)$



## Phase asymptotes

Low frequency: $0^{\circ}$
High frequency: $-90^{\circ}$
Low- and high-frequency asymptotes do not intersect
Hence, need a midfrequency asymptote

Try a midfrequency asymptote having slope identical to actual slope at the corner frequency $f_{0}$. One can show that the asymptotes then intersect at the break frequencies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{a}=f_{0} e^{-\pi / 2} \approx f_{0} / 4.81 \\
& f_{b}=f_{0} e^{\pi / 2} \approx 4.81 f_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Phase asymptotes



## Phase asymptotes: a simpler choice



## Summary: Bode plot of real pole



### 8.1.2. Single zero response

Normalized form:

$$
G(s)=\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)
$$

Magnitude:

$$
\|G(j \omega)\|=\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}
$$

Use arguments similar to those used for the simple pole, to derive asymptotes:

0 dB at low frequency, $\omega \ll \omega_{0}$
$+20 \mathrm{~dB} /$ decade slope at high frequency, $\omega \gg \omega_{0}$
Phase:

$$
\angle G(j \omega)=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)
$$

-with the exception of a missing minus sign, same as simple pole

## Summary: Bode plot, real zero

$$
G(s)=\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)
$$



### 8.1.3. Right half-plane zero

Normalized form:

$$
G(s)=\left(1-\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)
$$

Magnitude:

$$
\|G(j \omega)\|=\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}
$$

-same as conventional (left half-plane) zero. Hence, magnitude asymptotes are identical to those of LHP zero.

Phase:

$$
\angle G(j \omega)=-\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)
$$

—same as real pole.
The RHP zero exhibits the magnitude asymptotes of the LHP zero, and the phase asymptotes of the pole

## Summary: Bode plot, RHP zero



### 8.1.4. Frequency inversion

Reversal of frequency axis. A useful form when describing mid- or high-frequency flat asymptotes. Normalized form, inverted pole:

$$
G(s)=\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{\omega_{0}}{s}\right)}
$$

An algebraically equivalent form:

$$
G(s)=\frac{\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)}
$$

The inverted-pole format emphasizes the high-frequency gain.

## Asymptotes, inverted pole

$$
G(s)=\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{\omega_{0}}{s}\right)}
$$



## Inverted zero

Normalized form, inverted zero:

$$
G(s)=\left(1+\frac{\omega_{0}}{s}\right)
$$

An algebraically equivalent form:

$$
G(s)=\frac{\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)}{\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)}
$$

Again, the inverted-zero format emphasizes the high-frequency gain.

## Asymptotes, inverted zero



### 8.1.5. Combinations

Suppose that we have constructed the Bode diagrams of two complex-values functions of frequency, $G_{I}(\omega)$ and $G_{2}(\omega)$. It is desired to construct the Bode diagram of the product, $G_{3}(\omega)=G_{1}(\omega) G_{2}(\omega)$.
Express the complex-valued functions in polar form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1}(\omega)=R_{1}(\omega) e^{j \theta_{1}(\omega)} \\
& G_{2}(\omega)=R_{2}(\omega) e^{j \theta_{2}(\omega)} \\
& G_{3}(\omega)=R_{3}(\omega) e^{j \theta_{3}(\omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The product $G_{3}(\omega)$ can then be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{3}(\omega)=G_{1}(\omega) G_{2}(\omega)=R_{1}(\omega) e^{j \theta_{1}(\omega)} R_{2}(\omega) e^{j \theta_{2}(\omega)} \\
& G_{3}(\omega)=\left(R_{1}(\omega) R_{2}(\omega)\right) e^{j\left(\theta_{1}(\omega)+\theta_{2}(\omega)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Combinations

$$
G_{3}(\omega)=\left(R_{1}(\omega) R_{2}(\omega)\right) e^{j\left(\theta_{1}(\omega)+\theta_{2}(\omega)\right)}
$$

The composite phase is

$$
\theta_{3}(\omega)=\theta_{1}(\omega)+\theta_{2}(\omega)
$$

The composite magnitude is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{3}(\omega)=R_{1}(\omega) R_{2}(\omega) \\
& \left|R_{3}(\omega)\right|_{\mathrm{dB}}=\left|R_{1}(\omega)\right|_{\mathrm{dB}}+\left|R_{2}(\omega)\right|_{\mathrm{dB}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Composite phase is sum of individual phases.
Composite magnitude, when expressed in dB , is sum of individual magnitudes.

## Example 1: $\quad G(s)=\frac{G_{0}}{\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{1}}\right)\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{2}}\right)}$

with $G_{0}=40 \Rightarrow 32 \mathrm{~dB}, f_{1}=\omega_{1} / 2 \pi=100 \mathrm{~Hz}, f_{2}=\omega_{2} / 2 \pi=2 \mathrm{kHz}$
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## Example 2

Determine the transfer function $A(s)$ corresponding to the following asymptotes:


## Example 2, continued

One solution:

$$
A(s)=A_{0} \frac{\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{1}}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{2}}\right)}
$$

Analytical expressions for asymptotes:
For $f<f_{1}$

$$
\|\left. A_{0} \frac{\left(1+\frac{\hbar}{\omega_{1}}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\omega_{2}}\right)}\right|_{s=j \omega}=A_{0} \frac{1}{1}=A_{0}
$$

For $f_{1}<f<f_{2}$

$$
\left\|A_{0} \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}+\frac{s}{\omega_{1}}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{\hbar}{\omega_{2}}\right)}\right\|_{s=j \omega}=A_{0} \frac{\left\|\frac{s}{\omega_{1}}\right\|_{s=j \omega}}{1}=A_{0} \frac{\omega}{\omega_{1}}=A_{0} \frac{f}{f_{1}}
$$

## Example 2, continued

For $f>f_{2}$

$$
\left\|A_{0} \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{N}+\frac{s}{\omega_{1}}\right)}{\left(\boldsymbol{N}+\frac{s}{\omega_{2}}\right)}\right\|_{s=j \omega}=A_{0} \frac{\left\|\frac{s}{\omega_{1}}\right\|_{s=j \omega}}{\left\|\frac{s}{\omega_{2}}\right\|_{s=j \omega}}=A_{0} \frac{\omega_{2}}{\omega_{1}}=A_{0} \frac{f_{2}}{f_{1}}
$$

So the high-frequency asymptote is

$$
A_{\infty}=A_{0} \frac{f_{2}}{f_{1}}
$$

Another way to express $A(s)$ : use inverted poles and zeroes, and express $A(s)$ directly in terms of $A_{\infty}$

$$
A(s)=A_{\infty} \frac{\left(1+\frac{\omega_{1}}{s}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{\omega_{2}}{s}\right)}
$$

### 8.1.6 Quadratic pole response: resonance

Example
$G(s)=\frac{v_{2}(s)}{v_{1}(s)}=\frac{1}{1+s \frac{L}{R}+s^{2} L C}$
Second-order denominator, of the form

$$
G(s)=\frac{1}{1+a_{1} s+a_{2} s^{2}}
$$


with $a_{1}=L / R$ and $a_{2}=L C$
How should we construct the Bode diagram?

## Approach 1: factor denominator

$$
G(s)=\frac{1}{1+a_{1} s+a_{2} s^{2}}
$$

We might factor the denominator using the quadratic formula, then construct Bode diagram as the combination of two real poles:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(s)=\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{s}{s_{1}}\right)\left(1-\frac{s}{s_{2}}\right)} \quad \text { with } \quad s_{1} & =-\frac{a_{1}}{2 a_{2}}\left[1-\sqrt{1-\frac{4 a_{2}}{a_{1}^{2}}}\right] \\
s_{2} & =-\frac{a_{1}}{2 a_{2}}\left[1+\sqrt{1-\frac{4 a_{2}}{a_{1}^{2}}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- If $4 a_{2} \leq a_{1}^{2}$, then the roots $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are real. We can construct Bode diagram as the combination of two real poles.
- If $4 a_{2}>a_{1}^{2}$, then the roots are complex. In Section 8.1.1, the assumption was made that $\omega_{0}$ is real; hence, the results of that section cannot be applied and we need to do some additional work.


## Approach 2: Define a standard normalized form for the quadratic case

$$
G(s)=\frac{1}{1+2 \zeta \frac{s}{\omega_{0}}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}
$$

or

$$
G(s)=\frac{1}{1+\frac{s}{Q \omega_{0}}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}
$$

- When the coefficients of $s$ are real and positive, then the parameters $\zeta$, $\omega_{0}$, and $Q$ are also real and positive
- The parameters $\zeta, \omega_{0}$, and $Q$ are found by equating the coefficients of $s$
- The parameter $\omega_{0}$ is the angular corner frequency, and we can define $f_{0}$ $=\omega_{0} / 2 \pi$
- The parameter $\zeta$ is called the damping factor. $\zeta$ controls the shape of the exact curve in the vicinity of $f=f_{0}$. The roots are complex when $\zeta<1$.
- In the alternative form, the parameter $Q$ is called the quality factor. $Q$ also controls the shape of the exact curve in the vicinity of $f=f_{0}$. The roots are complex when $Q>0.5$.


## The $Q$-factor

In a second-order system, $\zeta$ and $Q$ are related according to

$$
Q=\frac{1}{2 \zeta}
$$

$Q$ is a measure of the dissipation in the system. A more general definition of $Q$, for sinusoidal excitation of a passive element or system is

$$
Q=2 \pi \frac{\text { (peak stored energy) }}{\text { (energy dissipated per cycle) }}
$$

For a second-order passive system, the two equations above are equivalent. We will see that $Q$ has a simple interpretation in the Bode diagrams of second-order transfer functions.

## Analytical expressions for $f_{0}$ and $Q$

Two-pole low-pass filter example: we found that

$$
G(s)=\frac{v_{2}(s)}{v_{1}(s)}=\frac{1}{1+s \frac{L}{R}+s^{2} L C}
$$

Equate coefficients of like powers of $s$ with the standard form

$$
G(s)=\frac{1}{1+\frac{s}{Q \omega_{0}}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}
$$

Result:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}=\frac{\omega_{0}}{2 \pi}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{L C}} \\
& Q=R \sqrt{\frac{C}{L}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Magnitude asymptotes, quadratic form

In the form $\quad G(s)=\frac{1}{1+\frac{s}{Q \omega_{0}}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}$
let $s=j \omega$ and find magnitude: $\quad\|G(j \omega)\|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(1-\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{Q^{2}}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}}$
Asymptotes are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|G\| & \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { for } \omega \ll \omega_{0} \\
\|G\| & \rightarrow\left(\frac{f}{f_{0}}\right)^{-2} \quad \text { for } \omega \gg \omega_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Deviation of exact curve from magnitude asymptotes

$$
\|G(j \omega)\|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(1-\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{Q^{2}}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}}
$$

At $\omega=\omega_{0}$, the exact magnitude is

$$
\left\|G\left(j \omega_{0}\right)\right\|=Q \quad \text { or, in } \mathrm{dB}: \quad\left\|G\left(j \omega_{0}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{dB}}=|Q|_{\mathrm{dB}}
$$

The exact curve has magnitude $Q$ at $f=f_{0}$. The deviation of the exact curve from the asymptotes is $|Q|_{\mathrm{dB}}$


## Two-pole response: exact curves
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### 8.1.7. The low- $Q$ approximation

Given a second-order denominator polynomial, of the form

$$
G(s)=\frac{1}{1+a_{1} s+a_{2} s^{2}} \quad \text { or } \quad G(s)=\frac{1}{1+\frac{s}{Q \omega_{0}}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}
$$

When the roots are real, i.e., when $Q<0.5$, then we can factor the denominator, and construct the Bode diagram using the asymptotes for real poles. We would then use the following normalized form:

$$
G(s)=\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{1}}\right)\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{2}}\right)}
$$

This is a particularly desirable approach when $Q \ll 0.5$, i.e., when the corner frequencies $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are well separated.

## An example

A problem with this procedure is the complexity of the quadratic formula used to find the corner frequencies.

R-L-C network example:

$$
G(s)=\frac{v_{2}(s)}{v_{1}(s)}=\frac{1}{1+s \frac{L}{R}+s^{2} L C}
$$



Use quadratic formula to factor denominator. Corner frequencies are:

$$
\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}=\frac{L / R \pm \sqrt{(L / R)^{2}-4 L C}}{2 L C}
$$

## Factoring the denominator

$$
\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}=\frac{L / R \pm \sqrt{(L / R)^{2}-4 L C}}{2 L C}
$$

This complicated expression yields little insight into how the corner frequencies $\omega_{l}$ and $\omega_{2}$ depend on $R, L$, and $C$.

When the corner frequencies are well separated in value, it can be shown that they are given by the much simpler (approximate)
expressions

$$
\omega_{1} \approx \frac{R}{L}, \quad \omega_{2} \approx \frac{1}{R C}
$$

$\omega_{1}$ is then independent of $C$, and $\omega_{2}$ is independent of $L$.
These simpler expressions can be derived via the Low- $Q$ Approximation.

## Derivation of the Low-Q Approximation

Given

$$
G(s)=\frac{1}{1+\frac{s}{Q \omega_{0}}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}
$$

Use quadratic formula to express corner frequencies $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ in terms of $Q$ and $\omega_{0}$ as:

$$
\omega_{1}=\frac{\omega_{0}}{Q} \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 Q^{2}}}{2} \quad \omega_{2}=\frac{\omega_{0}}{Q} \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4 Q^{2}}}{2}
$$

## Corner frequency $\omega_{2}$

$$
\omega_{2}=\frac{\omega_{0}}{Q} \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4 Q^{2}}}{2}
$$

can be written in the form

$$
\omega_{2}=\frac{\omega_{0}}{Q} F(Q)
$$

where

$$
F(Q)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{1-4 Q^{2}}\right)
$$

For small $Q, F(Q)$ tends to 1 . We then obtain

$$
\omega_{2} \approx \frac{\omega_{0}}{Q} \text { for } Q \ll \frac{1}{2}
$$



For $Q<0.3$, the approximation $F(Q)=1$ is within $10 \%$ of the exact value.

## Corner frequency $\omega_{1}$

$$
\omega_{1}=\frac{\omega_{0}}{Q} \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 Q^{2}}}{2}
$$

can be written in the form

$$
\omega_{1}=\frac{Q \omega_{0}}{F(Q)}
$$

where

$$
F(Q)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{1-4 Q^{2}}\right)
$$

For small $Q, F(Q)$ tends to 1 . We then obtain

$$
\omega_{1} \approx Q \omega_{0} \quad \text { for } Q \ll \frac{1}{2}
$$



For $Q<0.3$, the approximation $F(Q)=1$ is within $10 \%$ of the exact value.

## The Low-Q Approximation



## $R$-L-C Example

For the previous example:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G(s)=\frac{v_{2}(s)}{v_{1}(s)}=\frac{1}{1+s \frac{L}{R}+s^{2} L C} & f_{0}=\frac{\omega_{0}}{2 \pi}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{L C}} \\
Q=R \sqrt{\frac{C}{L}}
\end{array}
$$

Use of the Low- $Q$ Approximation leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{1} \approx Q \omega_{0}=R \sqrt{\frac{C}{L}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{L C}}=\frac{R}{L} \\
& \omega_{2} \approx \frac{\omega_{0}}{Q}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{L C}} \frac{1}{R \sqrt{\frac{C}{L}}}=\frac{1}{R C}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 8.2. Analysis of converter transfer functions

8.2.1. Example: transfer functions of the buck-boost converter 8.2.2. Transfer functions of some basic CCM converters 8.2.3. Physical origins of the right half-plane zero in converters

### 8.2.1. Example: transfer functions of the buck-boost converter

Small-signal ac equations of the buck-boost converter, derived in section 7.2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L \frac{d \hat{i}(t)}{d t} & =D \hat{v}_{g}(t)+D^{\prime} \hat{v}(t)+\left(V_{g}-V\right) \hat{d}(t) \\
C \frac{d \hat{v}(t)}{d t} & =-D^{\prime} \hat{i}(t)-\frac{\hat{v}(t)}{R}+I \hat{d}(t) \\
\hat{i}_{g}(t) & =D \hat{i}(t)+I \hat{d}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Definition of transfer functions

The converter contains two inputs, $\hat{d}(s)$ and $\hat{v}_{g}(s)$ and one output, $\hat{v}(s)$

Hence, the ac output voltage variations can be expressed as the superposition of terms arising from the two inputs:

$$
\hat{v}(s)=G_{v d}(s) \hat{d}(s)+G_{v g}(s) \hat{v}_{g}(s)
$$

The control-to-output and line-to-output transfer functions can be defined as

$$
G_{v d}(s)=\left.\frac{\hat{v}(s)}{\hat{d}(s)}\right|_{\hat{v}_{g}(s)=0} \quad \text { and } \quad G_{v g}(s)=\left.\frac{\hat{v}(s)}{\hat{v}_{g}(s)}\right|_{\hat{d}(s)=0}
$$

## Derivation of transfer functions

## Algebraic approach

Take Laplace transform of converter equations, letting initial conditions be zero:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s L \hat{i}(s)=D \hat{v}_{g}(s)+D^{\prime} \hat{v}(s)+\left(V_{g}-V\right) \hat{d}(s) \\
& s C \hat{v}(s)=-D^{\prime} \hat{i}(s)-\frac{\hat{v}(s)}{R}+I \hat{d}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

Eliminate $\hat{i}(s)$, and solve for $\hat{v}(s)$

$$
\hat{i}(s)=\frac{D \hat{v}_{g}(s)+D^{\prime} \hat{v}(s)+\left(V_{g}-V\right) \hat{d}(s)}{s L}
$$

## Derivation of transfer functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s C \hat{v}(s)=-\frac{D^{\prime}}{s L}\left(D \hat{v}_{g}(s)+D^{\prime} \hat{v}(s)+\left(V_{g}-V\right) \hat{d}(s)\right)-\frac{\hat{v}(s)}{R}+I \hat{d}(s) \\
& \hat{v}(s)=\frac{-D D^{\prime}}{D^{\prime 2}+s \frac{L}{R}+s^{2} L C} \hat{v}_{g}(s)-\frac{V_{g}-V-s L I}{D^{\prime 2}+s \frac{L}{R}+s^{2} L C} \hat{d}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

write in normalized form:

$$
\hat{v}(s)=\left(-\frac{D}{D^{\prime}}\right) 1+s \frac{L}{D^{\prime 2} R}+s^{2}
$$

### 9.4.2. The relation between phase margin and closed-loop damping factor

How much phase margin is required?
A small positive phase margin leads to a stable closed-loop system having complex poles near the crossover frequency with high $Q$. The transient response exhibits overshoot and ringing.

Increasing the phase margin reduces the $Q$. Obtaining real poles, with no overshoot and ringing, requires a large phase margin.

The relation between phase margin and closed-loop $Q$ is quantified in this section.

## A simple second-order system

Consider the case where $T(s)$ can be wellapproximated in the vicinity of the crossover frequency as

$$
T(s)=\frac{1}{\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{2}}\right)}
$$



## Closed-loop response

## If

$$
T(s)=\frac{1}{\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{2}}\right)}
$$

Then

$$
\frac{T(s)}{1+T(s)}=\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{T(s)}}=\frac{1}{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}+\frac{s^{2}}{\omega_{0} \omega_{2}}}
$$

or,

$$
\frac{T(s)}{1+T(s)}=\frac{1}{1+\frac{s}{Q \omega_{c}}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{c}}\right)^{2}}
$$

where

$$
\omega_{c}=\sqrt{\omega_{0} \omega_{2}}=2 \pi f_{c} \quad Q=\frac{\omega_{0}}{\omega_{c}}=\sqrt{\frac{\omega_{0}}{\omega_{2}}}
$$

## Low-Q case

$$
Q=\frac{\omega_{0}}{\omega_{c}}=\sqrt{\frac{\omega_{0}}{\omega_{2}}} \quad \text { low- } Q \text { approximation: } \quad Q \omega_{c}=\omega_{0} \quad \frac{\omega_{c}}{Q}=\omega_{2}
$$



## High-Q case

$$
\omega_{c}=\sqrt{\omega_{0} \omega_{2}}=2 \pi f_{c} \quad Q=\frac{\omega_{0}}{\omega_{c}}=\sqrt{\frac{\omega_{0}}{\omega_{2}}}
$$



## $Q$ vs. $\varphi_{m}$

Solve for exact crossover frequency, evaluate phase margin, express as function of $\varphi_{m}$. Result is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q=\frac{\sqrt{\cos \varphi_{m}}}{\sin \varphi_{m}} \\
& \varphi_{m}=\tan ^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 Q^{4}}}{2 Q^{4}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $Q$ vs. $\varphi_{m}$
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### 9.4.3. Transient response vs. damping factor

Unit-step response of second-order system $T(s) /(1+T(s))$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\hat{v}(t)=1+\frac{2 Q e^{-\omega_{c} t / 2 Q}}{\sqrt{4 Q^{2} \pm 1}} \sin \left|\frac{\sqrt{4 Q^{2} \pm 1}}{2 Q} \omega_{c} t+\tan ^{-1}\left(\sqrt{4 Q^{2} \pm 1}\right)\right| & Q>0.5 \\
\hat{v}(t)=1 \pm \frac{\omega_{2}}{\omega_{2} \pm \omega_{1}} e^{ \pm \omega_{1} t} \pm \frac{\omega_{1}}{\omega_{1} \pm \omega_{2}} e^{ \pm \omega_{2} t} & Q<0.5 \\
\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}=\frac{\omega_{c}}{2 Q}\left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 \pm 4 Q^{2}}\right) &
\end{array}
$$

For $Q>0.5$, the peak value is

$$
\text { peak } \hat{\mathcal{v}}(t)=1+e^{ \pm \pi / \sqrt{4 Q^{2} \pm 1}}
$$

## Transient response vs. damping factor



### 9.5. Regulator design

Typical specifications:

- Effect of load current variations on output voltage regulation

This is a limit on the maximum allowable output impedance

- Effect of input voltage variations on the output voltage regulation

This limits the maximum allowable line-to-output transfer function

- Transient response time

This requires a sufficiently high crossover frequency

- Overshoot and ringing

An adequate phase margin must be obtained
The regulator design problem: add compensator network $G_{c}(s)$ to modify $T(s)$ such that all specifications are met.

### 9.5.1. Lead (PD) compensator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{c}(s)=G_{c 0} \frac{\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{z}}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{p}}\right)} \\
& \text { Improves phase } \\
& \text { margin }
\end{aligned}
$$



## Lead compensator: maximum phase lead



## Lead compensator design

To optimally obtain a compensator phase lead of $\theta$ at frequency $f_{c}$, the pole and zero frequencies should be chosen as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{z}=f_{c} \sqrt{\frac{1 \pm \sin (\theta)}{1+\sin (\theta)}} \\
& f_{p}=f_{c} \sqrt{\frac{1+\sin (\theta)}{1 \pm \sin (\theta)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If it is desired that the magnitude of the compensator gain at $f_{c}$ be unity, then $G_{c 0}$ should be chosen as

$$
G_{c 0}=\sqrt{\frac{f_{z}}{f_{p}}}
$$



## Example: lead compensation



### 9.5.2. Lag (PI) compensation

$G_{c}(s)=G_{c \infty}\left(1+\frac{\omega_{L}}{s}\right)$

Improves lowfrequency loop gain and regulation


## Example: lag compensation



## Example, continued

Construction of $1 /(1+T)$, lag compensator example:


### 9.5.3. Combined (PID) compensator

$$
G_{c}(s)=G_{c m} \frac{\left(1+\frac{\omega_{L}}{s}\right)\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{z}}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{p 1}}\right)\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{p 2}}\right)}
$$

### 9.5.4. Design example



## Quiescent operating point

Input voltage
Output
Quiescent duty cycle
Reference voltage
Quiescent value of control voltage
Gain $H(s)$

$$
V_{g}=28 \mathrm{~V}
$$

$$
V=15 \mathrm{~V}, I_{\text {load }}=5 \mathrm{~A}, R=3 \Omega
$$

$$
D=15 / 28=0.536
$$

$$
V_{r e f}=5 \mathrm{~V}
$$

$$
V_{c}=D V_{M}=2.14 \mathrm{~V}
$$

$$
H=V_{\text {re }} / V=5 / 15=1 / 3
$$

## Small-signal model



## Open-loop control-to-output transfer function $G_{v d}(s)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{v d}(s)=\frac{V}{D} \frac{1}{1+s \frac{L}{R}+s^{2} L C} \\
& \text { standard form: } \\
& G_{v d}(s)=G_{d 0} \frac{1}{1+\frac{s}{Q_{0} \omega_{0}}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}} \\
& \text { salient features: } \\
& G_{d 0}=\frac{V}{D}=28 \mathrm{~V} \\
& f_{0}=\frac{\omega_{0}}{2 \pi}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{L C}}=1 \mathrm{kHz} \\
& Q_{0}=R \sqrt{\frac{C}{L}}=9.5 \Rightarrow 19.5 \mathrm{~dB}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Open-loop line-to-output transfer function and output impedance

$$
G_{v g}(s)=D \frac{1}{1+s \frac{L}{R}+s^{2} L C}
$$

-same poles as control-to-output transfer function standard form:

$$
G_{v g}(s)=G_{g 0} \frac{1}{1+\frac{s}{Q_{0} \omega_{0}}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}
$$

Output impedance:

$$
Z_{\text {ouu }}(s)=R\left\|\frac{1}{s C}\right\| s L=\frac{s L}{1+s \frac{L}{R}+s^{2} L C}
$$

## System block diagram



## Uncompensated loop gain (with $G_{c}=1$ )

With $G_{c}=1$, the loop gain is

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{u}(s)=T_{u 0} \frac{1}{1+\frac{s}{Q_{0} \omega_{0}}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}} \\
T_{u 0}=\frac{H V}{D V_{M}}=2.33 \Rightarrow 7.4 \mathrm{~dB}
\end{gathered}
$$



$$
f_{c}=1.8 \mathrm{kHz}, \varphi_{m}=5^{\circ}
$$

## Lead compensator design

- Obtain a crossover frequency of 5 kHz , with phase margin of $52^{\circ}$
- $T_{u}$ has phase of approximately $-180^{\circ}$ at 5 kHz , hence lead (PD) compensator is needed to increase phase margin.
- Lead compensator should have phase of $+52^{\circ}$ at 5 kHz
- $T_{u}$ has magnitude of -20.6 dB at 5 kHz
- Lead compensator gain should have magnitude of +20.6 dB at 5 kHz
- Lead compensator pole and zero frequencies should be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{z}=(5 \mathrm{kHz}) \sqrt{\frac{1 \pm \sin \left(52^{\circ}\right)}{1+\sin \left(52^{\circ}\right)}}=1.7 \mathrm{kHz} \\
& f_{p}=(5 \mathrm{kHz}) \sqrt{\frac{1+\sin \left(52^{\circ}\right)}{1 \pm \sin \left(52^{\circ}\right)}}=14.5 \mathrm{kHz}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Compensator dc gain should be $G_{c 0}=\left(\frac{f_{c}}{f_{0}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{T_{u 0}} \sqrt{\frac{f_{z}}{f_{p}}}=3.7 \Rightarrow 11.3 \mathrm{~dB}$


## Lead compensator Bode plot



## Loop gain, with lead compensator



## $1 /(1+T)$, with lead compensator



## Improved compensator (PID)



## $T(s)$ and $1 /(1+T(s))$, with PID compensator
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## Line-to-output transfer function



### 9.6. Measurement of loop gains



Objective: experimentally determine loop gain $T(s)$, by making measurements at point $A$
Correct result is

$$
T(s)=G_{1}(s)\left(\frac{Z_{2}(s)}{Z_{1}(s)+Z_{2}(s)}\right) G_{2}(s) H(s)
$$

## Conventional approach: break loop, measure $T(s)$ as conventional transfer function


measured gain is

$$
T_{m}(s)=\left.\frac{\hat{v}_{y}(s)}{\hat{v}_{x}(s)}\right|_{\substack{\hat{v}_{\text {re }}=0 \\ v_{g}=0}}
$$

$$
T_{m}(s)=G_{1}(s) G_{2}(s) H(s)
$$

## Measured vs. actual loop gain

Actual loop gain:

$$
T(s)=G_{1}(s)\left(\frac{Z_{2}(s)}{Z_{1}(s)+Z_{2}(s)}\right) G_{2}(s) H(s)
$$

Measured loop gain:

$$
T_{m}(s)=G_{1}(s) G_{2}(s) H(s)
$$

Express $T_{m}$ as function of $T$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{m}(s)=T(s)\left(1+\frac{Z_{1}(s)}{Z_{2}(s)}\right) \\
& T_{m}(s) \approx T(s) \quad \text { provided that }\left\|Z_{2}\right\| \gg\left\|Z_{1}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

## Discussion

- Breaking the loop disrupts the loading of block 2 on block 1.

A suitable injection point must be found, where loading is not significant.

- Breaking the loop disrupts the dc biasing and quiescent operating point.

A potentiometer must be used, to correctly bias the input to block 2.
In the common case where the dc loop gain is large, it is very difficult to correctly set the dc bias.

- It would be desirable to avoid breaking the loop, such that the biasing circuits of the system itself set the quiescent operating point.


### 9.6.1. Voltage injection



- Ac injection source $v_{z}$ is connected between blocks 1 and 2
- Dc bias is determined by biasing circuits of the system itself
- Injection source does modify loading of block 2 on block 1


## Voltage injection: measured transfer function $T_{v}(s)$

Network analyzer measures

$$
T_{v}(s)=\left.\frac{\hat{v}_{y}(s)}{\hat{v}_{x}(s)}\right|_{\substack{v_{r e f}=0 \\ v_{g}=0}}
$$

Solve block diagram:


$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{v}_{e}(s)= \pm H(s) G_{2}(s) \hat{v}_{x}(s) \\
\pm \hat{v}_{y}(s)=G_{1}(s) \hat{v}_{e}(s) \pm \hat{i}(s) Z_{1}(s)
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence
$\pm \hat{v}_{y}(s)= \pm \hat{v}_{x}(s) G_{2}(s) H(s) G_{1}(s) \pm \hat{i}(s) Z_{1}(s)$
with

$$
\hat{i}(s)=\frac{\hat{v}_{x}(s)}{Z_{2}(s)}
$$

Substitute:

$$
\hat{v}_{y}(s)=\hat{v}_{x}(s)\left(G_{1}(s) G_{2}(s) H(s)+\frac{Z_{1}(s)}{Z_{2}(s)}\right)
$$

which leads to the measured gain

$$
T_{\nu}(s)=G_{1}(s) G_{2}(s) H(s)+\frac{Z_{1}(s)}{Z_{2}(s)}
$$

## Comparison of $T_{v}(s)$ with $T(s)$

Actual loop gain is
Gain measured via voltage injection:

$$
T(s)=G_{1}(s)\left(\frac{Z_{2}(s)}{Z_{1}(s)+Z_{2}(s)}\right) G_{2}(s) H(s)
$$

$$
T_{v}(s)=G_{1}(s) G_{2}(s) H(s)+\frac{Z_{1}(s)}{Z_{2}(s)}
$$

Express $T v(s)$ in terms of $T(s)$ :

$$
T_{v}(s)=T(s)\left(1+\frac{Z_{1}(s)}{Z_{2}(s)}\right)+\frac{Z_{1}(s)}{Z_{2}(s)}
$$

Condition for accurate measurement:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{v}(s) \approx T(s) \text { provided (i) }\left\|Z_{1}(s)\right\| \ll\left\|Z_{2}(s)\right\|, \text { and } \\
& \text { (ii) }\|T(s)\| \gg\left\|\frac{Z_{1}(s)}{Z_{2}(s)}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: voltage injection



## Example: measured $T_{v}(s)$ and actual $T(s)$



### 9.6.2. Current injection

$$
T_{i}(s)=\left.\frac{\hat{i}_{y}(s)}{\hat{i}_{x}(s)}\right|_{\substack{\hat{r}_{\text {ref }}=0 \\ \hat{v}_{g}=0}}
$$



## Current injection

It can be shown that

$$
T_{i}(s)=T(s)\left(1+\frac{Z_{2}(s)}{Z_{1}(s)}\right)+\frac{Z_{2}(s)}{Z_{1}(s)}
$$

Conditions for obtaining accurate measurement:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (i) }\left\|Z_{2}(s)\right\| \ll\left\|Z_{1}(s)\right\| \text {, and } \\
& \text { (ii) }\|T(s)\| \gg\left\|\frac{Z_{2}(s)}{Z_{1}(s)}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Injection source impedance $Z_{s}$ is irrelevant. We could inject using a Thevenin-equivalent voltage source:


### 9.6.3. Measurement of unstable systems

- Injection source impedance $Z_{s}$ does not affect measurement
- Increasing $Z_{s}$ reduces loop gain of circuit, tending to stabilize system
- Original (unstable) loop gain is measured (not including $Z_{s}$ ), while circuit operates stabily



### 9.7. Summary of key points

1. Negative feedback causes the system output to closely follow the reference input, according to the gain $1 / H(s)$. The influence on the output of disturbances and variation of gains in the forward path is reduced.
2. The loop gain $T(s)$ is equal to the products of the gains in the forward and feedback paths. The loop gain is a measure of how well the feedback system works: a large loop gain leads to better regulation of the output. The crossover frequency $f_{c}$ is the frequency at which the loop gain $T$ has unity magnitude, and is a measure of the bandwidth of the control system.

## Summary of key points

3. The introduction of feedback causes the transfer functions from disturbances to the output to be multiplied by the factor $1 /(1+T(s))$. At frequencies where $T$ is large in magnitude (i.e., below the crossover frequency), this factor is approximately equal to $1 / T(s)$. Hence, the influence of low-frequency disturbances on the output is reduced by a factor of $1 / T(s)$. At frequencies where $T$ is small in magnitude (i.e., above the crossover frequency), the factor is approximately equal to 1 . The feedback loop then has no effect. Closed-loop disturbance-tooutput transfer functions, such as the line-to-output transfer function or the output impedance, can easily be constructed using the algebra-on-the-graph method.
4. Stability can be assessed using the phase margin test. The phase of $T$ is evaluated at the crossover frequency, and the stability of the important closed-loop quantities $T /(1+T)$ and $1 /(1+T)$ is then deduced. Inadequate phase margin leads to ringing and overshoot in the system transient response, and peaking in the closed-loop transfer functions.

## Summary of key points

5. Compensators are added in the forward paths of feedback loops to shape the loop gain, such that desired performance is obtained. Lead compensators, or PD controllers, are added to improve the phase margin and extend the control system bandwidth. PI controllers are used to increase the low-frequency loop gain, to improve the rejection of low-frequency disturbances and reduce the steady-state error.
6. Loop gains can be experimentally measured by use of voltage or current injection. This approach avoids the problem of establishing the correct quiescent operating conditions in the system, a common difficulty in systems having a large dc loop gain. An injection point must be found where interstage loading is not significant. Unstable loop gains can also be measured.

# Chapter 10 <br> Ac and Dc Equivalent Circuit Modeling of the Discontinuous Conduction Mode 

## Introduction

10.1. DCM Averaged Switch Model
10.2. Small-Signal AC Modeling of the DCM Switch Network
10.3. Generalized Averaged Switch Modeling
10.4. Summary of Key Points

## We are missing ac and dc equivalent circuit models for the discontinuous conduction mode
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## Change in characteristics at the CCM/DCM boundary

- Steady-state output voltage becomes strongly load-dependent
- Simpler dynamics: one pole and the RHP zero are moved to very high frequency, and can normally be ignored
- Traditionally, boost and buck-boost converters are designed to operate in DCM at full load
- All converters may operate in DCM at light load

So we need equivalent circuits that model the steady-state and smallsignal ac models of converters operating in DCM
The averaged switch approach will be employed

### 10.1 Derivation of DCM averaged switch model: buck-boost example

- Define switch terminal quantities $v_{1}, i_{1}, v_{2}, i_{2}$, as shown
- Let us find the averaged quantities $\left\langle v_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle i_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle v_{2}\right\rangle$, $\left\langle i_{2}\right\rangle$, for operation in DCM, and determine the relations between them



## DCM waveforms
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## Basic DCM equations

## Peak inductor current:

$$
i_{p k}=\frac{v_{g}}{L} d_{1} T_{s}
$$

Average inductor voltage:

$$
\left\langle v_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d_{1}\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}+d_{2}\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+d_{3} \cdot 0
$$

In DCM, the diode switches off when the inductor current reaches zero. Hence, $i(0)$ $=i\left(T_{s}\right)=0$, and the average inductor voltage is zero. This is true even during transients.

$$
\left\langle v_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d_{1}(t)\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}+d_{2}(t)\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}=0
$$

Solve for $d_{2}$ :

$$
d_{2}(t)=-d_{1}(t) \frac{\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}
$$
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## Average switch network terminal voltages

Average the $v_{1}(t)$ waveform:

$$
\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d_{1}(t) \cdot 0+d_{2}(t)\left(\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right)+d_{3}(t)\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

Eliminate $d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ :

$$
\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

Similar analysis for $v_{2}(t)$ waveform leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =d_{1}(t)\left(\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right)+d_{2}(t) \cdot 0+d_{3}(t)\left(-\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right) \\
& =-\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Average switch network terminal currents

Average the $i_{1}(t)$ waveform:

$$
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{t}^{t+T_{s}} i_{1}(t) d t=\frac{q_{1}}{T_{s}}
$$

The integral $q_{1}$ is the area under the $i_{1}(t)$ waveform during first subinterval. Use triangle area formula:

$$
q_{1}=\int_{t}^{t+T_{s}} i_{1}(t) d t=\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{1} T_{s}\right)\left(i_{p k}\right)
$$

Eliminate $i_{p k}$ :

$$
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{d_{1}^{2}(t) T_{s}}{2 L}\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

Note $\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T s}$ is not equal to $d\left\langle i_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T s}$ !
Similar analysis for $i_{2}(t)$ waveform leads to

$$
\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{d_{1}^{2}(t) T_{s}}{2 L} \frac{\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}^{2}}{\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}
$$
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## Input port: Averaged equivalent circuit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{d_{1}^{2}(t) T_{s}}{2 L}\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& \left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{R_{e}\left(d_{1}\right)} \\
& R_{e}\left(d_{1}\right)=\frac{2 L}{d_{1}^{2} T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Output port: Averaged equivalent circuit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{d_{1}^{2}(t) T_{s}}{2 L} \frac{\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}^{2}}{\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}} \\
& \left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}^{2}}{R_{e}\left(d_{1}\right)}=\langle p(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$



## The dependent power source



- Must avoid open- and short-circuit connections of power sources
- Power sink: negative $p(t)$
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## How the power source arises in lossless two-port networks

In a lossless two-port network without internal energy storage: instantaneous input power is equal to instantaneous output power
In all but a small number of special cases, the instantaneous power throughput is dependent on the applied external source and load

If the instantaneous power depends only on the external elements connected to one port, then the power is not dependent on the characteristics of the elements connected to the other port. The other port becomes a source of power, equal to the power flowing through the first port

A power source (or power sink) element is obtained

## Properties of power sources

Series and parallel connection of power sources


Reflection of power source through a transformer
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## The loss-free resistor (LFR)



A two-port lossless network
Input port obeys Ohm's Law
Power entering input port is transferred to output port

## Averaged modeling of CCM and DCM switch networks



Averaged switch model
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## Averaged switch model: buck-boost example

## Original circuit



Averaged model


## Solution of averaged model: steady state

## Let

$L \rightarrow$ short circuit
$C \rightarrow$ open circuit


Converter input power:

$$
P=\frac{V_{g}^{2}}{R_{e}}
$$

Equate and solve:

$$
P=\frac{V_{g}^{2}}{R_{e}}=\frac{V^{2}}{R}
$$

Converter output power:

$$
P=\frac{V^{2}}{R}
$$

$$
\frac{V}{V_{g}}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{R}{R_{e}}}
$$
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## Steady-state LFR solution

$$
\frac{V}{V_{g}}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{R}{R_{e}}}
$$

is a general result, for any system that can be modeled as an LFR.

For the buck-boost converter, we have

$$
R_{e}(D)=\frac{2 L}{D^{2} T_{s}}
$$

Eliminate $R_{e}$ :

$$
\frac{V}{V_{g}}=-\sqrt{\frac{D^{2} T_{s} R}{2 L}}=-\frac{D}{\sqrt{K}}
$$

which agrees with the previous steady-state solution of Chapter 5.

## Steady-state LFR solution with ac terminal waveforms



Converter average input power:

$$
P_{a v}=\frac{V_{g, r m s}^{2}}{R_{e}}
$$

Converter average output power:

$$
P_{a v}=\frac{V_{r m s}^{2}}{R}
$$

Note that no average power flows into capacitor

Equate and solve:

$$
\frac{V_{r m s}}{V_{g, r m s}}=\sqrt{\frac{R}{R_{e}}}
$$
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## Averaged models of other DCM converters

- Determine averaged terminal waveforms of switch network
- In each case, averaged transistor waveforms obey Ohm's law, while averaged diode waveforms behave as dependent power source
- Can simply replace transistor and diode with the averaged model as follows:



## DCM buck, boost



Boost
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## DCM Cuk, SEPIC
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## Steady-state solution: DCM buck, boost

Let $L \rightarrow$ short circuit $C \rightarrow$ open circuit

Buck

Boost
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## Steady-state solution of DCM/LFR models

Table 10.1. CCM and DCM conversion ratios of basic converters

| Converter | $M, \mathrm{CCM}$ | $M, \mathrm{DCM}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buck | $D$ | $\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{1+4 R_{e} / R}}$ |
| Boost | $\frac{1}{1-D}$ | $\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 R / R_{e}}}{2}$ |
| Buck-boost, Cuk | $\frac{-D}{1-D}$ | $-\sqrt{\frac{R}{R_{e}}}$ |
| SEPIC | $\frac{D}{1-D}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{R}{R_{e}}}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I>I_{\text {crit }} \\
& I<I_{\text {crit }} \\
& \text { for } \mathrm{CCM} \\
& \text { for } \mathrm{DCM}
\end{aligned} \quad I_{\text {crit }}=\frac{1-D}{D} \frac{V_{g}}{R_{e}(D)}
$$

### 10.2 Small-signal ac modeling of the DCM switch network

Large-signal averaged model


$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\frac{\overline{d_{1}^{2}(t) T_{s}}}{2 L}
\end{array} v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} .
$$

Perturb and linearize: let

$$
\begin{gathered}
d(t)=D+\hat{d}(t) \\
\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=V_{1}+\hat{v}_{1}(t) \\
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=I_{1}+\hat{i}_{1}(t) \\
\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=V_{2}+\hat{v}_{2}(t) \\
\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=I_{2}+\hat{i}_{2}(t) \\
\hat{i}_{1}=\frac{\hat{v}_{1}}{r_{1}}+j_{1} \hat{d}+g_{1} \hat{v}_{2} \\
\hat{i}_{2}=-\frac{\hat{v}_{2}}{r_{2}}+j_{2} \hat{d}+g_{2} \hat{v}_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Linearization via Taylor series

Given the nonlinear equation

$$
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{R_{e}(d(t))}=f_{1}\left(\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}},\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}, d(t)\right)
$$

Expand in three-dimensional Taylor series about the quiescent

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { operating point: } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { (for simple } \\
\text { notation, } \\
\text { drop angle } \\
\text { brackets) }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

$$
+\left.\hat{v}_{2}(t) \frac{d f_{1}\left(V_{1}, v_{2}, D\right)}{d v_{2}}\right|_{v_{2}=V_{2}}+\left.\hat{d}(t) \frac{d f_{1}\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, d\right)}{d d}\right|_{d=D}
$$

+ higher-ordex monlinear terms


## Equate dc and first-order ac terms

## AC

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{i}_{1}(t)=\hat{v}_{1}(t) \frac{1}{r_{1}}+\hat{v}_{2}(t) g_{1}+\hat{d}(t) j_{1} \quad I_{1}=f_{1}\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, D\right)=\frac{V_{1}}{R_{e}(D)} \\
& \frac{1}{r_{1}}=\left.\frac{d f_{1}\left(v_{1}, V_{2}, D\right)}{d v_{1}}\right|_{v_{1}=V_{1}}=\frac{1}{R_{e}(D)} \\
& g_{1}=\left.\frac{d f_{1}\left(V_{1}, v_{2}, D\right)}{d v_{2}}\right|_{v_{2}=V_{2}}=0 \\
& j_{1}=\left.\frac{d f_{1}\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, d\right)}{d d}\right|_{d=D}=-\left.\frac{V_{1}}{R_{e}^{2}(D)} \frac{d R_{e}(d)}{d d}\right|_{d=D} \\
& =\frac{2 V_{1}}{D R_{e}(D)} \\
& \text { Chapter 10: Ac and dc equivolent circuit modelin }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Output port same approach

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}^{2}}{R_{e}(d(t))\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}=f_{2}\left(\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}},\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}, d(t)\right) \\
I_{2}=f_{2}\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, D\right)=\frac{V_{1}^{2}}{R_{e}(D) V_{2}} & D C \text { terms } \\
\hat{i}_{2}(t)=\hat{v}_{2}(t)\left(-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right)+\hat{v}_{1}(t) g_{2}+\hat{d}(t) j_{2} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Small-signal ac } \\
\text { linearization }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

## Output resistance parameter $r_{2}$

$$
\frac{1}{r_{2}}=-\left.\frac{d f_{2}\left(V_{1}, v_{2}, D\right)}{d v_{2}}\right|_{v_{2}=V_{2}}=\frac{1}{R}=\frac{1}{M^{2} R_{e}(D)}
$$



## Small-signal DCM switch model parameters



Table 10.2. Small-signal DCM switch model parameters

| Switch type | $g_{1}$ | $j_{1}$ | $r_{1}$ | $g_{2}$ | $j_{2}$ | $r_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buck, | $\frac{1}{R_{e}}$ | $\frac{2(1-M) V_{1}}{D R_{e}}$ | $R_{e}$ | $\frac{2-M}{M R_{e}}$ | $\frac{2(1-M) V_{1}}{D M R_{e}}$ | $M^{2} R_{e}$ |
| Fig. 10.16(a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boost, | $\frac{1}{(M-1)^{2} R_{e}}$ | $\frac{2 M V_{1}}{D(M-1) R_{e}}$ | $\frac{(M-1)^{2}}{M} R_{e}$ | $\frac{2 M-1}{(M-1)^{2} R_{e}}$ | $\frac{2 V_{1}}{D(M-1) R_{e}}$ | $(M-1)^{2} R_{e}$ |
| Fig. 10.16(b) |  | $\frac{2 V_{1}}{D R_{e}}$ | $R_{e}$ | $\frac{2 M}{R_{e}}$ | $\frac{2 V_{1}}{D M R_{e}}$ | $M^{2} R_{e}$ |
| Buck-boost, | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Small-signal ac model, DCM buck-boost example
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## A more convenient way to model the buck and boost small-signal DCM switch networks



In any event, a small-signal two-port model is used, of the form
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## Small-signal ac models of the DCM buck and boost converters (more convenient forms)
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## DCM small-signal transfer functions

- When expressed in terms of $R, L, C$, and $M$ (not $D$ ), the smallsignal transfer functions are the same in DCM as in CCM
- Hence, DCM boost and buck-boost converters exhibit two poles and one RHP zero in control-to-output transfer functions
- But, value of $L$ is small in DCM. Hence

RHP zero appears at high frequency, usually greater than switching frequency
Pole due to inductor dynamics appears at high frequency, near to or greater than switching frequency
So DCM buck, boost, and buck-boost converters exhibit essentially a single-pole response

- A simple approximation: let $L \rightarrow 0$


## The simple approximation $L \rightarrow 0$

Buck, boost, and buck-boost converter models all reduce to
DCM switch network small-signal ac model


Transfer functions
control-to-output

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{v d}(s)=\left.\frac{\hat{v}}{\hat{d}}\right|_{\hat{v}_{g}=0}=\frac{G_{d 0}}{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{p}}} \\
& G_{v g}(s)=\left.\frac{\hat{v}}{\hat{\hat{v}_{g}}}\right|_{\hat{d}=0}=\frac{G_{g 0}}{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{p}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { with } \quad \begin{aligned}
G_{d 0} & =j_{2}\left(R \| r_{2}\right) \\
\omega_{p} & =\frac{1}{\left(R \| r_{2}\right) C} \\
G_{g 0} & =g_{2}\left(R \| r_{2}\right)=M
\end{aligned}
$$

## Transfer function salient features

Table 10.3. Salient features of DCM converter small-signal transfer functions

| Converter | $G_{d 0}$ | $G_{g 0}$ | $\omega_{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buck | $\frac{2 V}{D} \frac{1-M}{2-M}$ | $M$ | $\frac{2-M}{(1-M) R C}$ |
| Boost | $\frac{2 V}{D} \frac{M-1}{2 M-1}$ | $M$ | $\frac{2 M-1}{(M-1) R C}$ |
| Buck-boost | $\frac{V}{D}$ | $M$ | $\frac{2}{R C}$ |

## DCM boost example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=12 \Omega \\
& L=5 \mu \mathrm{H} \\
& C=470 \mu \mathrm{~F} \\
& f_{s}=100 \mathrm{kHz}
\end{aligned}
$$

The output voltage is regulated to be $V=36 \mathrm{~V}$. It is desired to determine $G_{v d}(s)$ at the operating point where the load current is $I=3 \mathrm{~A}$ and the dc input voltage is $V_{g}=24 \mathrm{~V}$.

## Evaluate simple model parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=I\left(V-V_{g}\right)=(3 \mathrm{~A})(36 \mathrm{~V}-24 \mathrm{~V})=36 \mathrm{~W} \\
& R_{e}=\frac{V_{g}^{2}}{P}=\frac{(24 \mathrm{~V})^{2}}{36 \mathrm{~W}}=16 \Omega \\
& D=\sqrt{\frac{2 L}{R_{e} T_{s}}}=\sqrt{\frac{2(5 \mu \mathrm{H})}{(16 \Omega)(10 \mu \mathrm{~S})}=0.25} \\
& G_{d 0}=\frac{2 V}{D} \frac{M-1}{2 M-1}=\frac{2(36 \mathrm{~V})}{(0.25)} \frac{\left(\frac{(36 \mathrm{~V})}{(24 \mathrm{~V})}-1\right)}{\left(2 \frac{(36 \mathrm{~V})}{(24 \mathrm{~V})}-1\right)}=72 \mathrm{~V} \Rightarrow 37 \mathrm{dBV} \\
& f_{p}=\frac{\omega_{p}}{2 \pi}=\frac{2 M-1}{2 \pi(M-1) R C}=\frac{\left(2 \frac{(36 \mathrm{~V})}{(24 \mathrm{~V})}-1\right)}{2 \pi\left(\frac{(36 \mathrm{~V})}{(24 \mathrm{~V})}-1\right)(12 \Omega)(470 \mu \mathrm{~F})}=112 \mathrm{~Hz} \\
& \text { of Power Electronics }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Control-to-output transfer function, boost example



### 10.3 Generalized Switch Averaging

An approach that directly relates the transfer functions of converters
operating in DCM, and/or
with current programmed control, and/or
with resonant switches, and/or
with other control schemes or switch implementations,
to the transfer functions of the parent CCM converter, derived in Chapter 7.
The models for these other modes, control schemes, and switch implementations are shown to be equivalent to the CCM models of Chapter 7, plus additional effective feedback loops that describe the switch behavior

## Converter and switch network state equations
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## Averaged system equations

Averaged independent inputs


Time-invariant network containing averaged converter states $\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K} \frac{d\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t} & =\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}}\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{F}}\langle\mathbf{u}\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}}\left\langle\mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{s}}\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\langle\mathbf{y}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{F}}\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{F}}\langle\mathbf{u}\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{s}}\left\langle\mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{s}}\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{s}}\langle\mathbf{x}\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{u}}\langle\mathbf{u}\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Averaging the switch network dependent quantities

Place switch network dependent outputs in vector $y_{s}(t)$, then average over one switching period, to obtain an equation of the form

$$
\left\langle\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\boldsymbol{f}\left(\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}},\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{c}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\right)
$$

Now attempt to write the converter state equations in the same form used for CCM state-space averaging in Chapter 7. This can be done provided that the above equation can be manipulated into the form

$$
\left\langle\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\mu(t) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{1}}(t)+\mu^{\prime}(t) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{2}}(t)
$$

where
$y_{s 1}(t)$ is the value of $y_{s}(t)$ in the CCM converter during subinterval 1
$y_{s 2}(t)$ is the value of $y_{s}(t)$ in the CCM converter during subinterval 2 $\mu$ is called the switch conversion ratio

$$
\mu^{\prime}=1-\mu
$$

## Switch conversion ratio $\mu$

If it is true that

$$
\left\langle\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\mu(t) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{1}}(t)+\mu^{\prime}(t) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{2}}(t)
$$

then CCM equations can be used directly, simply by replacing the duty cycle $d(t)$ with the switch conversion ratio $\mu(t)$ :

Steady-state relations are found by replacing $D$ with $\mu_{0}$
Small-signal transfer functions are found by replacing $\hat{d}(t)$ with $\hat{\mu}(t)$

The switch conversion ratio $\mu$ is a generalization of the CCM duty cycle $d$. In general, $\mu$ may depend on the switch independent inputs, that is, converter voltages and currents. So feedback may be built into the switch network.

A proof follows later.

### 10.3.1 Buck converter example

Original converter


DCM large-signal averaged model


## Defining the switch network inputs and outputs

Switch input vector

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{s}}(t)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{1}(t) \\
i_{2}(t)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Switch control input

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{c}}(t)=[d(t)]
$$



Switch output vector

$$
\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{2}(t) \\
i_{1}(t)
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Switch output waveforms, CCM operation



CCM switch outputs during subintervals 1 and 2 are:

$$
\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{1}}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s 2}}(t)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence, we should define the switch conversion ratio $\mu$ to satisfy

$$
\left|\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{array}\right|=\mu(t)\left|\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{array}\right|+(1-\mu(t))\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

For CCM operation, this equation is satisfied with $\mu=d$.

## Solve for $\mu$, in general

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\mu(t) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{1}}(t)+\mu^{\prime}(t) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{2}}(t) \quad \Rightarrow \\
& \left|\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{array}\right|=\mu(t)\left|\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{array}\right|+(1-\mu(t))\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \quad \Rightarrow \\
& \mu(t)=\frac{\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}=\frac{\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a general definition of $\mu$, for the switch network as defined previously for the buck converter. It is valid not only in CCM, but also in DCM and ...

## Evaluation of $\mu$

Solve averaged model for $\mu$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu(t)=\frac{\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}=\frac{\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}} \\
& \left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} R_{e}(d) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu=\frac{1}{1+R_{e}(d) \frac{\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}} \\
& 1=\frac{\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\langle v,(t)\rangle}-\frac{\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} R_{e}(d)}{\langle v(t)\rangle}=\frac{1}{\mu}-\frac{\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} R_{e}(d)}{\langle v(t)\rangle}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { for DCM } \quad l i
$$

$$
1=\frac{\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}-\frac{\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} R_{e}(d)}{\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}=\frac{1}{\mu}-\frac{\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} R_{e}(d)}{\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}
$$

## Elimination of dependent quantities

we found that

$$
\mu=\frac{1}{1+R_{e}(d) \frac{\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}}
$$

Lossless switch network:

$$
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}=\frac{\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}
$$

Hence

$$
\mu\left(\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}},\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}, d\right)=\frac{1}{1+R_{e}(d) \frac{\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}}
$$

## DCM switch conversion ratio $\mu$

$$
\mu\left(\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}},\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}, d\right)=\frac{1}{1+R_{e}(d) \frac{\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}}
$$

- A general result for DCM
- Replace $d$ of CCM expression with $\mu$ to obtain a valid DCM expression
- In DCM, switch conversion ratio is a function of not only the transistor duty cycle $d$, but also the switch independent terminal waveforms $i_{2}$ and $v_{1}$. The switch network contains built-in feedback.


## Perturbation and linearization

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(t) & =\mu_{0}+\hat{\mu}(t) \\
\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{s}}+\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{s}}(t) \\
\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{c}}(t) & =\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{c}}+\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{c}}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Steady-state components:

$$
\mu_{0}=\mu\left(\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{c}}, D\right)
$$

Buck example:

$$
\mu_{0}=\frac{1}{1+R_{e}(D) \frac{I_{2}}{V_{1}}}
$$

## Buck example: steady-state solution

In CCM, we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{V}{V_{g}} & =M(D)=D \\
I_{L} & =\frac{V}{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

DCM: replace $D$ with $\mu_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{V}{V_{g}} & =M\left(\mu_{0}\right)=\mu_{0} \\
I_{2} & =\frac{V}{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\mu_{0}=\frac{1}{1+R_{e}(D) \frac{I_{2}}{V_{1}}}
$$

Can now solve for $V$ to obtain the usual DCM expression for $V / V_{g}$.

## DCM buck example: small-signal equations

Express linearized conversion ratio as a function of switch control input and independent terminal inputs:

$$
\hat{\mu}(t)=\frac{\hat{v}_{1}(t)}{V_{s}}-\frac{\hat{i}_{2}(t)}{I_{s}}+k_{s} \hat{d}(t)
$$

The gains are found by evaluation of derivatives at the quiescent operating point

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{V_{s}}=\left.\frac{d \mu\left(v_{1}, I_{2}, D\right)}{d v_{1}}\right|_{v_{1}=V_{1}}=\frac{\mu_{0}^{2} I_{2} R_{e}(D)}{V_{1}^{2}} \\
& \frac{1}{I_{s}}=-\left.\frac{d \mu\left(V_{1}, i_{2}, D\right)}{d i_{2}}\right|_{i_{2}=I_{2}}=\frac{\mu_{0}^{2} R_{e}(D)}{V_{1}} \\
& k_{s}=\left.\frac{d \mu\left(V_{1}, I_{2}, d\right)}{d d}\right|_{d=D}=\frac{2 \mu_{0}^{2} I_{2} R_{e}(D)}{D V_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Result: small-signal model of DCM buck
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## Control-to-output transfer function
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## Magnitude of the loop gain $T_{i}(s)$



### 10.3.2 Proof of Generalized Averaged Switch Modeling
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## System state equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{K} \frac{d \mathbf{x}(t)}{d t}= \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{u}(t)+\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s}}(t) \\
& \mathbf{y}(t)= \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{u}(t)+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s}}(t) \\
& \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)= \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{u}(t) \\
& \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)=\boldsymbol{f}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}(t), \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{c}}(t), t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Average:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K} \frac{d\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t} & =\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{F}}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}}\left\langle\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\langle\mathbf{y}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{F}}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{F}}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{s}}\left\langle\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{s}}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{u}}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\left\langle\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\boldsymbol{f}\left(\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}},\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{c}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also suppose that we can write

$$
\left\langle\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\mu(t) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{1}}(t)+\mu^{\prime}(t) \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{2}}(t)
$$

Values of $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)$ during subintervals 1 and 2 are defined as $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{5} 1}(t)$ and $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{5} \mathbf{2}}(t)$

## System averaged state equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K} \frac{d\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t} & =\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{F}}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mu \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} 1}(t)+\mu^{\prime} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} 2}(t) \\
\langle\mathbf{y}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{F}}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{F}}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mu \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s 1}}(t)+\mu^{\prime} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{2}}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is desired to relate this to the result of the state-space averaging method, in which the converter state equations for subinterval 1 are written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K} \frac{d \mathbf{x}(t)}{d t} & =\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{B}_{1} \mathbf{u}(t) \\
\mathbf{y}(t) & =\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{u}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

with similar expressions for subinterval 2

But the time-invariant network equations predict that the converter state equations for the first subinterval are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K} \frac{d \mathbf{x}(t)}{d t} & =\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{u}(t)+\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} 1}(t) \\
\mathbf{y}(t) & =\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{u}(t)+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{1}}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now equate the two expressions

## Equate the state equation expressions derived via the two methods

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{K} \frac{d \mathbf{x}(t)}{d t}=\mathbf{A}_{1} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{B}_{1} \mathbf{u}(t)=\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{u}(t)+\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} 1}(t) \\
& \mathbf{y}(t)=\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{u}(t)=\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{x}(t)+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{u}(t)+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{s}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} 1}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Solve for $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{1}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{1}}(t)=\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}}\right) \mathbf{x}(t)+\left(\mathbf{B}_{1}-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{F}}\right) \mathbf{u}(t) \\
& \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{1}}(t)=\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{F}}\right) \mathbf{x}(t)+\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{F}}\right) \mathbf{u}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Result for subinterval 2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{2}}(t)=\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}}\right) \mathbf{x}(t)+\left(\mathbf{B}_{2}-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{F}}\right) \mathbf{u}(t) \\
& \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{2}}(t)=\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{F}}\right) \mathbf{x}(t)+\left(\mathbf{E}_{2}-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{F}}\right) \mathbf{u}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now plug these results back into averaged state equations

## Averaged state equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K} \frac{d\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t} & =\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{F}}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& +\mu\left(\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}}\right)\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{F}}\right)\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right) \\
& +\mu^{\prime}\left(\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}}\right)\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{F}}\right)\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right) \\
\langle\mathbf{y}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{F}}\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{F}}\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& +\mu\left(\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{F}}\right)\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{F}}\right)\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right) \\
& +\mu^{\prime}\left(\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{F}}\right)\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{F}}\right)\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Collect terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K} \frac{d\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t} & =\left(\mu \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}+\mu^{\prime} \mathbf{A}_{2}\right)\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left(\mu \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}+\mu^{\prime} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\langle\mathbf{y}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\left(\mu \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}+\mu^{\prime} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left(\mu \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}}+\mu^{\prime} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- This is the desired result. It is identical to the large-signal result of the state-space averaging method, except that the duty cycle $d$ has been replaced with the conversion ratio $\mu$.
- Hence, we can use any result derived via state-space averaging, by simply replacing $d$ with $\mu$.


## Perturb and linearize

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{K} \frac{d\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t}=\left(\mu \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}+\mu^{\prime} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left(\mu \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}+\mu^{\prime} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
&\langle\mathbf{y}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left(\mu \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}+\mu^{\prime} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left(\mu \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}}+\mu^{\prime} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& \text { Let } \quad \begin{aligned}
\langle\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\mathbf{X}+\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) \\
\langle\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\mathbf{U}+\hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) \\
\langle\mathbf{y}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\mathbf{Y}+\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) \\
\mu(t) & =\mu_{0}+\hat{\mu}(t) \\
\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{s}}+\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{s}}(t) \\
\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{c}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{c}}+\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{c}}(t)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Result

DC model

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{0} & =\mathbf{A X}+\mathbf{B} \mathbf{U} \\
\mathbf{Y} & =\mathbf{C X}+\mathbf{E} \mathbf{U}
\end{aligned}
$$

Small-signal ac model

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { where } \\
\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{A}=\left(\mu_{0} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}+\mu_{0}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{A}_{2}\right)
\end{array} \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{s}}^{T}=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \mu\left(\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}},\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{c}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\right)}{\mathrm{d}\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}\right|_{\substack{\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{s}} \\
\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{c}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{c}}}} \\
\mathbf{C}=\left(\mu_{0} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}+\mu_{0} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{2}}\right) \\
\mathbf{E}=\left(\mu_{0} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}}+\mu_{0}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)
\end{array} \quad \mathbf{\mu}_{0}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{2}}\right) \quad \mathrm{d}=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \mu\left(\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{s}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}},\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{c}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\right)}{\mathrm{d}\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{c}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}\right|_{\substack{\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{s}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{s}} \\
\left\langle\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{c}}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{c}}}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K} \frac{d \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)}{d t} & =\mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)+\mathbf{B} \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)+\left(\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right) \mathbf{X}+\left(\mathbf{B}_{1}-\mathbf{B}_{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\right) \hat{\mu}(t) \\
\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) & =\mathbf{C} \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)+\mathbf{E} \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)+\left(\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}-\mathbf{C}_{2}\right) \mathbf{X}+\left(\mathbf{E}_{1}-\mathbf{E}_{2}\right) \mathbf{U}\right) \hat{\mu}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

with the linearized
switch gains
$\hat{\mu}(t)=\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{s}}^{T} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathrm{s}}(t)+\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{c}}^{T} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathrm{c}}(t)$
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## A generalized canonical model
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### 10.4 Summary of Key Points

1. In the discontinuous conduction mode, the average transistor voltage and current are proportional, and hence obey Ohm's law. An averaged equivalent circuit can be obtained by replacing the transistor with an effective resistor $R_{e}(d)$. The average diode voltage and current obey a power source characteristic, with power equal to the power effectively dissipated by $R_{e}$. In the averaged equivalent circuit, the diode is replaced with a dependent power source.
2. The two-port lossless network consisting of an effective resistor and power source, which results from averaging the transistor and diode waveforms of DCM converters, is called a loss-free resistor. This network models the basic power-processing functions of DCM converters, much in the same way that the ideal dc transformer models the basic functions of CCM converters.
3. The large-signal averaged model can be solved under equilibrium conditions to determine the quiescent values of the converter currents and voltages. Average power arguments can often be used.

## Key points

4. A small-signal ac model for the DCM switch network can be derived by perturbing and linearizing the loss-free resistor network. The result has the form of a two-port $y$-parameter model. The model describes the small-signal variations in the transistor and diode currents, as functions of variations in the duty cycle and in the transistor and diode ac voltage variations. This model is most convenient for ac analysis of the buck-boost converter.
5. To simplify the ac analysis of the DCM buck and boost converters, it is convenient to define two other forms of the small-signal switch model, corresponding to the switch networks of Figs. 10.16(a) and 10.16(b). These models are also $y$-parameter twoport models, but have different parameter values.

## Key points

6. Since the inductor value is small when the converter operates in the discontinuous conduction mode, the inductor dynamics of the DCM buck, boost, and buck-boost converters occur at high frequency, above or just below the switching frequency. Hence, in most cases the inductor dynamics can be ignored. In the smallsignal ac model, the inductance $L$ is set to zero, and the remaining model is solved relatively easily for the low-frequency converter dynamics. The DCM buck, boost, and buck-boost converters exhibit transfer functions containing a single lowfrequency dominant pole.
7. It is also possible to adapt the CCM models developed in Chapter 7 to treat converters with switches that operate in DCM, as well as other switches discussed in later chapters. The switch conversion ratio $\mu$ is a generalization of the duty cycle $d$ of CCM switch networks; this quantity can be substituted in place of $d$ in any CCM model. The result is a model that is valid for DCM operation. Hence, existing CCM models can be adapted directly.

## Key points

8. The conversion ratio $\mu$ of DCM switch networks is a function of the applied voltage and current. As a result, the switch network contains effective feedback. So the small-signal model of a DCM converter can be expressed as the CCM converter model, plus effective feedback representing the behavior of the DCM switch network. Two effects of this feedback are increase of the converter output impedance via current feedback, and decrease of the $Q$-factor of the transfer function poles. The pole arising from the inductor dynamics occurs at the crossover frequency of the effective current feedback loop.

## Chapter 11 <br> Current Programmed Control



The peak transistor current replaces the duty cycle as the converter control input.


## Current programmed control vs. duty cycle control

Advantages of current programmed control:

- Simpler dynamics -inductor pole is moved to high frequency
- Simple robust output voltage control, with large phase margin, can be obtained without use of compensator lead networks
- It is always necessary to sense the transistor current, to protect against overcurrent failures. We may as well use the information during normal operation, to obtain better control
- Transistor failures due to excessive current can be prevented simply by limiting $i_{c}(t)$
- Transformer saturation problems in bridge or push-pull converters can be mitigated

A disadvantage: susceptibility to noise

## Chapter 11: Outline

11.1 Oscillation for $D>0.5$
11.2 A simple first-order model

Simple model via algebraic approach
Averaged switch modeling
11.3 A more accurate model

Current programmed controller model: block diagram
CPM buck converter example
11.4 Discontinuous conduction mode
11.5 Summary

### 11.1 Oscillation for $D>0.5$

- The current programmed controller is inherently unstable for $D>0.5$, regardless of the converter topology
- Controller can be stabilized by addition of an artificial ramp

Objectives of this section:

- Stability analysis
- Describe artificial ramp scheme


## Inductor current waveform, CCM



Inductor current slopes $m_{1}$ and $-m_{2}$
buck converter

$$
m_{1}=\frac{v_{g}-v}{L}-m_{2}=-\frac{v}{L}
$$

boost converter

$$
m_{1}=\frac{v_{g}}{L} \quad-m_{2}=\frac{v_{g}-v}{L}
$$

buck-boost converter

$$
m_{1}=\frac{v_{g}}{L} \quad-m_{2}=\frac{v}{L}
$$

## Steady-state inductor current waveform, CPM

First interval:

$$
i_{L}\left(d T_{s}\right)=i_{c}=i_{L}(0)+m_{1} d T_{s}
$$

Solve for $d$ :

$$
d=\frac{i_{c}-i_{L}(0)}{m_{1} T_{s}}
$$

Second interval:

$$
\begin{aligned}
i_{L}\left(T_{s}\right) & =i_{L}\left(d T_{s}\right)-m_{2} d^{\prime} T_{s} \\
& =i_{L}(0)+m_{1} d T_{s}-m_{2} d^{\prime} T_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$



In steady state:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=M_{1} D T_{s}-M_{2} D^{\prime} T_{s} \\
& \frac{M_{2}}{M_{1}}=\frac{D}{D^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Perturbed inductor current waveform



## Change in inductor current perturbation over one switching period

magnified view


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{i}_{L}(0)=-m_{1} \hat{d} T_{s} \\
& \hat{i}_{L}\left(T_{s}\right)=m_{2} \hat{d} T_{s} \\
& \hat{i}_{L}\left(T_{s}\right)=\hat{i}_{L}(0)\left(-\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\hat{i}_{L}\left(T_{s}\right)=\hat{i}_{L}(0)\left(-\frac{D}{D^{\prime}}\right)
$$

## Change in inductor current perturbation over many switching periods

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{i}_{L}\left(T_{s}\right)=\hat{i}_{L}(0)\left(-\frac{D}{D^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \hat{i}_{L}\left(2 T_{s}\right)=\hat{i}_{L}\left(T_{s}\right)\left(-\frac{D}{D^{\prime}}\right)=\hat{i}_{L}(0)\left(-\frac{D}{D^{\prime}}\right)^{2} \\
& \hat{i}_{L}\left(n T_{s}\right)=\hat{i}_{L}\left((n-1) T_{s}\right)\left(-\frac{D}{D^{\prime}}\right)=\hat{i}_{L}(0)\left(-\frac{D}{D^{\prime}}\right)^{n} \\
& \left|\hat{i}_{L}\left(n T_{s}\right)\right| \rightarrow \begin{cases}0 & \text { when }\left|-\frac{D}{D^{\prime}}\right|<1 \\
\infty & \text { when }\left|-\frac{D}{D^{\prime}}\right|>1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

For stability: $\quad D<0.5$

## Example: unstable operation for $D=0.6$

$$
\alpha=-\frac{D}{D^{\prime}}=\left(-\frac{0.6}{0.4}\right)=-1.5
$$



## Example: stable operation for $D=1 / 3$

$$
\alpha=-\frac{D}{D^{\prime}}=\left(-\frac{1 / 3}{2 / 3}\right)=-0.5
$$



## Stabilization via addition of an artificial ramp to the measured switch current waveform




Now, transistor switches off when

$$
i_{a}\left(d T_{s}\right)+i_{L}\left(d T_{s}\right)=i_{c}
$$

or,

$$
i_{L}\left(d T_{s}\right)=i_{c}-i_{a}\left(d T_{s}\right)
$$

## Steady state waveforms with artificial ramp

$$
i_{L}\left(d T_{s}\right)=i_{c}-i_{a}\left(d T_{s}\right)
$$



## Stability analysis: perturbed waveform



## Stability analysis: change in perturbation over complete switching periods

First subinterval:

$$
\hat{i}_{L}(0)=-\hat{d} T_{s}\left(m_{1}+m_{a}\right)
$$

Second subinterval:

$$
\hat{i}_{L}\left(T_{s}\right)=-\hat{d} T_{s}\left(m_{a}-m_{2}\right)
$$

Net change over one switching period:

$$
\hat{i}_{L}\left(T_{s}\right)=\hat{i}_{L}(0)\left(-\frac{m_{2}-m_{a}}{m_{1}+m_{a}}\right)
$$

After $n$ switching periods:

$$
\hat{i}_{L}\left(n T_{s}\right)=\hat{i}_{L}\left((n-1) T_{s}\right)\left(-\frac{m_{2}-m_{a}}{m_{1}+m_{a}}\right)=\hat{i}_{L}(0)\left(-\frac{m_{2}-m_{a}}{m_{1}+m_{a}}\right)^{n}=\hat{i}_{L}(0) \alpha^{n}
$$

Characteristic value:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { aracteristic value: } \\
\alpha=-\frac{m_{2}-m_{a}}{m_{1}+m_{a}}
\end{array}\left|\hat{i}_{L}\left(n T_{s}\right)\right| \rightarrow \begin{cases}0 & \text { when }|\alpha|<1 \\
\infty & \text { when }|\alpha|>1\end{cases}
$$

## The characteristic value $\alpha$

- For stability, require $|\alpha|<1$

$$
\alpha=-\frac{1-\frac{m_{a}}{m_{2}}}{\frac{D^{\prime}}{D}+\frac{m_{a}}{m_{2}}}
$$

- Buck and buck-boost converters: $m_{2}=-v / L$

So if $v$ is well-regulated, then $m_{2}$ is also well-regulated

- A common choice: $m_{a}=0.5 m_{2}$

This leads to $\alpha=-1$ at $D=1$, and $|\alpha|<1$ for $0 \leq D<1$.
The minimum $\alpha$ that leads to stability for all $D$.

- Another common choice: $m_{a}=m_{2}$

This leads to $\alpha=0$ for $0 \leq D<1$.
Deadbeat control, finite settling time

### 11.2 A Simple First-Order Model



## The first-order approximation

$$
\left\langle i_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=i_{c}(t)
$$

- Neglects switching ripple and artificial ramp
- Yields physical insight and simple first-order model
- Accurate when converter operates well into CCM (so that switching ripple is small) and when the magnitude of the artificial ramp is not too large
- Resulting small-signal relation:

$$
\hat{i}_{L}(s) \approx \hat{i}_{c}(s)
$$

### 11.2.1 Simple model via algebraic approach: CCM buck-boost example




## Small-signal equations of CCM buck-boost, duty cycle control

$$
\begin{aligned}
L \frac{d \hat{i}_{L}(t)}{d t} & =D \hat{v}_{g}(t)+D^{\prime} \hat{v}(t)+\left(V_{g}-V\right) \hat{d}(t) \\
C \frac{d \hat{v}(t)}{d t} & =-D^{\prime} \hat{i}_{L}-\frac{\hat{v}(t)}{R}+I_{L} \hat{d}(t) \\
\hat{i}_{g}(t) & =D \hat{i}_{L}+I_{L} \hat{d}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Derived in Chapter 7

## Transformed equations

Take Laplace transform, letting initial conditions be zero:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s L \hat{i}_{L}(s) & =D \hat{v}_{g}(s)+D^{\prime} \hat{v}(s)+\left(V_{g}-V\right) \hat{d}(s) \\
s C \hat{v}(s) & =-D^{\prime} \hat{i}_{L}(s)-\frac{\hat{v}(s)}{R}+I_{L} \hat{d}(s) \\
\hat{i}_{g}(s) & =D \hat{i}_{L}(s)+I_{L} \hat{d}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The simple approximation

Now let

$$
\hat{i}_{L}(s) \approx \hat{i}_{c}(s)
$$

Eliminate the duty cycle (now an intermediate variable), to express the equations using the new control input $i_{L}$. The inductor equation becomes:

$$
s L \hat{i}_{c}(s) \approx D \hat{v}_{g}(s)+D^{\prime} \hat{v}(s)+\left(V_{g}-V\right) \hat{d}(s)
$$

Solve for the duty cycle variations:

$$
\hat{d}(s)=\frac{s L \hat{i}_{c}(s)-D \hat{v}_{g}(s)-D^{\prime} \hat{v}(s)}{\left(V_{g}-V\right)}
$$

## The simple approximation, continued

Substitute this expression to eliminate the duty cycle from the remaining equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s C \hat{v}(s) & =-D^{\prime} \hat{i}_{c}(s)-\frac{\hat{v}(s)}{R}+I_{L} \frac{s L \hat{i}_{c}(s)-D \hat{v}_{g}(s)-D^{\prime} \hat{v}(s)}{\left(V_{g}-V\right)} \\
\hat{i}_{g}(s) & =D \hat{i}_{c}(s)+I_{L} \frac{s L \hat{i}_{c}(s)-D \hat{v}_{g}(s)-D^{\prime} \hat{v}(s)}{\left(V_{g}-V\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Collect terms, simplify using steady-state relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s C \hat{v}(s)=\left(\frac{s L D}{D^{\prime} R}-D^{\prime}\right) \hat{i}_{c}(s)-\left(\frac{D}{R}+\frac{1}{R}\right) \hat{v}(s)-\left(\frac{D^{2}}{D^{\prime} R}\right) \hat{v}_{g}(s) \\
& \hat{i}_{g}(s)=\left(\frac{s L D}{D^{\prime} R}+D\right) \hat{i}_{c}(s)-\left(\frac{D}{R}\right) \hat{v}(s)-\left(\frac{D^{2}}{D^{\prime} R}\right) \hat{v}_{g}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Construct equivalent circuit: input port

$$
\hat{i}_{g}(s)=\left(\frac{s L D}{D^{\prime} R}+D\right) \hat{i}_{c}(s)-\left(\frac{D}{R}\right) \hat{v}(s)-\left(\frac{D^{2}}{D^{\prime} R}\right) \hat{v}_{g}(s)
$$



## Construct equivalent circuit: output port

$$
s C \hat{v}(s)=\left(\frac{s L D}{D^{\prime} R}-D^{\prime}\right) \hat{i}_{c}(s)-\left(\frac{D}{R}+\frac{1}{R}\right) \hat{v}(s)-\left(\frac{D^{2}}{D^{\prime} R}\right) \hat{v}_{g}(s)
$$



## CPM Canonical Model, Simple Approximation



## Table of results for basic converters

Table 11.1 Current programmed mode small-signal equivalent circuit parameters, simple model

| Converter | $g_{1}$ | $f_{1}$ | $r_{1}$ | $g_{2}$ | $f_{2}$ | $r_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buck | $\frac{D}{R}$ | $D\left(1+\frac{s L}{R}\right.$ | $-\frac{R}{D^{2}}$ | 0 | 1 | $\infty$ |
| Boost | 0 | 1 | $\infty$ | $\frac{1}{D^{\prime} R}$ | $D^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{s L}{D^{\prime 2} R}\right)$ | $R$ |
| Buck-boost | $-\frac{D}{R}$ | $D\left(1+\frac{s L}{D^{\prime} R}\right)$ | $-\frac{D^{\prime} R}{D^{2}}$ | $-\frac{D^{2}}{D^{\prime} R}$ | $-D^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{s D L}{D^{\prime 2} R}\right)$ | $\frac{R}{D}$ |

## Transfer functions predicted by simple model



Control-to-output transfer function

$$
G_{v c}(s)=\left.\frac{\hat{v}(s)}{\hat{i}_{c}(s)}\right|_{\hat{v}_{g}=0}=f_{2}\left(r_{2}\|R\| \frac{1}{s C}\right)
$$

Result for buck-boost example

$$
G_{v c}(s)=-R \frac{D^{\prime}}{1+D} \frac{\left(1-s \frac{D L}{D^{\prime 2} R}\right)}{\left(1+s \frac{R C}{1+D}\right)}
$$

## Transfer functions predicted by simple model



Line-to-output transfer function

$$
G_{v g}(s)=\left.\frac{\hat{v}(s)}{\hat{v}_{g}(s)}\right|_{\hat{i}_{c}=0}=g_{2}\left(r_{2}\|R\| \frac{1}{s C}\right)
$$

Result for buck-boost example

$$
G_{v g}(s)=-\frac{D^{2}}{1-D^{2}} \frac{1}{\left(1+s \frac{R C}{1+D}\right)}
$$

## Transfer functions predicted by simple model



Output impedance

$$
Z_{\text {out }}(s)=r_{2}\|R\| \frac{1}{s C}
$$

Result for buck-boost example

$$
Z_{\text {out }}(s)=\frac{R}{1+D} \frac{1}{\left(1+s \frac{R C}{1+D}\right)}
$$

### 11.2.2 Averaged switch modeling with the simple approximation



Averaged terminal waveforms, CCM:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =d(t)\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =d(t)\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The simple approximation:

$$
\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \approx\left\langle i_{c}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

## CPM averaged switch equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =d(t)\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =d(t)\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned} \quad\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \approx\left\langle i_{c}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

Eliminate duty cycle:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d(t)\left\langle i_{c}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}\left\langle i_{c}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle i_{c}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\langle p(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{gathered}
$$

So:

- Output port is a current source
- Input port is a dependent current sink


## CPM averaged switch model



## Results for other converters

Boost


Buck-boost


## Perturbation and linearization to construct small-signal model

## Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=V_{1}+\hat{v}_{1}(t) \\
& \left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=I_{1}+\hat{i}_{1}(t) \\
& \left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=V_{2}+\hat{v}_{2}(t) \\
& \left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=I_{2}+\hat{i}_{2}(t) \\
& \left\langle i_{c}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=I_{c}+\hat{i}_{c}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Resulting input port equation:

$$
\left(V_{1}+\hat{v}_{1}(t)\right)\left(I_{1}+\hat{i}_{1}(t)\right)=\left(I_{c}+\hat{i}_{c}(t)\right)\left(V_{2}+\hat{v}_{2}(t)\right)
$$

Small-signal result:

$$
\hat{i}_{1}(t)=\hat{i}_{c}(t) \frac{V_{2}}{V_{1}}+\hat{v}_{2}(t) \frac{I_{c}}{V_{1}}-\hat{v}_{1}(t) \frac{I_{1}}{V_{1}}
$$

Output port equation:

$$
\hat{\imath}_{2}=\hat{\imath}_{\mathrm{c}}
$$

## Resulting small-signal model Buck example



$$
\hat{i}_{1}(t)=\hat{i}_{c}(t) \frac{V_{2}}{V_{1}}+\hat{v}_{2}(t) \frac{I_{c}}{V_{1}}-\hat{v}_{1}(t) \frac{I_{1}}{V_{1}}
$$

## Origin of input port negative incremental resistance

$$
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \uparrow \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \text { Power source } \\
& \text { characteristic } \\
& \left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\langle p(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { Quiescent } \\
\text { operating } \\
\text { point }
\end{array} \\
& \left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Expressing the equivalent circuit in terms of the converter input and output voltages


$$
\hat{i}_{1}(s)=D\left(1+s \frac{L}{R}\right) \hat{i}_{c}(s)+\frac{D}{R} \hat{v}(s)-\frac{D^{2}}{R} \hat{v}_{g}(s)
$$

## Predicted transfer functions of the CPM buck converter



### 11.3 A More Accurate Model

- The simple models of the previous section yield insight into the lowfrequency behavior of CPM converters
- Unfortunately, they do not always predict everything that we need to know:

Line-to-output transfer function of the buck converter
Dynamics at frequencies approaching $f_{s}$

- More accurate model accounts for nonideal operation of current mode controller built-in feedback loop
- Converter duty-cycle-controlled model, plus block diagram that accurately models equations of current mode controller


### 11.3.1 Current programmed controller model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle i_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle i_{c}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-m_{a} d T_{s}-d \frac{m_{1} d T_{s}}{2}-d^{\prime} \frac{m_{2} d^{\prime} T_{s}}{2} \\
& =\left\langle i_{c}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-m_{a} d T_{s}-m_{1} \frac{d^{2} T_{s}}{2}-m_{2} \frac{d^{2} T_{s}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Perturb

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle i_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =I_{L}+\hat{i}_{L}(t) \\
\left\langle i_{c}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =I_{c}+\hat{i}_{c}(t) \\
d(t) & =D+\hat{d}(t) \\
m_{1}(t) & =M_{1}+\hat{m}_{1}(t) \\
m_{2}(t) & =M_{2}+\hat{m}_{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is assumed that the artificial ramp slope does not vary.

Note that it is necessary to perturb the slopes, since these depend on the applied inductor voltage. For basic converters,
buck converter

$$
\hat{m}_{1}=\frac{\hat{v}_{g}-\hat{v}}{L} \quad \hat{m}_{2}=\frac{\hat{v}}{L}
$$

boost converter

$$
\hat{m}_{1}=\frac{\hat{v}_{g}}{L} \quad \hat{m}_{2}=\frac{\hat{v}-\hat{v}_{g}}{L}
$$

buck-boost converter

$$
\hat{m}_{1}=\frac{\hat{v}_{g}}{L} \quad \hat{m}_{2}=-\frac{\hat{v}}{L}
$$

## Linearize

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(I_{L}+\hat{i}_{L}(t)\right)=\left(I_{c}+\hat{i}_{c}(t)\right)-M_{a} T_{s}(D+\hat{d}(t))-\left(M_{1}+\hat{m}_{1}(t)\right)(D+\hat{d}(t))^{2} \frac{T_{s}}{2} \\
-\left(M_{2}+\hat{m}_{2}(t)\right)\left(D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t)\right)^{2} \frac{T_{s}}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

The first-order ac terms are

$$
\hat{i}_{L}(t)=\hat{i}_{c}(t)-\left(M_{a} T_{s}+D M_{1} T_{s}-D^{\prime} M_{2} T_{s}\right) \hat{d}(t)-\frac{D^{2} T_{s}}{2} \hat{m}_{1}(t)-\frac{D^{\prime 2} T_{s}}{2} \hat{m}_{2}(t)
$$

Simplify using dc relationships:

$$
\hat{i}_{L}(t)=\hat{i}_{c}(t)-M_{a} T_{s} \hat{d}(t)-\frac{D^{2} T_{s}}{2} \hat{m}_{1}(t)-\frac{D^{\prime 2} T_{s}}{2} \hat{m}_{2}(t)
$$

Solve for duty cycle variations:

$$
\hat{d}(t)=\frac{1}{M_{a} T_{s}}\left\lfloor\hat{i}_{c}(t)-\hat{i}_{L}(t)-\frac{D^{2} T_{s}}{2} \hat{m}_{1}(t)-\frac{D^{\prime 2} T_{s}}{2} \hat{m}_{2}(t)\right\rfloor
$$

## Equation of the current programmed controller

The expression for the duty cycle is of the general form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{d}(t)=F_{m}\left[\hat{i}_{c}(t)-\hat{i}_{L}(t)-F_{g} \hat{v}_{g}(t)-F_{v} \hat{v}(t)\right] \\
F_{m}=1 / M_{a} T_{s}
\end{gathered}
$$

Table 11.2. Current programmed controller gains for basic converters

| Converter | $F_{g}$ | $F_{v}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buck | $\frac{D^{2} T_{s}}{2 L}$ | $\frac{(1-2 D) T_{s}}{2 L}$ |
| Boost | $\frac{(2 D-1) T_{s}}{2 L}$ | $\frac{D^{\prime 2} T_{s}}{2 L}$ |
| Buck-boost | $\frac{D^{2} T_{s}}{2 L}$ | $-\frac{D^{\prime 2} T_{s}}{2 L}$ |

## Block diagram of the current programmed controller

$$
\hat{d}(t)=F_{m}\left[\hat{i}_{c}(t)-\hat{i}_{L}(t)-F_{g} \hat{v}_{g}(t)-F_{v} \hat{v}(t)\right]
$$



- Describes the duty cycle chosen by the CPM controller
- Append this block diagram to the duty cycle controlled converter model, to obtain a complete CPM system model


## CPM buck converter model



## CPM boost converter model



## CPM buck-boost converter model



### 11.3.2 Example: analysis of CPM buck converter



Block diagram of entire CPM buck converter


Voltage loop gain:

$$
T_{v}(s)=F_{m} \frac{V}{D^{2}} \frac{D}{Z_{i}(s)} Z_{o}(s) F_{v}
$$

Current loop gain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{i}(s) & =\frac{1}{Z_{o}(s) F_{v}} \frac{T_{v}(s)}{1+T_{v}(s)} \\
T_{i}(s) & =\frac{F_{m} \frac{V}{D^{2}} \frac{D}{Z_{i}(s)}}{1+F_{m} \frac{V}{D^{2}} \frac{D}{Z_{i}(s)} Z_{o}(s) F_{v}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Transfer function from $\hat{\imath}_{c}$ to $\hat{\imath}_{L}$ :

$$
\frac{\hat{i}_{L}(s)}{\hat{i}_{c}(s)}=\frac{T_{i}(s)}{1+T_{i}(s)}
$$

Chapter 11: Current Programmed Control

## Discussion: Transfer function from $\hat{\imath}_{c}$ to $\hat{\imath}_{L}$

- When the loop gain $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{s})$ is large in magnitude, then $\hat{\imath}_{L}$ is approximately equal to $\hat{l}_{c}$. The results then coincide with the simple approximation.

$$
\frac{\hat{i}_{L}(s)}{\hat{i}_{c}(s)}=\frac{T_{i}(s)}{1+T_{i}(s)}
$$

- The control-to-output transfer function can be written as

$$
G_{v c}(s)=\frac{\hat{v}(s)}{\hat{i}_{c}(s)}=Z_{o}(s) \frac{T_{i}(s)}{1+T_{i}(s)}
$$

which is the simple approximation result, multiplied by the factor $T_{i} /\left(1+T_{i}\right)$.

## Loop gain $T_{i}(s)$

Result for the buck converter:

$$
T_{i}(s)=\left(K \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right) \frac{(1+s R C)}{1+s\left(\frac{L}{R} \frac{2 D M_{a}}{M_{2}} \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)+s^{2}\left(L C \frac{2 D M_{a}}{M_{2}} \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)}
$$

Characteristic value $\quad \alpha=-\frac{1-\frac{m_{a}}{m_{2}}}{\frac{D^{\prime}}{D}+\frac{m_{a}}{m_{2}}} \quad$ Controller stable for $|\alpha|<1$
$K=2 L / R T_{s}$
CCM for $K>D^{\prime}$

## Loop gain



$$
T_{i}(s)=T_{i 0} \frac{\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{z}}\right)}{1+\frac{s}{Q \omega_{0}}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}
$$

$$
T_{i 0}=K \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}
$$

$$
\omega_{z}=\frac{1}{R C}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{L C \frac{2 D M_{a}}{M_{2}} \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}}} \\
& Q=R \sqrt{\frac{C}{L}} \sqrt{\frac{M_{2}}{2 D M_{a}} \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Crossover frequency $f_{c}$

High frequency asymptote of $T_{i}$ is

$$
T_{i}(s) \approx T_{i 0} \frac{\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{z}}\right)}{\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}=T_{i 0} \frac{\omega_{0}^{2}}{s \omega_{z}}
$$

Equate magnitude to one at crossover frequency:

$$
\left\|T_{i}\left(j 2 \pi f_{c}\right)\right\| \approx T_{i 0} \frac{f_{0}^{2}}{2 \pi f_{c} f_{z}}=1
$$

Solve for crossover frequency:

$$
f_{c}=\frac{M_{2}}{M_{a}} \frac{f_{s}}{2 \pi D}
$$

## Construction of transfer functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\hat{i}_{L}(s)}{\hat{i}_{c}(s)}=\frac{T_{i}(s)}{1+T_{i}(s)} \approx \frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{c}}\right)} \\
& G_{v c}(s)=Z_{o}(s) \frac{T_{i}(s)}{1+T_{i}(s)} \approx R \frac{1}{(1+s R C)\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{c}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

-the result of the simple first-order model, with an added pole at the loop crossover frequency

## Exact analysis

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{v c}(s)=R\left(\frac{T_{i 0}}{1+T_{i 0}}\right) \frac{1}{1+s\left(\frac{T_{i 0}}{1+T_{i 0}} \frac{1}{\omega_{z}}+\frac{1}{\left(1+T_{i 0}\right) Q \omega_{0}}\right)+\frac{1}{1+T_{i 0}}\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}} \\
& \text { for }\left|T_{i 0}\right| \gg 1, \\
& G_{v c}(s) \approx R \frac{1}{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{z}}+\frac{s^{2}}{T_{i 0} \omega_{0}^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Low $Q$ approximation:

$$
G_{v c}(s) \approx R \frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{z}}\right)\left(1+\frac{s \omega_{z}}{T_{i 0} \omega_{0}^{2}}\right)}
$$

which agrees with the previous slide

## Line-to-output transfer function

Solution of complete block diagram leads to

$$
\hat{v}(s)=\hat{i}_{c}(s) Z_{o}(s) \frac{T_{i}(s)}{1+T_{i}(s)}+\hat{v}_{g}(s) \frac{G_{g 0}(s)}{1+T_{i}(s)}
$$

with

$$
G_{g 0}(s)=Z_{o}(s) \frac{D}{Z_{i}(s)} \frac{\left(1-\frac{V}{D^{2}} F_{m} F_{g}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{V}{D^{2}} F_{m} F_{v} Z_{o}(s) \frac{D}{Z_{i}(s)}\right)}
$$

## Line-to-output transfer function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{v g}(s)=\left.\frac{\hat{v}(s)}{\hat{v}_{g}(s)}\right|_{\hat{i}_{c}(s)=0}=\frac{G_{g 0}(s)}{1+T_{i}(s)} \\
& G_{v g}(s)=\frac{\left(\frac{1}{1+T_{i 0}}\right) \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(1+\alpha)} 2 D^{2}\left(\frac{M_{a}}{M_{2}}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{1+s\left(\frac{T_{i 0}}{1+T_{i 0}} \frac{1}{\omega_{z}}+\frac{1}{\left(1+T_{i 0}\right) Q \omega_{0}}\right)+\frac{1}{1+T_{i 0}}\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Poles are identical to control-to-output transfer function, and can be factored the same way:

$$
G_{v g}(s) \approx \frac{G_{v g}(0)}{\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{z}}\right)\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{c}}\right)}
$$

## Line-to-output transfer function: dc gain

$$
G_{v g}(0) \approx \frac{2 D^{2}}{K}\left(\frac{M_{a}}{M_{2}}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \text { for large } T_{i 0}
$$

For any $T_{i 0}$, the dc gain goes to zero when $M_{a} / M_{2}=0.5$

Effective feedforward of input voltage variations in CPM controller then effectively cancels out the $v_{g}$ variations in the direct forward path of the converter.

### 11.4 Discontinuous conduction mode

- Again, use averaged switch modeling approach
- Result: simply replace

Transistor by power sink
Diode by power source

- Inductor dynamics appear at high frequency, near to or greater than the switching frequency
- Small-signal transfer functions contain a single low frequency pole
- DCM CPM boost and buck-boost are stable without artificial ramp
- DCM CPM buck without artificial ramp is stable for $D<2 / 3$. A small artificial ramp $m_{a} \geq 0.086 m_{2}$ leads to stability for all $D$.


## DCM CPM buck-boost example



## Analysis

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{p k}=m_{1} d_{1} T_{s} \\
& m_{1}=\frac{\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{L} \\
& i_{c}=i_{p k}+m_{a} d_{1} T_{s} \\
& \\
& =\left(m_{1}+m_{a}\right) d_{1} T_{s} \\
& d_{1}(t)=\frac{i_{c}(t)}{\left(m_{1}+m_{a}\right) T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Averaged switch input port equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{t}^{1+T_{s}} i_{1}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=\frac{q_{1}}{T_{s}} \\
& \left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{1}{2} i_{p k}(t) d_{1}(t) \\
& \left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{1}{2} m_{1} d_{1}^{2}(t) T_{s} \\
& \left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{\frac{1}{2} L i_{c}^{2} f_{s}}{\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left(1+\frac{m_{a}}{m_{1}}\right)^{2}} \\
& \left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{\frac{1}{2} L i_{c}^{2} f_{s}}{\left(1+\frac{m_{a}}{m_{1}}\right)^{2}}=\langle p(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Discussion: switch network input port

- Averaged transistor waveforms obey a power sink characteristic
- During first subinterval, energy is transferred from input voltage source, through transistor, to inductor, equal to

$$
W=\frac{1}{2} L i_{p k}^{2}
$$

This energy transfer process accounts for power flow equal to

$$
\langle p(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}=W f_{s}=\frac{1}{2} L i_{p k}^{2} f_{s}
$$

which is equal to the power sink expression of the previous slide.

## Averaged switch output port equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{t}^{t+T_{s}} i_{2}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=\frac{q_{2}}{T_{s}} \\
& q_{2}=\frac{1}{2} i_{p k} d_{2} T_{s} \\
& d_{2}(t)=d_{1}(t) \frac{\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}} \\
& \left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{i_{1}(t)}{\langle p(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& \left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{i_{2}(t)}{\left(1+\frac{m_{a}}{m_{1}}\right)^{2}}=\langle p(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Discussion: switch network output port

- Averaged diode waveforms obey a power sink characteristic
- During second subinterval, all stored energy in inductor is transferred, through diode, to load
- Hence, in averaged model, diode becomes a power source, having value equal to the power consumed by the transistor power sink element


## Averaged equivalent circuit



## Steady state model: DCM CPM buck-boost



Solution

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{V^{2}}{R}=P \\
P=\frac{\frac{1}{2} L I_{c}^{2}(t) f_{s}}{\left(1+\frac{M_{a}}{M_{1}}\right)^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
V=\sqrt{P R}=I_{c} \quad \sqrt{\frac{R L f_{s}}{2\left(1+\frac{M_{a}}{M_{1}}\right)^{2}}}
$$

for a resistive load

## Models of buck and boost



## Summary of steady-state DCM CPM characteristics

Table 11.3. Steady-state DCM CPM characteristics of basic converters

| Converter | M | $I_{\text {crit }}$ | Stability range when $m_{a}=0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buck | $\frac{P_{\text {load }}-P}{P_{\text {load }}}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(I_{c}-M m_{a} T\right.$ | $0 \leq M<\frac{2}{3}$ |
| Boost | $\frac{P_{\text {load }}}{P_{\text {load }}-P}$ | $\frac{\left(I_{c}-\frac{M-1}{M} m_{a} T_{s}\right)}{2 M}$ | $0 \leq D \leq 1$ |
| Buck-boost | Depends on load characteristic: $P_{\text {load }}=P$ | $\frac{\left(I_{c}-\frac{M}{M-1} m_{a} T_{s}\right)}{2(M-1)}$ | $0 \leq D \leq 1$ |

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
|I|>\left|I_{c r i t}\right| & & \text { for CCM } \\
|I|<\left|I_{c r i t}\right| & & \text { for DCM }
\end{array}
$$

## Buck converter: output characteristic with $m_{a}=0$



- with a resistive load, there can be two operating points
- the operating point having $V>0.67 V_{g}$ can be shown to be unstable


## Linearized small-signal models: Buck



## Linearized small-signal models: Boost



## Linearized small-signal models: Buck-boost



## DCM CPM small-signal parameters: input port

Table 11.4. Current programmed DCM small-signal equivalent circuit parameters: input port

| Converter | $g_{1}$ | $f_{1}$ | $r_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buck | $\frac{1}{R}\left(\frac{M^{2}}{1-M}\right) \frac{\left(1-\frac{m_{a}}{m_{1}}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{m_{a}}{m_{1}}\right)}$ | $2 \frac{I_{1}}{I_{c}}$ | $-R\left(\frac{1-M}{M^{2}}\right) \frac{\left(1+\frac{m_{a}}{m_{1}}\right)}{\left(1-\frac{m_{a}}{m_{1}}\right)}$ |
| Boost | $-\frac{1}{R}\left(\frac{M}{M-1}\right)$ | $2 \frac{I}{I_{c}}$ | $\left.\frac{M^{2}\left(\frac{2-M}{M-1}+\frac{2}{1+\frac{m_{a}}{m_{1}}} \text { ( } m_{1}\right.}{m_{1}}\right)$ |
| Buck-boost | 0 | $2 \frac{I_{1}}{I_{c}}$ | $\frac{-R}{M^{2}} \frac{\left(1+\frac{m_{a}}{m_{1}}\right)}{\left(1-\frac{m_{a}}{m_{1}}\right)}$ |

## DCM CPM small-signal parameters: output port

Table 11.5. Current programmed DCM small-signal equivalent circuit parameters: output port

| Converter | $g_{2}$ | $f_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buck | $\frac{1}{R}\left(\frac{M}{1-M}\right) \frac{\left(\frac{m_{a}}{m_{1}}(2-M)-M\right.}{\left(1+\frac{m_{a}}{m_{1}}\right)}$ | $2 \frac{I}{I_{c}}$ |
| Boost | $\frac{1}{R}\left(\frac{M}{M-1}\right)$ | $R \frac{(1-M)\left(1+\frac{m_{a}{ }^{\prime}}{m_{1}}\right.}{\left(1-2 M+\frac{m_{a}}{m_{1}}\right)}$ |
| Buck-boost | $\frac{2 M}{R} \frac{\left(\frac{m_{a}}{m_{1}}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{m_{a}}{m_{1}}\right)}$ | $2 \frac{I_{2}}{I_{c}}$ |
| $I_{c}$ | $R\left(\frac{M-1}{M}\right)$ |  |

## Simplified DCM CPM model, with $L=0$

Buck, boost, buck-boost all become


$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
G_{v c}(s)=\left.\frac{\hat{v}}{\hat{i}_{c}}\right|_{\hat{v}_{g}=0}=\frac{G_{c 0}}{1+\frac{S}{\omega_{p}}} & G_{v g}(s)=\left.\frac{\hat{v}}{\hat{v}_{g}}\right|_{\hat{i}_{c}=0}=\frac{G_{g 0}}{1+\frac{S}{\omega_{p}}} \\
G_{c 0} & =f_{2}\left(R \| r_{2}\right) & G_{g 0}=g_{2}\left(R \| r_{2}\right) \\
\omega_{p} & =\frac{1}{\left(R \| r_{2}\right) C} &
\end{array}
$$

## Buck $\omega_{p}$

Plug in parameters:

$$
\omega_{p}=\frac{1}{R C} \frac{(2-3 M)(1-M)+\frac{m_{a}}{m_{2}} M(2-M)}{(1-M)\left(1-M+M \frac{m_{a}}{m_{2}}\right)}
$$

- For $m_{a}=0$, numerator is negative when $M>2 / 3$.
- $\quad \omega_{p}$ then constitutes a RHP pole. Converter is unstable.
- Addition of small artificial ramp stabilizes system.
- $\quad m_{a}>0.086$ leads to stability for all $M \leq 1$.
- Output voltage feedback can also stabilize system, without an artificial ramp


### 11.5 Summary of key points

1. In current-programmed control, the peak switch current $i_{s}(t)$ follows the control input $i_{c}(t)$. This widely used control scheme has the advantage of a simpler control-to-output transfer function. The line-to-output transfer functions of current-programmed buck converters are also reduced.
2. The basic current-programmed controller is unstable when $D>0.5$, regardless of the converter topology. The controller can be stabilized by addition of an artificial ramp having slope ma. When $m_{a} \geq 0.5 m_{2}$, then the controller is stable for all duty cycle.
3. The behavior of current-programmed converters can be modeled in a simple and intuitive manner by the first-order approximation $\left\langle i_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \approx i_{c}(t)$. The averaged terminal waveforms of the switch network can then be modeled simply by a current source of value $i_{c}$, in conjunction with a power sink or power source element. Perturbation and linearization of these elements leads to the small-signal model. Alternatively, the smallsignal converter equations derived in Chapter 7 can be adapted to cover the current programmed mode, using the simple approximation $i_{L}(t) \approx i_{c}(t)$.

## Summary of key points

4. The simple model predicts that one pole is eliminated from the converter line-to-output and control-to-output transfer functions. Current programming does not alter the transfer function zeroes. The dc gains become load-dependent.
5. The more accurate model of Section 11.3 correctly accounts for the difference between the average inductor current $\left\langle i_{L}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$ and the control input $i_{c}(t)$. This model predicts the nonzero line-to-output transfer function $G_{v g}(s)$ of the buck converter. The current-programmed controller behavior is modeled by a block diagram, which is appended to the small-signal converter models derived in Chapter 7. Analysis of the resulting multiloop feedback system then leads to the relevant transfer functions.
6. The more accurate model predicts that the inductor pole occurs at the crossover frequency fc of the effective current feedback loop gain $T_{i}(s)$. The frequency $f_{c}$ typically occurs in the vicinity of the converter switching frequency $f_{s}$. The more accurate model also predicts that the line-to-output transfer function $G_{v g}(s)$ of the buck converter is nulled when $m_{a}=0.5 m_{2}$.

## Summary of key points

7. Current programmed converters operating in the discontinuous conduction mode are modeled in Section 11.4. The averaged transistor waveforms can be modeled by a power sink, while the averaged diode waveforms are modeled by a power source. The power is controlled by $i_{c}(t)$. Perturbation and linearization of these averaged models, as usual, leads to small-signal equivalent circuits.

## Part III. Magnetics

12. Basic Magnetics Theory<br>13. Filter Inductor Design<br>14. Transformer Design
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### 12.1. Review of basic magnetics 12.1.1. Basic relations



## Basic quantities

## Magnetic quantities



## Electrical quantities



## Magnetic field $\boldsymbol{H}$ and magnetomotive force F

Magnetomotive force (MMF) F between points $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ is related to the magnetic field $\boldsymbol{H}$ according to

$$
\mathrm{F}=\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} \boldsymbol{H} \cdot \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{l}
$$



Analogous to electric field of strength $E$, which induces voltage (EMF) V:


## Flux density $\boldsymbol{B}$ and total flux $\Phi$

The total magnetic flux $\Phi$ passing through a surface of area $A_{c}$ is related to the flux density $\boldsymbol{B}$ according to

$$
\Phi=\int_{\text {surfaces }} \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{A}
$$

Example: uniform flux density of magnitude $B$

$$
\Phi=B A_{c}
$$



Analogous to electrical conductor current density of magnitude $J$, which leads to total conductor current I:


## Faraday's law

Voltage $v(t)$ is induced in a loop of wire by change in the total flux $\Phi(t)$ passing through the interior of the loop, according to

$$
v(t)=\frac{d \Phi(t)}{d t}
$$

For uniform flux distribution, $\Phi(t)=B(t) A_{c}$ and hence


$$
v(t)=A_{c} \frac{d B(t)}{d t}
$$

## Lenz's law

The voltage $v(t)$ induced by the changing flux $\Phi(t)$ is of the polarity that tends to drive a current through the loop to counteract the flux change.

Example: a shorted loop of wire

- Changing flux $\Phi(\mathrm{t})$ induces a voltage $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{t})$ around the loop
- This voltage, divided by the impedance of the loop conductor, leads to current $i(t)$
- This current induces a flux $\Phi^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})$, which tends to oppose changes in $\Phi(\mathrm{t})$



## Ampere's law

The net MMF around a closed path is equal to the total current passing through the interior of the path:
$\boldsymbol{H} \cdot \boldsymbol{d l}=$ total current passing through interior of path

Example: magnetic core. Wire carrying current i(t) passes through core window.

- Illustrated path follows magnetic flux lines around interior of core
- For uniform magnetic field strength $H(t)$, the integral (MMF) is $H(t) l_{m}$. So

$$
F(t)=H(t) l_{m}=i(t)
$$



## Ampere's law: discussion

- Relates magnetic field strength $H(t)$ to winding current $i(t)$
- We can view winding currents as sources of MMF
- Previous example: total MMF around core, $\mathrm{F}(t)=H(t) l_{m}$, is equal to the winding current MMF $i(t)$
- The total MMF around a closed loop, accounting for winding current MMF's, is zero


## Core material characteristics: the relation between $\boldsymbol{B}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$

Free space

$\mu_{0}=$ permeability of free space
$=4 \pi \cdot 10^{-7}$ Henries per meter

A magnetic core material


Highly nonlinear, with hysteresis and saturation

## Piecewise-linear modeling of core material characteristics

No hysteresis or saturation


Typical $\mu_{r}=10^{3}-10^{5}$

Saturation, no hysteresis


Typical $B_{\text {sat }}=0.3-0.5 \mathrm{~T}$, ferrite 0.5-1T, powdered iron 1-2T, iron laminations

## Units

Table 12.1. Units for magnetic quantities

| quantity | $M K S$ | unrationalized cgs | conversions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| core material equation | $B=\mu_{0} \mu_{\mathrm{r}} H$ | $B=\mu_{\mathrm{r}} H$ |  |
| $B$ | Tesla | Gauss | $1 \mathrm{~T}=10^{4} \mathrm{G}$ |
| $H$ | Ampere $/$ meter | Oersted | $1 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{m}=4 \pi \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{Oe}$ |
| $\Phi$ | Weber | Maxwell | $1 \mathrm{~Wb}=10^{8} \mathrm{Mx}$ |
|  |  |  | $1 \mathrm{~T}=1 \mathrm{~Wb} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |

## Example: a simple inductor

## Faraday's law:

For each turn of wire, we can write

$$
v_{\text {turn }}(t)=\frac{d \Phi(t)}{d t}
$$

Total winding voltage is

$$
v(t)=n v_{\text {turn }}(t)=n \frac{d \Phi(t)}{d t}
$$



Express in terms of the average flux density $B(t)=\Phi(t) / A_{c}$

$$
v(t)=n A_{c} \frac{d B(t)}{d t}
$$

## Inductor example: Ampere's law

Choose a closed path which follows the average magnetic field line around the interior of the core. Length of this path is called the mean magnetic path length $l_{m}$.

For uniform field strength $H(t)$, the core MMF
 around the path is $H l_{m}$.

Winding contains n turns of wire, each carrying current $i(t)$. The net current passing through the path interior (i.e., through the core window) is $n i(t)$.

From Ampere's law, we have

$$
H(t) l_{m}=n i(t)
$$

## Inductor example: core material model

$$
B= \begin{cases}B_{s a t} & \text { for } H \geq B_{s a t} / \mu \\ \mu H & \text { for }|H|<B_{s a t} / \mu \\ -B_{\text {sat }} & \text { for } H \leq B_{s a t} / \mu\end{cases}
$$

Find winding current at onset of saturation:

substitute $i=I_{\text {sat }}$ and $H=B_{s a t} / \mu$ into equation previously derived via Ampere's law. Result is

$$
I_{s a t}=\frac{B_{s a t} l_{m}}{\mu n}
$$

## Electrical terminal characteristics

We have:

$$
v(t)=n A_{c} \frac{d B(t)}{d t}
$$

$$
H(t) l_{m}=n i(t) \quad B= \begin{cases}B_{\text {sat }} & \text { for } H \geq B_{\text {sat }} / \mu \\ \mu H & \text { for }|H|<B_{\text {sat }} / \mu \\ -B_{\text {sat }} & \text { for } H \leq B_{\text {sat }} / \mu\end{cases}
$$

Eliminate $B$ and $H$, and solve for relation between $v$ and $i$. For $|i|<I_{\text {sat }}$,

$$
v(t)=\mu n A_{c} \frac{d H(t)}{d t} \quad \longrightarrow \quad v(t)=\frac{\mu n^{2} A_{c}}{l_{m}} \frac{d i(t)}{d t}
$$

which is of the form

$$
v(t)=L \frac{d i(t)}{d t} \quad \text { with } \quad L=\frac{\mu n^{2} A_{c}}{l_{m}}
$$

-an inductor
For $|i|>I_{\text {sat }}$ the flux density is constant and equal to $B_{\text {sat }}$. Faraday's law then predicts

$$
v(t)=n A_{c} \frac{d B_{\text {sat }}}{d t}=0 \quad \text {-saturation leads to short circuit }
$$

### 12.1.2. Magnetic circuits

Uniform flux and magnetic field inside a rectangular element:

MMF between ends of element is

$$
F=H l
$$



Since $H=B / \mu$ and $B=\Phi / A c$, we can express $F$ as

$$
F=\frac{l}{\mu A_{c}} \Phi \quad \text { with } \quad R=\frac{l}{\mu A_{c}}
$$

A corresponding model:

$R=$ reluctance of element

Magnetic circuits: magnetic structures composed of multiple windings and heterogeneous elements

- Represent each element with reluctance
- Windings are sources of MMF
- MMF $\rightarrow$ voltage, flux $\rightarrow$ current
- Solve magnetic circuit using Kirchoff's laws, etc.


## Magnetic analog of Kirchoff's current law

Divergence of $\boldsymbol{B}=0$
Flux lines are continuous and cannot end

Total flux entering a node must be zero


Magnetic circuit


## Magnetic analog of Kirchoff's voltage law

Follows from Ampere's law:

$$
\oint_{\text {closed pauh }} \boldsymbol{H} \cdot \boldsymbol{d l}=\text { total current passing through interior of path }
$$

Left-hand side: sum of MMF's across the reluctances around the closed path

Right-hand side: currents in windings are sources of MMF's. An $n$-turn winding carrying current $i(t)$ is modeled as an MMF (voltage) source, of value $n i(t)$.

Total MMF's around the closed path add up to zero.

## Example: inductor with air gap



## Magnetic circuit model



$$
\begin{array}{cl}
F_{c}+F_{g}=n i & R_{c}=\frac{l_{c}}{\mu A_{c}} \\
n i=\Phi\left(R_{c}+R_{g}\right) & R_{g}=\frac{l_{g}}{\mu_{0} A_{c}}
\end{array}
$$



## Solution of model



Faraday's law: $\quad v(t)=n \frac{d \Phi(t)}{d t}$
Substitute for $\Phi: \quad v(t)=\frac{n^{2}}{R_{c}+R_{g}} \frac{d i(t)}{d t}$
Hence inductance is

$$
L=\frac{n^{2}}{R_{c}+R_{g}}
$$

## Effect of air gap

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n i=\Phi\left(R_{c}+R_{g}\right) \\
& L=\frac{n^{2}}{R_{c}+R_{g}} \\
& \Phi_{\text {sat }}=B_{s a t} A_{c} \\
& I_{\text {sat }}=\frac{B_{s a t} A_{c}}{n}\left(R_{c}+R_{g}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Effect of air gap:

- decrease inductance
- increase saturation current
- inductance is less dependent on core permeability



### 12.2. Transformer modeling

Two windings, no air gap:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=\frac{l_{m}}{\mu A_{c}} \\
& F_{c}=n_{1} i_{1}+n_{2} i_{2} \\
& \Phi R=n_{1} i_{1}+n_{2} i_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



Magnetic circuit model:


### 12.2.1. The ideal transformer

In the ideal transformer, the core reluctance R approaches zero.

MMF $\mathrm{F}_{c}=\Phi \mathrm{R}$ also approaches zero. We then obtain

$$
0=n_{1} i_{1}+n_{2} i_{2}
$$

Also, by Faraday's law,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}=n_{1} \frac{d \Phi}{d t} \\
& v_{2}=n_{2} \frac{d \Phi}{d t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Eliminate $\Phi$ :

$$
\frac{d \Phi}{d t}=\frac{v_{1}}{n_{1}}=\frac{v_{2}}{n_{2}}
$$

Ideal transformer equations:

$$
\frac{v_{1}}{n_{1}}=\frac{v_{2}}{n_{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad n_{1} i_{1}+n_{2} i_{2}=0
$$
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### 12.2.2. The magnetizing inductance

For nonzero core reluctance, we obtain

$$
\Phi R=n_{1} i_{1}+n_{2} i_{2} \quad \text { with } \quad v_{1}=n_{1} \frac{d \Phi}{d t}
$$

Eliminate $\Phi$ :

$$
v_{1}=\frac{n_{1}^{2}}{R} \frac{d}{d t}\left[i_{1}+\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} i_{2}\right]
$$



This equation is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad v_{1}=L_{m p} \frac{d i_{m p}}{d t} \\
& \text { with } \quad L_{m p}=\frac{n_{1}^{2}}{R} \\
& i_{m p}=i_{1}+\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} i_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Magnetizing inductance: discussion

- Models magnetization of core material
- A real, physical inductor, that exhibits saturation and hysteresis
- If the secondary winding is disconnected:
we are left with the primary winding on the core
primary winding then behaves as an inductor
the resulting inductor is the magnetizing inductance, referred to the primary winding
- Magnetizing current causes the ratio of winding currents to differ from the turns ratio


## Transformer saturation

- Saturation occurs when core flux density $B(t)$ exceeds saturation flux density $B_{\text {sat }}$.
- When core saturates, the magnetizing current becomes large, the impedance of the magnetizing inductance becomes small, and the windings are effectively shorted out.
- Large winding currents $i_{1}(t)$ and $i_{2}(t)$ do not necessarily lead to saturation. If

$$
0=n_{1} i_{1}+n_{2} i_{2}
$$

then the magnetizing current is zero, and there is no net magnetization of the core.

- Saturation is caused by excessive applied volt-seconds


## Saturation vs. applied volt-seconds

Magnetizing current depends on the integral of the applied winding voltage:

$$
i_{m p}(t)=\frac{1}{L_{m p}} \int v_{1}(t) d t
$$

Flux density is proportional:

$$
B(t)=\frac{1}{n_{1} A_{c}} \int v_{1}(t) d t
$$



Flux density befcomes large, and core saturates, when the applied volt-seconds $\lambda_{1}$ are too large, where

$$
\lambda_{1}=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} v_{1}(t) d t
$$

limits of integration chosen to coincide with positive portion of applied voltage waveform

### 12.2.3. Leakage inductances



## Transformer model, including leakage inductance

$\left[\begin{array}{l}v_{1}(t) \\ v_{2}(t)\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}L_{11} & L_{12} \\ L_{12} & L_{22}\end{array}\right] \frac{d}{d t}\left[\begin{array}{l}i_{1}(t) \\ i_{2}(t)\end{array}\right]$
mutual inductance

$$
L_{12}=\frac{n_{1} n_{2}}{R}=\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} L_{m p}
$$

primary and secondary self-inductances

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{11}=L_{l 1}+\frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}} L_{12} \\
& L_{22}=L_{12}+\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} L_{12}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 12.3. Loss mechanisms in magnetic devices

Low-frequency losses:
Dc copper loss
Core loss: hysteresis loss
High-frequency losses: the skin effect
Core loss: classical eddy current losses
Eddy current losses in ferrite cores
High frequency copper loss: the proximity effect
Proximity effect: high frequency limit
MMF diagrams, losses in a layer, and losses in basic multilayer windings

Effect of PWM waveform harmonics

### 12.3.1. Core loss

Energy per cycle $W$ flowing into $n$ turn winding of an inductor, excited by periodic waveforms of frequency $f$ :

$$
W=\int_{\text {one cycle }} v(t) i(t) d t
$$



Relate winding voltage and current to core $B$ and $H$ via Faraday's law and Ampere's law:

$$
v(t)=n A_{c} \frac{d B(t)}{d t} \quad H(t) l_{m}=n i(t)
$$

Substitute into integral:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W & =\int_{\text {one cycle }}\left(n A_{c} \frac{d B(t)}{d t}\right)\left(\frac{H(t) l_{m}}{n}\right) d t \\
& =\left(A_{c} l_{m}\right) \int_{\text {one cycle }} H d B
\end{aligned}
$$

## Core loss: Hysteresis loss

$$
W=\left(A_{c} l_{m}\right) \int_{\text {one cycle }} H d B
$$

The term $A_{c} l_{m}$ is the volume of the core, while the integral is the area of the $B-H$ loop.

$($ energy lost per cycle $)=($ core volume $)($ area of $B-H$ loop $)$

$$
P_{H}=(f)\left(A_{c} l_{m}\right) \int_{\text {one cycle }} H d B
$$

Hysteresis loss is directly proportional to applied frequency

## Modeling hysteresis loss

- Hysteresis loss varies directly with applied frequency
- Dependence on maximum flux density: how does area of $B-H$ loop depend on maximum flux density (and on applied waveforms)?
Emperical equation (Steinmetz equation):

$$
P_{H}=K_{H} f B_{\max }^{\alpha}(\text { core volume })
$$

The parameters $K_{H}$ and $\alpha$ are determined experimentally. Dependence of $P_{H}$ on $B_{\max }$ is predicted by the theory of magnetic domains.

## Core loss: eddy current loss

Magnetic core materials are reasonably good conductors of electric current. Hence, according to Lenz's law, magnetic fields within the core induce currents ("eddy currents") to flow within the core. The eddy currents flow such that they tend to generate a flux which opposes changes in the core flux $\Phi(t)$. The eddy currents tend to prevent flux from penetrating the core.


Eddy current loss $i^{2}(t) R$

## Modeling eddy current loss

- Ac flux $\Phi(t)$ induces voltage $v(t)$ in core, according to Faraday's law. Induced voltage is proportional to derivative of $\Phi(t)$. In consequence, magnitude of induced voltage is directly proportional to excitation frequency $f$.
- If core material impedance $Z$ is purely resistive and independent of frequency, $Z=R$, then eddy current magnitude is proportional to voltage: $i(t)=v(t) / R$. Hence magnitude of $i(t)$ is directly proportional to excitation frequency $f$.
- Eddy current power loss $i^{2}(t) R$ then varies with square of excitation frequency $f$.
- Classical Steinmetz equation for eddy current loss:

$$
P_{E}=K_{E} f^{2} B_{\max }^{2}(\text { core volume })
$$

- Ferrite core material impedance is capacitive. This causes eddy current power loss to increase as $f^{4}$.


## Total core loss: manufacturer's data



Empirical equation, at a fixed frequency:

$$
P_{f e}=K_{f e} B_{m a x}^{B} A_{c} l_{m}
$$

## Core materials

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\hline \text { Core type } & B_{\text {sat }} & \text { Relative core loss } & \text { Applications } \\
\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Laminations } \\
\text { iron, silicon steel }\end{array}
$$ \& 1.5-2.0 \mathrm{~T} \& high \& 50-60 \mathrm{~Hz} transformers, <br>

inductors\end{array}\right]\)| 1 kHz transformers, |
| :---: |
| Powdered cores <br> powdered iron, <br> molypermalloy |
| Ferrite <br> Manganese-zinc, <br> Nickel-zinc |

### 12.3.2. Low-frequency copper loss

DC resistance of wire

$$
R=\rho \frac{l_{b}}{A_{w}}
$$

where $A_{w}$ is the wire bare cross-sectional area, and $l_{b}$ is the length of the wire. The resistivity $\rho$ is equal to $1.724 \cdot 10^{-6} \Omega \mathrm{~cm}$ for soft-annealed copper at room temperature. This resistivity increases to $2.3 \cdot 10^{-6} \Omega \mathrm{~cm}$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The wire resistance leads to a power loss of

$$
P_{c u}=I_{r m s}^{2} R
$$



### 12.4. Eddy currents in winding conductors 12.4.1. The skin effect



## Penetration depth $\delta$

For sinusoidal currents: current density is an exponentially decaying function of distance into the conductor, with characteristic length $\delta$ known as the penetration depth or skin depth.

$$
\delta=\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\pi \mu f}}
$$

Wire diameter
penetration depth $\delta$, cm

For copper at room temperature:

$$
\delta=\frac{7.5}{\sqrt{f}} \mathrm{~cm}
$$



### 12.4.2. The proximity effect

Ac current in a conductor induces eddy currents in adjacent conductors by a process called the proximity effect. This causes significant power loss in the windings of high-frequency transformers and ac inductors.

A multi-layer foil winding, with $d \gg \delta$. Each layer carries net current $i(t)$.


## Estimating proximity loss: high-frequency limit

Let $P_{1}$ be power loss in layer 1:

$$
P_{1}=I_{r m s}^{2}\left(R_{d c} \frac{d}{\delta}\right)
$$

Power loss $P_{2}$ in layer 2 is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{2} & =I_{r m s}^{2}\left(R_{d c} \frac{d}{\delta}\right)+\left(2 I_{r m s}\right)^{2}\left(R_{d c} \frac{d}{\delta}\right) \\
& =5 P_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Power loss $P_{3}$ in layer 3 is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{3} & =\left(2 I_{r m s}\right)^{2}\left(R_{d c} \frac{d}{\delta}\right)+\left(3 I_{r m s}\right)^{2}\left(R_{d c} \frac{d}{\delta}\right) \\
& =13 P_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Power loss $P_{m}$ in layer m is:

$$
P_{m}=\left((m-1)^{2}+m^{2}\right) P_{1}
$$



## Total loss in M-layer winding: high-frequency limit

Add up losses in each layer:

$$
\left.P_{w}\right|_{d \gg \delta}=\sum_{j=1}^{M} P_{j}=\frac{M}{3}\left(2 M^{2}+1\right) P_{1}
$$

## Compare with dc copper loss:

If foil thickness were $d=\delta$, then at dc each layer would produce copper loss $P_{1}$. The copper loss of the $M$-layer winding would be

$$
\left.P_{w, d c}\right|_{d=\delta}=M P_{1}
$$

For foil thicknesses other than $d=\delta$, the dc resistance and power loss are changed by a factor of $d / \delta$. The total winding dc copper loss is

$$
P_{w, d c}=M P_{1} \frac{\delta}{d}
$$

So the proximity effect increases the copper loss by a factor of

$$
\left.F_{R}\right|_{d \gg \delta}=\frac{\left.P_{w}\right|_{d \gg \delta}}{P_{w, d c}}=\frac{1}{3} \frac{d}{\delta}\left(2 M^{2}+1\right)
$$

## Approximating a layer of round conductors as an effective foil conductor



Conductor spacing factor:

$$
\eta=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4}} d \frac{n_{l}}{l_{w}}
$$

Effective ratio of conductor thickness to skin depth:

$$
\varphi=\sqrt{\eta} \frac{d}{\delta}
$$

### 12.4.3. Magnetic fields in the vicinity of winding conductors: MMF diagrams

## Two-winding transformer example



## Transformer example: magnetic field lines

$$
\left(m_{p}-m_{s}\right) i=F(x) \quad H(x)=\frac{F(x)}{l_{w}}
$$



## Ampere's law and MMF diagram



## MMF diagram for $d \gg \delta$



## Interleaved windings



## Partially-interleaved windings: fractional layers



### 12.4.4. Power loss in a layer

Approximate computation of copper loss in one layer

Assume uniform magnetic fields at surfaces of layer, of strengths $H(0)$ and $H(d)$. Assume that these fields are parallel to layer surface (i.e., neglect fringing and assume field normal component is zero).

The magnetic fields $H(0)$ and $H(d)$ are driven by the MMFs $\mathrm{F}(0)$ and $\mathrm{F}(d)$. Sinusoidal waveforms are assumed, and rms values are used. It is assumed that $H(0)$ and $H(d)$ are in phase.


## Solution for layer copper loss $P$

Solve Maxwell's equations to find current density distribution within layer. Then integrate to find total copper loss $P$ in layer. Result is

$$
P=R_{d c} \frac{\varphi}{n_{l}^{2}}\left\lfloor\left(\mathrm{~F}^{2}(d)+\mathrm{F}^{2}(0)\right) G_{1}(\varphi)-4 \mathrm{~F}(d) \mathrm{F}(0) G_{2}(\varphi) \mid\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{d c}=\rho \frac{(M L T) n_{l}^{2}}{l_{w} \eta d} \\
& G_{1}(\varphi)=\frac{\sinh (2 \varphi)+\sin (2 \varphi)}{\cosh (2 \varphi)-\cos (2 \varphi)} \\
& G_{2}(\varphi)=\frac{\sinh (\varphi) \cos (\varphi)+\cosh (\varphi) \sin (\varphi)}{\cosh (2 \varphi)-\cos (2 \varphi)} \\
& \varphi=\sqrt{\eta} \frac{d}{\delta} \quad \eta=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4}} d \frac{n_{l}}{l_{w}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$n_{l}=$ number of turns in layer, $R_{d c}=$ dc resistance of layer, (MLT) = mean-length-per-turn, or circumference, of layer.

## Winding carrying current $I$, with $n_{l}$ turns per layer

If winding carries current of rms magnitude $I$, then

$$
F(d)-F(0)=n_{l} I
$$

Express $\mathrm{F}(d)$ in terms of the winding current $I$, as

$$
F(d)=m n_{l} I
$$

The quantity $m$ is the ratio of the MMF $\mathrm{F}(d)$ to the layer ampere-turns $n_{l} I$. Then,

$$
\frac{F(0)}{F(d)}=\frac{m-1}{m}
$$

Power dissipated in the layer can now be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=I^{2} R_{d c} \varphi Q^{\prime}(\varphi, m) \\
& Q^{\prime}(\varphi, m)=\left(2 m^{2}-2 m+1\right) G_{1}(\varphi)-4 m(m-1) G_{2}(\varphi)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Increased copper loss in layer



## Layer copper loss vs. layer thickness

$$
\frac{P}{\left.P_{d c}\right|_{d=\delta}}=Q^{\prime}(\varphi, m)
$$

$$
\frac{P}{\left.P_{d c}\right|_{\varphi=1}}
$$

Relative to copper loss when $d=\delta$
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### 12.4.5. Example: Power loss in a transformer winding

Two winding transformer


Sum losses over all primary layers:

$$
F_{R}=\frac{P_{p r i}}{P_{p r i, d c}}=\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \varphi Q^{\prime}(\varphi, m)
$$

## Increased total winding loss

Express summation in closed form:

$$
F_{R}=\varphi\left[G_{1}(\varphi)+\frac{2}{3}\left(M^{2}-1\right)\left(G_{1}(\varphi)-2 G_{2}(\varphi)\right)\right]
$$



## Total winding loss



### 12.4.6. PWM waveform harmonics

## Fourier series:

$$
i(t)=I_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{2} I_{j} \cos (j \omega t)
$$

with

$$
I_{j}=\frac{\sqrt{2} I_{p k}}{j \pi} \sin (j \pi D) \quad I_{0}=D I_{p k}
$$

Copper loss:


$$
\text { Dc } \quad P_{d c}=I_{0}^{2} R_{d c}
$$

$$
\text { Ac } \quad P_{j}=I_{j}^{2} R_{d c} \sqrt{j} \varphi_{1}\left[G_{1}\left(\sqrt{j} \varphi_{1}\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(M^{2}-1\right)\left(G_{1}\left(\sqrt{j} \varphi_{1}\right)-2 G_{2}\left(\sqrt{j} \varphi_{1}\right)\right)\right]
$$

Total, relative to value predicted by low-frequency analysis:

$$
\frac{P_{c u}}{D I_{p k}^{2} R_{d c}}=D+\frac{2 \varphi_{1}}{D \pi^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin ^{2}(j \pi D)}{j \sqrt{j}}\left[G_{1}\left(\sqrt{j} \varphi_{1}\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(M^{2}-1\right)\left(G_{1}\left(\sqrt{j} \varphi_{1}\right)-2 G_{2}\left(\sqrt{j} \varphi_{1}\right)\right)\right]
$$

## Harmonic loss factor $F_{H}$

Effect of harmonics: $F_{H}=$ ratio of total ac copper loss to fundamental copper loss

$$
F_{H}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_{j}}{P_{1}}
$$

The total winding copper loss can then be written

$$
P_{c u}=I_{0}^{2} R_{d c}+F_{H} F_{R} I_{1}^{2} R_{d c}
$$

## Increased proximity losses

 induced by PWM waveform harmonics: $D=0.5$

## Increased proximity losses induced by PWM waveform harmonics: $D=0.3$



## Increased proximity losses induced by PWM waveform harmonics: $D=0.1$



## Summary of Key Points

1. Magnetic devices can be modeled using lumped-element magnetic circuits, in a manner similar to that commonly used to model electrical circuits. The magnetic analogs of electrical voltage $V$, current $I$, and resistance $R$, are magnetomotive force (MMF) F, flux $\Phi$, and reluctance R respectively.
2. Faraday's law relates the voltage induced in a loop of wire to the derivative of flux passing through the interior of the loop.
3. Ampere's law relates the total MMF around a loop to the total current passing through the center of the loop. Ampere's law implies that winding currents are sources of MMF, and that when these sources are included, then the net MMF around a closed path is equal to zero.
4. Magnetic core materials exhibit hysteresis and saturation. A core material saturates when the flux density $B$ reaches the saturation flux density $B_{\text {sat }}$.

## Summary of key points

5. Air gaps are employed in inductors to prevent saturation when a given maximum current flows in the winding, and to stabilize the value of inductance. The inductor with air gap can be analyzed using a simple magnetic equivalent circuit, containing core and air gap reluctances and a source representing the winding MMF.
6. Conventional transformers can be modeled using sources representing the MMFs of each winding, and the core MMF. The core reluctance approaches zero in an ideal transformer. Nonzero core reluctance leads to an electrical transformer model containing a magnetizing inductance, effectively in parallel with the ideal transformer. Flux that does not link both windings, or "leakage flux," can be modeled using series inductors.
7. The conventional transformer saturates when the applied winding voltseconds are too large. Addition of an air gap has no effect on saturation. Saturation can be prevented by increasing the core cross-sectional area, or by increasing the number of primary turns.

## Summary of key points

8. Magnetic materials exhibit core loss, due to hysteresis of the $B-H$ loop and to induced eddy currents flowing in the core material. In available core materials, there is a tradeoff between high saturation flux density $B_{\text {sat }}$ and high core loss $P_{f e}$. Laminated iron alloy cores exhibit the highest $B_{s a t}$ but also the highest $P_{f e}$, while ferrite cores exhibit the lowest $P_{f e}$ but also the lowest $B_{\text {sat }}$. Between these two extremes are powdered iron alloy and amorphous alloy materials.
9. The skin and proximity effects lead to eddy currents in winding conductors, which increase the copper loss $P_{c u}$ in high-current high-frequency magnetic devices. When a conductor has thickness approaching or larger than the penetration depth $\delta$, magnetic fields in the vicinity of the conductor induce eddy currents in the conductor. According to Lenz's law, these eddy currents flow in paths that tend to oppose the applied magnetic fields.

## Summary of key points

10. The magnetic field strengths in the vicinity of the winding conductors can be determined by use of MMF diagrams. These diagrams are constructed by application of Ampere's law, following the closed paths of the magnetic field lines which pass near the winding conductors. Multiple-layer noninterleaved windings can exhibit high maximum MMFs, with resulting high eddy currents and high copper loss.
11. An expression for the copper loss in a layer, as a function of the magnetic field strengths or MMFs surrounding the layer, is given in Section 12.4.4. This expression can be used in conjunction with the MMF diagram, to compute the copper loss in each layer of a winding. The results can then be summed, yielding the total winding copper loss. When the effective layer thickness is near to or greater than one skin depth, the copper losses of multiple-layer noninterleaved windings are greatly increased.

## Summary of key points

12. Pulse-width-modulated winding currents of contain significant total harmonic distortion, which can lead to a further increase of copper loss. The increase in proximity loss caused by current harmonics is most pronounced in multiple-layer non-interleaved windings, with an effective layer thickness near one skin depth.

## Chapter 13. Filter Inductor Design

13.1. Several types of magnetic devices, their $B-H$ loops, and core vs. copper loss
13.2. Filter inductor design constraints
13.3. The core geometrical constant $K_{g}$
13.4. A step-by-step design procedure
13.5. Summary of key points

### 13.1. Several types of magnetic devices, their $B-H$ loops, and core vs. copper loss

A key design decision: the choice of maximum operating flux density $B_{\max }$

- Choose $B_{\max }$ to avoid saturation of core, or
- Further reduce $B_{\max }$, to reduce core losses

Different design procedures are employed in the two cases.
Types of magnetic devices:

Filter inductor
Conventional transformer
Flyback transformer
Magnetic amplifier

Ac inductor
Coupled inductor
SEPIC transformer
Saturable reactor

## Filter inductor

## CCM buck example



## Filter inductor, cont.

- Negligible core loss, negligible proximity loss
- Loss dominated by dc copper loss
- Flux density chosen simply to avoid saturation
- Air gap is employed

- Could use core materials having high saturation flux density (and relatively high core loss), even though converter switching frequency is high



## Ac inductor




## Ac inductor, cont.

- Core loss, copper loss, proximity loss are all significant
- An air gap is employed
- Flux density is chosen to reduce core loss
- A high-frequency material (ferrite) must be employed


## Conventional transformer



## Conventional transformer, cont.

- Core loss, copper loss, and proximity loss are usually significant
- No air gap is employed
- Flux density is chosen to reduce core loss
- A high frequency material (ferrite) must be employed


## Coupled inductor

## Two-output

 forward converter example

## Coupled inductor, cont.

- A filter inductor having multiple windings
- Air gap is employed
- Core loss and proximity loss usually not significant
- Flux density chosen to avoid saturation
- Low-frequency core material can be employed


## DCM Flyback transformer




Chapter 13: Filter inductor design

## DCM flyback transformer, cont.

- Core loss, copper loss, proximity loss are significant
- Flux density is chosen to reduce core loss
- Air gap is employed
- A high-frequency core material (ferrite) must be used


### 13.2. Filter inductor design constraints



Objective:
Design inductor having a given inductance $L$, which carries worst-case current $I_{\max }$ without saturating, and which has a given winding resistance $R$, or, equivalently, exhibits a worst-case copper loss of

$$
P_{c u}=I_{r m s}{ }^{2} R
$$

## Assumed filter inductor geometry



$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{c} & =\frac{l_{c}}{\mu_{c} A_{c}} \\
R_{g} & =\frac{l_{g}}{\mu_{0} A_{c}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solve magnetic circuit:

$$
n i=\Phi\left(R_{c}+R_{g}\right)
$$

For $\mathrm{R}_{c} \gg \mathrm{R}_{g^{i}} \quad n i \approx \Phi \mathrm{R}_{g}$

### 13.2.1. Constraint: maximum flux density

Given a peak winding current $I_{\max }$, it is desired to operate the core flux density at a peak value $B_{\max }$. The value of $B_{\max }$ is chosen to be less than the worst-case saturation flux density of the core material.
From solution of magnetic circuit:

$$
n i=B A_{c} \mathrm{R}_{g}
$$

Let $I=I_{\max }$ and $B=B_{\max }$ :

$$
n I_{\max }=B_{\max } A_{c} \mathrm{R}_{g}=B_{\max } \frac{l_{g}}{\mu_{0}}
$$

This is constraint \#1. The turns ratio $n$ and air gap length $l_{g}$ are unknown.

### 13.3.2. Constraint: Inductance

Must obtain specified inductance $L$. We know that the inductance is

$$
L=\frac{n^{2}}{R_{g}}=\frac{\mu_{0} A_{c} n^{2}}{l_{g}}
$$

This is constraint \#2. The turns ratio $n$, core area $A_{c}$, and air gap length $l_{g}$ are unknown.

### 13.3.3. Constraint: Winding area

Wire must fit through core window (i.e., hole in center of core)

Total area of copper in window:

$$
n A_{W}
$$

Area available for winding conductors:

$$
K_{u} W_{A}
$$



Third design constraint:

$$
K_{u} W_{A} \geq n A_{W}
$$

## The window utilization factor $K_{u}$ also called the "fill factor"

$K_{u}$ is the fraction of the core window area that is filled by copper
Mechanisms that cause $K_{u}$ to be less than 1:

- Round wire does not pack perfectly, which reduces $K_{u}$ by a factor of 0.7 to 0.55 depending on winding technique
- Insulation reduces $K_{u}$ by a factor of 0.95 to 0.65 , depending on wire size and type of insulation
- Bobbin uses some window area
- Additional insulation may be required between windings

Typical values of $K_{u}$ :
0.5 for simple low-voltage inductor
0.25 to 0.3 for off-line transformer
0.05 to 0.2 for high-voltage transformer (multiple kV )
0.65 for low-voltage foil-winding inductor

### 13.2.4 Winding resistance

The resistance of the winding is

$$
R=\rho \frac{l_{b}}{A_{W}}
$$

where $\rho$ is the resistivity of the conductor material, $l_{b}$ is the length of the wire, and $A_{W}$ is the wire bare area. The resistivity of copper at room temperature is $1.724 \cdot 10^{-6} \Omega-\mathrm{cm}$. The length of the wire comprising an $n$-turn winding can be expressed as

$$
l_{b}=n(M L T)
$$

where ( $M L T$ ) is the mean-length-per-turn of the winding. The mean-length-per-turn is a function of the core geometry. The above equations can be combined to obtain the fourth constraint:

$$
R=\rho \frac{n(M L T)}{A_{W}}
$$

### 13.3 The core geometrical constant $K_{g}$

The four constraints:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n I_{\max }=B_{\max } \frac{l_{g}}{\mu_{0}} & L=\frac{\mu_{0} A_{c} n^{2}}{l_{g}} \\
K_{u} W_{A} \geq n A_{W} & R=\rho \frac{n(M L T)}{A_{W}}
\end{array}
$$

These equations involve the quantities
$A_{c}, W_{A}$, and $M L T$, which are functions of the core geometry,
$I_{\max }, B_{\max }, \mu_{0}, L, K_{u}, R$, and $\rho$, which are given specifications or other known quantities, and
$n, l_{g}$, and $A_{W}$, which are unknowns.
Eliminate the three unknowns, leading to a single equation involving the remaining quantities.

## Core geometrical constant $K_{g}$

Elimination of $n, l_{g}$, and $A_{W}$ leads to

$$
\frac{A_{c}^{2} W_{A}}{(M L T)} \geq \frac{\rho L^{2} I_{\max }^{2}}{B_{\max }^{2} R K_{u}}
$$

- Right-hand side: specifications or other known quantities
- Left-hand side: function of only core geometry

So we must choose a core whose geometry satisfies the above equation.
The core geometrical constant $K_{g}$ is defined as

$$
K_{g}=\frac{A_{c}^{2} W_{A}}{(M L T)}
$$

## Discussion

$$
K_{g}=\frac{A_{c}^{2} W_{A}}{(M L T)} \geq \frac{\rho L^{2} I_{\max }^{2}}{B_{\max }^{2} R K_{u}}
$$

$K_{g}$ is a figure-of-merit that describes the effective electrical size of magnetic cores, in applications where the following quantities are specified:

- Copper loss
- Maximum flux density

How specifications affect the core size:
A smaller core can be used by increasing
$B_{\text {max }} \Rightarrow$ use core material having higher $B_{\text {sat }}$
$R \Rightarrow$ allow more copper loss
How the core geometry affects electrical capabilities:
A larger $K_{g}$ can be obtained by increase of
$A_{c} \Rightarrow$ more iron core material, or
$W_{A} \Rightarrow$ larger window and more copper

### 13.4 A step-by-step procedure

The following quantities are specified, using the units noted:

| Wire resistivity | $\rho$ | $(\Omega-\mathrm{cm})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak winding current | $I_{\max }$ | $(\mathrm{A})$ |
| Inductance | $L$ | $(\mathrm{H})$ |
| Winding resistance | $R$ | $(\Omega)$ |
| Winding fill factor | $K_{u}$ |  |
| Core maximum flux density | $B_{\max }$ | $(\mathrm{T})$ |

The core dimensions are expressed in cm:
Core cross-sectional area
$A_{c}$
( $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ )
Core window area $\quad W_{A} \quad\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$
Mean length per turn (cm)
The use of centimeters rather than meters requires that appropriate factors be added to the design equations.

## Determine core size

$$
K_{g} \geq \frac{\rho L^{2} I_{\text {max }}^{2}}{B_{\max }^{2} R K_{u}} 10^{8} \quad\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{5}\right)
$$

Choose a core which is large enough to satisfy this inequality (see Appendix 2 for magnetics design tables).

Note the values of $A_{c}, W_{A}$, and $M L T$ for this core.

## Determine air gap length

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{g}=\frac{\mu_{0} L I_{\text {max }}^{2}}{B_{\max }^{2} A_{c}} 10^{4} \tag{m}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A_{c}$ expressed in $\mathrm{cm}^{2} . \mu_{0}=4 \pi 10^{-7} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{m}$.
The air gap length is given in meters.
The value expressed above is approximate, and neglects fringing flux and other nonidealities.

## $A_{L}$

Core manufacturers sell gapped cores. Rather than specifying the air gap length, the equivalent quantity $A_{L}$ is used.
$A_{L}$ is equal to the inductance, in mH , obtained with a winding of 1000 turns.

When $A_{L}$ is specified, it is the core manufacturer's responsibility to obtain the correct gap length.
The required $A_{L}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{L}=\frac{10 B_{\max }^{2} A_{c}^{2}}{L I_{\max }^{2}} \quad(\mathrm{mH} / 1000 \text { turns }) \\
& L=A_{L} n^{2} 10^{-9} \quad \text { (Henries) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Units:

$$
A_{c} \quad \mathrm{~cm}^{2}
$$

$$
L \quad \text { Henries, }
$$

$$
B_{\max } \quad \text { Tesla. }
$$

## Determine number of turns $n$

$$
n=\frac{L I_{\max }}{B_{\max } A_{c}} 10^{4}
$$

## Evaluate wire size

$$
A_{W} \leq \frac{K_{u} W_{A}}{n} \quad\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)
$$

Select wire with bare copper area $A_{W}$ less than or equal to this value. An American Wire Gauge table is included in Appendix 2.

As a check, the winding resistance can be computed:

$$
R=\frac{\rho n(M L T)}{A_{w}}
$$

### 13.5 Summary of key points

1. A variety of magnetic devices are commonly used in switching converters. These devices differ in their core flux density variations, as well as in the magnitudes of the ac winding currents. When the flux density variations are small, core loss can be neglected. Alternatively, a low-frequency material can be used, having higher saturation flux density.
2. The core geometrical constant $K_{g}$ is a measure of the magnetic size of a core, for applications in which copper loss is dominant. In the $K_{g}$ design method, flux density and total copper loss are specified.

## Chapter 14. Transformer Design

Some more advanced design issues, not considered in previous chapter:

- Inclusion of core loss
- Selection of operating flux density to optimize total loss
- Multiple winding design: how to allocate the available window area among several windings
- A transformer design procedure
- How switching frequency affects transformer size



## Chapter 14. Transformer Design

14.1. Winding area optimization
14.2. Transformer design: Basic constraints
14.3. A step-by-step transformer design procedure
14.4. Examples
14.5. Ac inductor design
14.6. Summary

### 14.1. Winding area optimization

Given: application with $k$ windings having known rms currents and desired turns ratios

$$
\frac{v_{1}(t)}{n_{1}}=\frac{v_{2}(t)}{n_{2}}=\cdots=\frac{v_{k}(t)}{n_{k}}
$$




Q: how should the window area $W_{A}$ be allocated among the windings?

## Allocation of winding area



## Copper loss in winding $j$

Copper loss (not accounting for proximity loss) is

$$
P_{c u, j}=I_{j}^{2} R_{j}
$$

Resistance of winding $j$ is

$$
R_{j}=\rho \frac{l_{j}}{A_{W, j}}
$$

with

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
l_{j}=n_{j}(M L T) & \text { length of wire, winding } j \\
A_{W, j}=\frac{W_{A} K_{u} \alpha_{j}}{n_{j}} & \text { wire area, winding } j
\end{array}
$$

Hence

$$
P_{c u, j}=\frac{n_{j}^{2} i_{j}^{2} \rho(M L T)}{W_{A} K_{u} \alpha_{j}}
$$

## Total copper loss of transformer

Sum previous expression over all windings:

$$
P_{c u, t o t}=P_{c u, 1}+P_{c u, 2}+\cdots+P_{c u, k}=\frac{\rho(M L T)}{W_{A} K_{u}} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\frac{n_{j}^{2} I_{j}^{2}}{\alpha_{j}}\right)
$$

Need to select values for $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$ such that the total copper loss is minimized

## Variation of copper losses with $\alpha_{1}$

For $\alpha_{1}=0$ : wire of winding 1 has zero area. $P_{c u, 1}$ tends to infinity For $\alpha_{1}=1$ : wires of remaining windings have zero area. Their copper losses tend to infinity
There is a choice of $\alpha_{1}$ that minimizes the total copper loss

## Method of Lagrange multipliers to minimize total copper loss

Minimize the function

$$
P_{c u, t o t}=P_{c u, 1}+P_{c u, 2}+\cdots+P_{c u, k}=\frac{\rho(M L T)}{W_{A} K_{u}} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\frac{n_{j}^{2} I_{j}^{2}}{\alpha_{j}}\right)
$$

subject to the constraint

$$
\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{k}=1
$$

Define the function

$$
f\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{k}, \xi\right)=P_{c u, t o t}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{k}\right)+\xi g\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{k}\right)
$$

where

$$
g\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{k}\right)=1-\sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_{j}
$$

is the constraint that must equal zero and $\xi$ is the Lagrange multiplier

## Lagrange multipliers

## continued

Optimum point is solution of the system of equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial f\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{k}, \xi\right)}{\partial \alpha_{1}}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial f\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{k}, \xi\right)}{\partial \alpha_{2}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial f\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{k}, \xi\right)}{\partial \alpha_{k}}=0
$$

$$
\frac{\partial f\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{k}, \xi\right)}{\partial \xi}=0
$$

Result:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi=\frac{\rho(M L T)}{W_{A} K_{u}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} n_{j} I_{j}\right)^{2}=P_{c u, t o t} \\
& \alpha_{m}=\frac{n_{m} I_{m}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n_{j} I_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

An alternate form:

$$
\alpha_{m}=\frac{V_{m} I_{m}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{j} I_{j}}
$$

## Interpretation of result

$$
\alpha_{m}=\frac{V_{m} I_{m}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{j} I_{j}}
$$

Apparent power in winding $j$ is

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
V_{j} I_{j} \\
\text { where } & V_{j} \text { is the rms or peak applied voltage } \\
& I_{j} \text { is the rms current }
\end{array}
$$

Window area should be allocated according to the apparent powers of the windings

## Example PWM full-bridge transformer



- Note that waveshapes (and hence rms values) of the primary and secondary currents are different
- Treat as a threewinding transformer


## Expressions for RMS winding currents

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=\sqrt{2 T_{s}} \int_{0}^{2 T_{s}} i_{1}^{2}(t) d t=\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} I \sqrt{D} \\
& I_{2}=I_{3}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2 T_{s}} \int_{0}^{2 T_{s}} i_{2}^{2}(t) d t}=\frac{1}{2} I \sqrt{1+D} \\
& \text { see Appendix } 1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Allocation of window area: $\quad \alpha_{m}=\frac{V_{m} I_{m}}{\sum_{n=1} V_{j} I_{j}}$

Plug in rms current expressions. Result:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{1+D}{D}}\right)} \\
& \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{D}{1+D}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fraction of window area allocated to primary winding

Fraction of window area allocated to each secondary winding

## Numerical example

Suppose that we decide to optimize the transformer design at the worst-case operating point $D=0.75$. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=0.396 \\
& \alpha_{2}=0.302 \\
& \alpha_{3}=0.302
\end{aligned}
$$

The total copper loss is then given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{c u, t o t} & =\frac{\rho(M L T)}{W_{A} K_{u}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} n_{j} I_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{\rho(M L T) n_{2}^{2} I^{2}}{W_{A} K_{u}}(1+2 D+2 \sqrt{D(1+D)})
\end{aligned}
$$

### 14.2 Transformer design:

## Basic constraints

Core loss

$$
P_{f e}=K_{f e} B_{\max }^{\beta} A_{c} l_{m}
$$

Typical value of $\beta$ for ferrite materials: 2.6 or 2.7
$B_{\max }$ is the peak value of the ac component of $B(t)$
So increasing $B_{\max }$ causes core loss to increase rapidly

This is the first constraint

## Flux density

## Constraint \#2

Flux density $B(t)$ is related to the applied winding voltage according to Faraday's Law. Denote the voltseconds applied to the primary winding during the positive portion of $v_{1}(t)$ as $\lambda_{1}$ :

$$
\lambda_{1}=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} v_{1}(t) d t
$$

This causes the flux to change from its negative peak to its positive peak. From Faraday's law, the peak value of the ac component of flux density is

$$
B_{\max }=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2 n_{1} A_{c}}
$$



To attain a given flux density, the primary turns should be chosen according to

$$
n_{1}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2 B_{\max } A_{c}}
$$

## Copper loss <br> Constraint \#3

- Allocate window area between windings in optimum manner, as described in previous section
- Total copper loss is then equal to

$$
P_{c u}=\frac{\rho(M L T) n_{1}^{2} I_{t o t}^{2}}{W_{A} K_{u}}
$$

with

$$
I_{t o t}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{n_{j}}{n_{1}} I_{j}
$$

Eliminate $n_{1}$, using result of previous slide:

$$
P_{c u}=\left(\frac{\rho \lambda_{1}^{2} I_{t o t}^{2}}{K_{u}}\right)\left(\frac{(M L T)}{W_{A} A_{c}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{B_{\max }^{2}}\right)
$$

Note that copper loss decreases rapidly as $B_{\max }$ is increased

## Total power loss

$$
\text { 4. } P_{t o t}=P_{c u}+P_{f e}
$$

There is a value of $B_{\text {max }}$ that minimizes the total power loss

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{t o t}=P_{f e}+P_{c u} \\
& P_{f e}=K_{f e} B_{\max }^{\beta} A_{c} l_{m} \\
& P_{c u}=\left(\frac{\rho \lambda_{1}^{2} I_{\text {tot }}^{2}}{K_{u}}\right)\left(\frac{(M L T)}{W_{A} A_{c}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{B_{\max }^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. Find optimum flux density $B_{\max }$

Given that

$$
P_{t o t}=P_{f e}+P_{c u}
$$

Then, at the $B_{\max }$ that minimizes $P_{\text {tot }}$, we can write

$$
\frac{d P_{t o t}}{d B_{\max }}=\frac{d P_{f e}}{d B_{\max }}+\frac{d P_{c u}}{d B_{\max }}=0
$$

Note: optimum does not necessarily occur where $P_{f e}=P_{c u}$. Rather, it occurs where

$$
\frac{d P_{f e}}{d B_{\max }}=-\frac{d P_{c u}}{d B_{\max }}
$$

## Take derivatives of core and copper loss

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
P_{f e}=K_{f e} B_{\max }^{\beta} A_{c} l_{m} & P_{c u}=\left(\frac{\rho \lambda_{1}^{2} I_{\text {tot }}^{2}}{K_{u}}\right)\left(\frac{(M L T)}{W_{A} A_{c}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{B_{\max }^{2}}\right) \\
\frac{d P_{f e}}{d B_{\max }}=\beta K_{f e} B_{\max }^{(\beta-1)} A_{c} l_{m} & \frac{d P_{c u}}{d B_{\max }}=-2\left(\frac{\rho \lambda_{1}^{2} I_{\text {tot }}^{2}}{4 K_{u}}\right)\left(\frac{(M L T)}{W_{A} A_{c}^{2}}\right) B_{\max }^{-3}
\end{array}
$$

Now, substitute into $\quad \frac{d P_{f e}}{d B_{\max }}=-\frac{d P_{c u}}{d B_{\max }} \quad$ and solve for $B_{\max }$ :

$$
B_{\max }=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\rho \lambda_{1}^{2} I_{\text {tot }}^{2}}{2 K_{u}} & \frac{(M L T)}{W_{A} A_{c}^{3} l_{m}} \frac{1}{\beta K_{f e}}
\end{array}\right]^{\left(\frac{1}{\beta+2}\right)} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Optimum } B_{\max } \text { for a } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { given core and } \\
\text { application }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Total loss

Substitute optimum $B_{\max }$ into expressions for $P_{c u}$ and $P_{f e}$. The total loss is:

$$
P_{t o t}=\left[A_{c} l_{m} K_{f e}\right]^{\left(\frac{2}{\beta+2}\right)}\left[\frac{\rho \lambda_{1}^{2} I_{\text {tot }}^{2}}{4 K_{u}} \frac{(M L T)}{W_{A} A_{c}^{2}}\right]^{\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+2}\right)}\left[\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{-\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+2}\right)}+\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{\left(\frac{2}{\beta+2}\right)}\right]
$$

Rearrange as follows:

$$
\frac{W_{A}\left(A_{c}\right)^{(2(\beta-1) / \beta)}}{(M L T) l_{m}^{(2 / \beta)}}\left[\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{-\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+2}\right)}+\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{\left(\frac{2}{\beta+2}\right)}\right]^{-\left(\frac{\beta+2}{\beta}\right)}=\frac{\rho \lambda_{1}^{2} I_{t o t}^{2} K_{f e}^{(2 / \beta)}}{4 K_{u}\left(P_{t o t}\right)^{((\beta+2) / \beta)}}
$$

Left side: terms depend on core geometry

Right side: terms depend on specifications of the application

## The core geometrical constant $K_{\text {gfe }}$

Define $\quad K_{g f e}=\frac{W_{A}\left(A_{c}\right)^{(2(\beta-1) / \beta)}}{(M L T) l_{m}^{(2 / \beta)}}\left[\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{-\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+2}\right)}+\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{\left(\frac{2}{\beta+2}\right)}\right]^{-\left(\frac{\beta+2}{\beta}\right)}$
Design procedure: select a core that satisfies

$$
K_{g f e} \geq \frac{\rho \lambda_{1}^{2} I_{t o t}^{2} K_{f e}^{(2 / \beta)}}{4 K_{u}\left(P_{t o t}\right)^{((\beta+2) / \beta)}}
$$

Appendix 2 lists the values of $K_{g f e}$ for common ferrite cores
$K_{g f e}$ is similar to the $K_{g}$ geometrical constant used in Chapter 13:

- $K_{g}$ is used when $B_{\max }$ is specified
- $K_{g f e}$ is used when $B_{\max }$ is to be chosen to minimize total loss


### 14.3 Step-by-step transformer design procedure

| The following quantities are specified, using the units noted: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wire effective resistivity | $\rho$ | ( $\Omega$-cm) |
| Total rms winding current, ref to pri | $I_{\text {tot }}$ | (A) |
| Desired turns ratios |  |  |
| Applied pri volt-sec | $\lambda_{1}$ | (V-sec) |
| Allowed total power dissipation | $P_{\text {tot }}$ | (W) |
| Winding fill factor | $K_{u}$ |  |
| Core loss exponent | $\beta$ |  |
| Core loss coefficient | $K_{f e}$ | (W/cm ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}^{\beta}$ ) |

Other quantities and their dimensions:

Core cross-sectional area
Core window area
Mean length per turn
Magnetic path length
Wire areas
Peak ac flux density

| $A_{c}$ | $\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $W_{A}$ | $\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ |
| $M L T$ | $(\mathrm{~cm})$ |
| $l_{e}$ | $(\mathrm{~cm})$ |
| $A_{w}, \ldots$ | $\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ |
| $B_{\max }$ | $(\mathrm{T})$ |

## Procedure <br> 1. Determine core size

$$
K_{g f e} \geq \frac{\rho \lambda_{1}^{2} I_{t o t}^{2} K_{f e}^{(2 / \beta)}}{4 K_{u}\left(P_{t o t}\right)^{((\beta+2) / \beta)}} 10^{8}
$$

Select a core from Appendix 2 that satisfies this inequality.
It may be possible to reduce the core size by choosing a core material that has lower loss, i.e., lower $K_{f e}$.

## 2. Evaluate peak ac flux density

$$
B_{\max }=\left[10^{8} \frac{\rho \lambda_{1}^{2} I_{\text {tot }}^{2}}{2 K_{u}} \frac{(M L T)}{W_{A} A_{c}^{3} l_{m}} \frac{1}{\beta K_{f e}}\right]^{\left(\frac{1}{\beta+2}\right)}
$$

At this point, one should check whether the saturation flux densityis exceeded. If the core operates with a flux dc bias $B_{d c}$, then $B_{\max }+B_{d c}$ should be less than the saturation flux density.

If the core will saturate, then there are two choices:

- Specify $B_{\max }$ using the $K_{g}$ method of Chapter 13 , or
- Choose a core material having greater core loss, then repeat steps 1 and 2


## 3. and 4. Evaluate turns

Primary turns:

$$
n_{1}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2 B_{\max } A_{c}} 10^{4}
$$

Choose secondary turns according to desired turns ratios:

$$
\begin{gathered}
n_{2}=n_{1}\left(\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}}\right) \\
n_{3}=n_{1}\left(\frac{n_{3}}{n_{1}}\right) \\
\vdots
\end{gathered}
$$

## 5. and 6. Choose wire sizes

Fraction of window area assigned to each winding:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{1}=\frac{n_{1} I_{1}}{n_{1} I_{t o t}} \\
\alpha_{2}=\frac{n_{2} I_{2}}{n_{1} I_{\text {tot }}} \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{k}=\frac{n_{k} I_{k}}{n_{1} I_{\text {tot }}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Choose wire sizes according to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{w 1} \leq \frac{\alpha_{1} K_{u} W_{A}}{n_{1}} \\
& A_{w 2} \leq \frac{\alpha_{2} K_{u} W_{A}}{n_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Check: computed transformer model

Predicted magnetizing inductance, referred to primary:

$$
L_{M}=\frac{\mu n_{1}^{2} A_{c}}{l_{m}}
$$

Peak magnetizing current:

$$
i_{M, p k}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2 L_{M}}
$$

Predicted winding resistances:

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{1}=\frac{\rho n_{1}(M L T)}{A_{w 1}} \\
R_{2}=\frac{\rho n_{2}(M L T)}{A_{w 2}} \\
\vdots
\end{gathered}
$$



### 14.4.1 Example 1: Single-output isolated Cuk converter



100 W
$D=0.5$
$K_{u}=0.5$

$$
f_{s}=200 \mathrm{kHz}
$$

$$
n=5
$$

Use a ferrite pot core, with Magnetics Inc. P material. Loss parameters at 200 kHz are

$$
K_{f e}=24.7 \quad \beta=2.6
$$

## Waveforms



Applied primary voltseconds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1} & =D T_{s} V_{c 1}=(0.5)(5 \mu \mathrm{sec})(25 \mathrm{~V}) \\
& =62.5 \mathrm{~V}-\mu \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applied primary rms current:
$I_{1}=\sqrt{D\left(\frac{I}{n}\right)^{2}+D^{\prime}\left(I_{g}\right)^{2}}=4 \mathrm{~A}$
Applied secondary rms current:

$$
I_{2}=n I_{1}=20 \mathrm{~A}
$$

Total rms winding current:

$$
I_{t o t}=I_{1}+\frac{1}{n} I_{2}=8 \mathrm{~A}
$$

Chapter 14: Transformer design

## Choose core size

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{g f e} & \geq \frac{\left(1.724 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)\left(62.5 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)^{2}(8)^{2}(24.7)^{(222.6)}}{4(0.5)(0.25)^{(4.612 .6)}} 10^{8} \\
& =0.00295
\end{aligned}
$$

Pot core data of Appendix 2 lists 2213 pot core with

$$
K_{g f e}=0.0049
$$

Next smaller pot core is not large enough.

## Evaluate peak ac flux density

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{\max } & =\left[10^{8} \frac{\left(1.724 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)\left(62.5 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)^{2}(8)^{2}}{2(0.5)} \frac{(4.42)}{(0.297)(0.635)^{3}(3.15)} \frac{1}{(2.6)(24.7)}\right]^{(14.6)} \\
& =0.0858 \text { Tesla }
\end{aligned}
$$

This is much less than the saturation flux density of approximately 0.35 T . Values of Bmax in the vicinity of 0.1 T are typical for ferrite designs that operate at frequencies in the vicinity of 100 kHz .

## Evaluate turns

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{1} & =10^{4} \frac{\left(62.5 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)}{2(0.0858)(0.635)} \\
& =5.74 \text { turns } \\
n_{2} & =\frac{n_{1}}{n}=1.15 \text { turns }
\end{aligned}
$$

In practice, we might select

$$
n_{1}=5 \quad \text { and } \quad n_{2}=1
$$

This would lead to a slightly higher flux density and slightly higher loss.

## Determine wire sizes

Fraction of window area allocated to each winding:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=\frac{(4 \mathrm{~A})}{(8 \mathrm{~A})}=0.5 \\
& \alpha_{2}=\frac{\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)(20 \mathrm{~A})}{(8 \mathrm{~A})}=0.5
\end{aligned}
$$

Wire areas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{w 1}=\frac{(0.5)(0.5)(0.297)}{(5)}=14.8 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \\
& A_{w 2}=\frac{(0.5)(0.5)(0.297)}{(1)}=74.2 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Since, in this example, the ratio of winding rms currents is equal to the turns ratio, equal areas are allocated to each winding)

From wire table, Appendix 2:

AWG \#16

AWG \#9

## Wire sizes: discussion

## Primary

5 turns \#16 AWG
Secondary
1 turn \#9 AWG

- Very large conductors!
- One turn of \#9 AWG is not a practical solution

Some alternatives

- Use foil windings
- Use Litz wire or parallel strands of wire


## Effect of switching frequency on transformer size

 for this P-material Cuk converter example

- As switching frequency is increased from 25 kHz to 250 kHz , core size is dramatically reduced
- As switching frequency is increased from 400 kHz to 1 MHz , core size increases


### 14.4.2 Example 2 <br> Multiple-Output Full-Bridge Buck Converter



## Other transformer design details

Use Magnetics, Inc. ferrite P material. Loss parameters at 75 kHz :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{f e}=7.6 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{T}^{\beta} \mathrm{cm}^{3} \\
& \beta=2.6
\end{aligned}
$$

Use E-E core shape
Assume fill factor of

$$
K_{u}=0.25 \quad \text { (reduced fill factor accounts for added insulation required }
$$ in multiple-output off-line application)

Allow transformer total power loss of

$$
P_{t o t}=4 \mathrm{~W} \quad \text { (approximately } 0.5 \% \text { of total output power) }
$$

Use copper wire, with

$$
\rho=1.724 \cdot 10^{-6} \Omega-\mathrm{cm}
$$

## Applied transformer waveforms



## Applied primary volt-seconds



$$
\lambda_{1}=D T_{s} V_{g}=(0.75)(6.67 \mu \mathrm{sec})(160 \mathrm{~V})=800 \mathrm{~V}-\mu \mathrm{sec}
$$

## Applied primary rms current

$$
\begin{gathered}
i_{1}(t) \uparrow \begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} I_{5 \mathrm{~V}}+\frac{n_{3}}{n_{1}} I_{15 \mathrm{~V}} \\
\left.-\left(\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} I_{5 \mathrm{~V}}+\frac{n_{3}}{n_{1}} I_{15 \mathrm{~V}}\right)\right)
\end{array} \\
\quad I_{1}=\left(\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} I_{5 \mathrm{~V}}+\frac{n_{3}}{n_{1}} I_{15 \mathrm{~V}}\right) \sqrt{D}=5.7 \mathrm{~A}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Applied rms current, secondary windings

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{2}=\frac{1}{2} I_{5 V} \sqrt{1+D}=66.1 \mathrm{~A} \\
& I_{3}=\frac{1}{2} I_{15 V} \sqrt{1+D}=9.9 \mathrm{~A}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $I_{t o t}$

RMS currents, summed over all windings and referred to primary

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\text {tot }} & =\sum_{\substack{\text { all } 5 \\
\text { windings }}} \frac{n_{j}}{n_{1}} I_{j}=I_{1}+2 \frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} I_{2}+2 \frac{n_{3}}{n_{1}} I_{3} \\
& =(5.7 \mathrm{~A})+\frac{5}{110}(66.1 \mathrm{~A})+\frac{15}{110}(9.9 \mathrm{~A}) \\
& =14.4 \mathrm{~A}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Select core size

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{g f e} & \geq \frac{\left(1.724 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)\left(800 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)^{2}(14.4)^{2}(7.6)^{(2 / 2.6)}}{4(0.25)(4)^{(4.612 .6)}} 10^{8} \\
& =0.00937
\end{aligned}
$$

A2.2 EE core data
From Appendix 2


| Core type <br> (A) (mm) | Geometrical constant $\begin{gathered} K_{g_{5}} \\ \mathrm{~cm}^{5} \end{gathered}$ | Geometrical constant $\begin{aligned} & K_{g f e} \\ & \mathrm{~cm}^{x} \end{aligned}$ | Crosssectional area $\begin{gathered} A_{c} \\ \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Bobbin winding area $W_{A}$ $\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ | Mean length per turn MLT (cm) | Magnetic path length $l_{m}$ $(\mathrm{~cm})$ | Core weight <br> (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EE22 | $8.26 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $1.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.41 | 0.196 | 3.99 | 3.96 | 8.81 |
| EE30 | $85.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $6.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 1.09 | 0.476 | 6.60 | 5.77 | 32.4 |
| EE40 | 0.209 | $11.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 1.27 | 1.10 | 8.50 | 7.70 | 50.3 |
| EE50 | 0.909 | $28.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 2.26 | 1.78 | 10.0 | 9.58 | 116 |

## Evaluate ac flux density $B_{\text {max }}$

Eq. (14.41):

$$
B_{\max }=\left[10^{8} \frac{\rho \lambda_{1}^{2} I_{t o t}^{2}}{2 K_{u}} \frac{(M L T)}{W_{A} A_{c}^{3} l_{m}} \frac{1}{\beta K_{f e}}\right]^{\left(\frac{1}{\beta+2}\right)}
$$

Plug in values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{\max } & =\left[10^{8} \frac{\left(1.724 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)\left(800 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)^{2}(14.4)^{2}}{2(0.25)} \frac{(8.5)}{(1.1)(1.27)^{3}(7.7)} \frac{1}{(2.6)(7.6)}\right]^{(1 / 4.6)} \\
& =0.23 \text { Tesla }
\end{aligned}
$$

This is less than the saturation flux density of approximately 0.35 T

## Evaluate turns

Choose $n_{1}$ according to Eq. (14.42):

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{1} & =\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2 B_{\max } A_{c}} 10^{4} \\
n_{1} & =10^{4} \frac{\left(800 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)}{2(0.23)(1.27)} \\
& =13.7 \text { turns }
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose secondary turns according to desired turns ratios:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{2}=\frac{5}{110} n_{1}=0.62 \text { turns } \\
& n_{3}=\frac{15}{110} n_{1}=1.87 \text { turns }
\end{aligned}
$$

Rounding the number of turns
To obtain desired turns ratio of

110:5:15
we might round the actual turns to

22:1:3
Increased $n_{1}$ would lead to

- Less core loss
- More copper loss
- Increased total loss


## Loss calculation with rounded turns

With $n_{1}=22$, the flux density will be reduced to

$$
B_{\max }=\frac{\left(800 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)}{2(22)(1.27)} 10^{4}=0.143 \text { Tesla }
$$

The resulting losses will be

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{f e} & =(7.6)(0.143)^{2.6}(1.27)(7.7)=0.47 \mathrm{~W} \\
P_{c u} & =\frac{\left(1.724 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)\left(800 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)^{2}(14.4)^{2}}{4(0.25)} \frac{(8.5)}{(1.1)(1.27)^{2}} \frac{1}{(0.143)^{2}} 10^{8} \\
& =5.4 \mathrm{~W} \\
P_{\text {tot }} & =P_{f e}+P_{c u}=5.9 \mathrm{~W}
\end{aligned}
$$

Which exceeds design goal of 4 W by $50 \%$. So use next larger core size: EE50.

## Calculations with EE50

Repeat previous calculations for EE50 core size. Results:

$$
B_{\max }=0.14 \mathrm{~T}, n_{1}=12, P_{t o t}=2.3 \mathrm{~W}
$$

Again round $n_{1}$ to 22 . Then

$$
B_{\max }=0.08 \mathrm{~T}, P_{c u}=3.89 \mathrm{~W}, P_{f e}=0.23 \mathrm{~W}, P_{t o t}=4.12 \mathrm{~W}
$$

Which is close enough to 4 W .

## Wire sizes for EE50 design

Window allocations
$\alpha_{1}=\frac{I_{1}}{I_{\text {tot }}}=\frac{5.7}{14.4}=0.396$
$\alpha_{2}=\frac{n_{2} I_{2}}{n_{1} I_{\text {tot }}}=\frac{5}{110} \frac{66.1}{14.4}=0.209$
$\alpha_{3}=\frac{n_{3} I_{3}}{n_{1} I_{\text {tot }}}=\frac{15}{110} \frac{9.9}{14.4}=0.094 \quad A_{w 3}=\frac{\alpha_{3} K_{u} W_{A}}{n_{3}}=\frac{(0.094)(0.25)(1.78)}{(3)}=13.9 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$

$$
\Rightarrow \mathrm{AWG} \# 16
$$

Wire gauges
$A_{w 1}=\frac{\alpha_{1} K_{u} W_{A}}{n_{1}}=\frac{(0.396)(0.25)(1.78)}{(22)}=8.0 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
$\Rightarrow$ AWG \#19
$A_{w 2}=\frac{\alpha_{2} K_{u} W_{A}}{n_{2}}=\frac{(0.209)(0.25)(1.78)}{(1)}=93.0 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
$\Rightarrow$ AWG \#8

Might actually use foil or Litz wire for secondary windings

# Part IV <br> Modern Rectifiers and Power System Harmonics 

Chapter 15 Power and Harmonics in Nonsinusoidal Systems
Chapter 16 Line-Commutated Rectifiers
Chapter 17 The Ideal Rectifier
Chapter 18 Low Harmonic Rectifier Modeling and Control

## Chapter 15 <br> Power And Harmonics in Nonsinusoidal Systems

15.1. Average power
in terms of Fourier series
15.2. RMS value of a waveform
15.3. Power factor

THD
Distortion and Displacement factors
15.4. Power phasors in sinusoidal systems
15.5. Harmonic currents in three-phase systems
15.6. AC line current harmonic standards

### 15.1. Average power

## Observe transmission of energy through surface $S$


$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Express voltage } & v(t)=V_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{n} \cos \left(n \omega t-\varphi_{n}\right) & \text { relate energy } \\ \text { and current as } & \text { transmission to } \\ \text { Fourier series: } & i(t)=I_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{n} \cos \left(n \omega t-\theta_{n}\right) & \text { harmonics }\end{array}$

## Energy transmittted to load, per cycle

$$
W_{\text {cycle }}=\int_{0}^{T} v(t) i(t) d t
$$

This is related to average power as follows:

$$
P_{a v}=\frac{W_{c y c l e}}{T}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} v(t) i(t) d t
$$

Investigate influence of harmonics on average power: substitute Fourier series

$$
P_{a v}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T}\left(V_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{n} \cos \left(n \omega t-\varphi_{n}\right)\right)\left(I_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{n} \cos \left(n \omega t-\theta_{n}\right)\right) d t
$$

## Evaluation of integral

Orthogonality of harmonics: Integrals of cross-product terms are zero

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left(V_{n} \cos \left(n \omega t-\varphi_{n}\right)\right)\left(I_{m} \cos \left(m \omega t-\theta_{m}\right)\right) d t=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \text { if } n \neq m \\
\frac{V_{n} I_{n}}{2} \cos \left(\varphi_{n}-\theta_{n}\right) & \text { if } n=m
\end{array}\right.
$$

Expression for average power becomes

$$
P_{a v}=V_{0} I_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{V_{n} I_{n}}{2} \cos \left(\varphi_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)
$$

So net energy is transmitted to the load only when the Fourier series of $v(t)$ and $i(t)$ contain terms at the same frequency. For example, if the voltage and current both contain third harmonic, then they lead to the average power

$$
\frac{V_{3} I_{3}}{2} \cos \left(\varphi_{3}-\theta_{3}\right)
$$

## Example 1

Voltage: fundamental only

Current: third harmonic only

Power: zero average
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## Example 2

Voltage: third harmonic only

Current: third harmonic only, in phase with voltage

Power: nonzero average

## Example 3

Fourier series:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v(t)=1.2 \cos (\omega t)+0.33 \cos (3 \omega t)+0.2 \cos (5 \omega t) \\
& i(t)=0.6 \cos \left(\omega t+30^{\circ}\right)+0.1 \cos \left(5 \omega t+45^{\circ}\right)+0.1 \cos \left(7 \omega t+60^{\circ}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Average power calculation:

$$
P_{a v}=\frac{(1.2)(0.6)}{2} \cos \left(30^{\circ}\right)+\frac{(0.2)(0.1)}{2} \cos \left(45^{\circ}\right)=0.32
$$

## Example 3

Voltage: 1st, 3rd, 5th
Current: 1st, 5th, 7th

Power: net energy is transmitted at fundamental and fifth harmonic frequencies


### 15.2. Root-mean-square (RMS) value of a waveform, in terms of Fourier series

$$
(\text { (rms value })=\sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} v^{2}(t) d t}
$$

Insert Fourier series. Again, cross-multiplication terms have zero average. Result is

$$
(\text { rms value })=\sqrt{V_{0}^{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{V_{n}^{2}}{2}}
$$

- Similar expression for current
- Harmonics always increase rms value
- Harmonics do not necessarily increase average power
- Increased rms values mean increased losses


### 15.3. Power factor

For efficient transmission of energy from a source to a load, it is desired to maximize average power, while minimizing rms current and voltage (and hence minimizing losses).
Power factor is a figure of merit that measures how efficiently energy is transmitted. It is defined as

$$
\text { power factor }=\frac{(\text { average power })}{(\mathrm{rms} \text { voltage) }(\mathrm{rms} \text { current })}
$$

Power factor always lies between zero and one.

### 15.3.1. Linear resistive load, nonsinusoidal voltage

Then current harmonics are in phase with, and proportional to, voltage harmonics. All harmonics result in transmission of energy to load, and unity power factor occurs.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
I_{n}=\frac{V_{n}}{R} \quad \theta_{n}=\varphi_{n} \quad \text { so } \cos \left(\theta_{n}-\varphi_{n}\right)=1 \\
(\text { (rms voltage })
\end{array}\right)=\sqrt{V_{0}^{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{V_{n}^{2}}{2}} \quad \begin{aligned}
(\text { rms current }) & =\sqrt{I_{0}^{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{n}^{2}}{2}}=\sqrt{\frac{V_{0}^{2}}{R^{2}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{V_{n}^{2}}{2 R^{2}}} \\
& =\frac{1}{R}(\text { rms voltage })
\end{aligned}
$$

### 15.3.2. Nonlinear dynamical load, sinusoidal voltage

With a sinusoidal voltage, current harmonics do not lead to average power. However, current harmonics do increase the rms current, and hence they decrease the power factor.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{a v}=\frac{V_{1} I_{1}}{2} \cos \left(\varphi_{1}-\theta_{1}\right) \\
&(\text { rms current })=\sqrt{I_{0}^{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{n}^{2}}{2}} \\
& \text { (power factor) }=\left(\frac{\frac{I_{1}}{\sqrt{2}}}{\sqrt{I_{0}^{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{n}^{2}}{2}}}\right)\left(\cos \left(\varphi_{1}-\theta_{1}\right)\right) \\
&=\text { (distortion factor) (displacement factor) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Distortion factor

Defined only for sinusoidal voltage.

$$
\text { (distortion factor) }=\left(\frac{\frac{I_{1}}{\sqrt{2}}}{\sqrt{I_{0}^{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{n}^{2}}{2}}}\right)=\frac{(\mathrm{rms} \text { fundamental current })}{(\mathrm{rms} \text { current })}
$$

Related to Total Harmonic Distortion (THD):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{THD})=\frac{\sqrt{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} I_{n}^{2}}}{I_{1}} \\
& (\text { distortion factor })=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(\mathrm{THD})^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Distortion factor vs. THD



## Peak detection rectifier example

Conventional singlephase peak detection rectifier

Typical ac line current spectrum
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## Maximum power obtainable from 120V 15A wall outlet

with peak detection rectifier
(ac voltage) (derated breaker current) (power factor) (rectifier efficiency)
$=(120 \mathrm{~V}) \quad(80 \%$ of 15 A$)$
$=776 \mathrm{~W}$
at unity power factor
(ac voltage) (derated breaker current) (power factor) (rectifier efficiency)
$=(120 \mathrm{~V})$
$=1325 \mathrm{~W}$

### 15.4. Power phasors in sinusoidal systems

Apparent power is the product of the rms voltage and rms current
It is easily measured -simply the product of voltmeter and ammeter readings
Unit of apparent power is the volt-ampere, or VA
Many elements, such as transformers, are rated according to the VA that they can supply
So power factor is the ratio of average power to apparent power With sinusoidal waveforms (no harmonics), we can also define the real power $P \quad$ reactive power $Q \quad$ complex power $S$
If the voltage and current are represented by phasors $V$ and $I$, then

$$
\boldsymbol{S}=\boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}=P+j Q
$$

with $I^{*}=$ complex conjugate of $I, j=$ square root of -1 . The magnitude of $S$ is the apparent power (VA). The real part of $S$ is the average power $P$ (watts). The imaginary part of $S$ is the reactive power $Q$ (reactive volt-amperes, or VARs).

## Example: power phasor diagram

The phase angle between the voltage and current, or ( $\varphi_{1}-\theta_{1}$ ), coincides with the angle of $S$. The power factor is
power factor $=\frac{P}{\|\boldsymbol{S}\|}=\cos \left(\varphi_{1}-\theta_{1}\right)$

In this purely sinusoidal case, the distortion factor is unity, and the power factor coincides with the displacement factor.


## Reactive power $Q$

The reactive power $Q$ does not lead to net transmission of energy between the source and load. When $Q \neq 0$, the rms current and apparent power are greater than the minimum amount necessary to transmit the average power $P$.
Inductor: current lags voltage by $90^{\circ}$, hence displacement factor is zero.
The alternate storing and releasing of energy in an inductor leads to current flow and nonzero apparent power, but $P=0$.
Just as resistors consume real (average) power $P$, inductors can be viewed as consumers of reactive power $Q$.
Capacitor: current leads voltage by $90^{\circ}$, hence displacement factor is zero.
Capacitors supply reactive power $Q$.
They are often placed in the utility power distribution system near inductive loads. If $Q$ supplied by capacitor is equal to $Q$ consumed by inductor, then the net current (flowing from the source into the capacitor-inductive-load combination) isin phase with the voltage, leading to unity power factor and minimum rms current magnitude.

## Lagging fundamental current of phasecontrolled rectifiers

It will be seen in the next chapter that phase-controlled rectifiers produce a nonsinusoidal current waveform whose fundamental component lags the voltage.

This lagging current does not arise from energy storage, but it does nonetheless lead to a reduced displacement factor, and to rms current and apparent power that are greater than the minimum amount necessary to transmit the average power.

At the fundamental frequency, phase-controlled rectifiers can be viewed as consumers of reactive power $Q$, similar to inductive loads.

### 15.5. Harmonic currents in three phase systems

The presence of harmonic currents can also lead to some special problems in three-phase systems:

- In a four-wire three-phase system, harmonic currents can lead to large currents in the neutral conductors, which may easily exceed the conductor rms current rating
- Power factor correction capacitors may experience significantly increased rms currents, causing them to fail
In this section, these problems are examined, and the properties of harmonic current flow in three-phase systems are derived:
- Harmonic neutral currents in $3 \varnothing$ four-wire networks
- Harmonic neutral voltages in $3 \varnothing$ three-wire wye-connected loads


### 15.5.1. Harmonic currents in three-phase four-wire networks



Fourier series of $i_{a}(t)=I_{a 0}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} I_{a k} \cos \left(k \omega t-\theta_{a k}\right) \quad v_{a n}(t)=V_{m} \cos (\omega t)$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { line currents and } & i_{b}(t)=I_{b 0}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} I_{b k} \cos \left(k\left(\omega t-120^{\circ}\right)-\theta_{b k}\right) & v_{b n}(t)=V_{m} \cos \left(\omega t-120^{\circ}\right) \\ \text { voltages: } & v_{b n}(t)=V_{n} \cos \left(\omega t+120^{\circ}\right)\end{array}$
voltages:

## Neutral current

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{n}(t)=I_{a 0}+I_{b 0}+I_{c 0}+ \\
& \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[I_{a k} \cos \left(k \omega t-\theta_{a k}\right)+I_{b k} \cos \left(k\left(\omega t-120^{\circ}\right)-\theta_{b k}\right)+I_{c k} \cos \left(k\left(\omega t+120^{\circ}\right)-\theta_{c k}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

If the load is unbalanced, then there is nothing more to say. The neutral connection may contain currents having spectrum similar to the line currents.

In the balanced case, $I_{a k}=I_{b k}=I_{c k}=I_{k}$ and $\theta_{a k}=\theta_{b k}=\theta_{c k}=\theta_{k}$, for all $k$; i.e., the harmonics of the three phases all have equal amplitudes and phase shifts. The neutral current is then

$$
i_{n}(t)=3 I_{0}+\sum_{k=3,6,9, \ldots}^{\infty} 3 I_{k} \cos \left(k \omega t-\theta_{k}\right)
$$

## Neutral currents

$$
i_{n}(t)=3 I_{0}+\sum_{k=3,9,9, \ldots}^{\infty} 3 I_{k} \cos \left(k \omega t-\theta_{k}\right)
$$

- Fundamental and most harmonics cancel out
- Triplen (triple-n, or $0,3,6,9, \ldots$ ) harmonics do not cancel out, but add. Dc components also add.
- Rms neutral current is

$$
i_{n, r m s}=3 \sqrt{I_{0}^{2}+\sum_{k=3,6,9, \ldots}^{\infty} \frac{I_{k}^{2}}{2}}
$$

## Example

A balanced nonlinear load produces line currents containing fundamental and 20\% third harmonic: $i_{a n}(t)=I_{l} \cos \left(\omega t-\theta_{1}\right)+0.2 I_{l} \cos \left(3 \omega t-\theta_{3}\right)$. Find the rms neutral current, and compare its amplitude to the rms line current amplitude.

## Solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{n, r m s}=3 \sqrt{\frac{\left(0.2 I_{1}\right)^{2}}{2}}=\frac{0.6 I_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} \\
& i_{1, r m s}=\sqrt{\frac{I_{1}^{2}+\left(0.2 I_{1}\right)^{2}}{2}}=\frac{I_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{1+0.04} \approx \frac{I_{1}}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The neutral current magnitude is $60 \%$ of the line current magnitude!
- The triplen harmonics in the three phases add, such that $20 \%$ third harmonic leads to $60 \%$ third harmonic neutral current.
- Yet the presence of the third harmonic has very little effect on the rms value of the line current. Significant unexpected neutral current flows.


### 15.5.2. Harmonic currents in three-phase three-wire networks

Wye-connected nonlinear load, no neutral connection:


## No neutral connection

If the load is balanced, then it is still true that

$$
i_{n}(t)=3 I_{0}+\sum_{k=3,6,9, \ldots}^{\infty} 3 I_{k} \cos \left(k \omega t-\theta_{k}\right)
$$

But $i_{n}(t)=0$, since there is no neutral connection.
So the ac line currents cannot contain dc or triplen harmonics.
What happens:
A voltage is induced at the load neutral point, that causes the line current dc and triplen harmonics to become zero.

The load neutral point voltage contains dc and triplen harmonics.
With an unbalanced load, the line currents can still contain dc and triplen harmonics.

## Delta-connected load



- There is again no neutral connection, so the ac line currents contain no dc or triplen harmonics
- The load currents may contain dc and triplen harmonics: with a balanced nonlinear load, these circulate around the delta.


## Harmonic currents in power factor correction capacitors

PFC capacitors are usually not intended to conduct significant harmonic currents.

Heating in capacitors is a function of capacitor equivalent series resistance (esr) and rms current. The maximum allowable rms current then leads to the capacitor rating:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { rated rms voltage } V_{r m s}=\frac{I_{r m s}}{2 \pi f C} \\
& \text { rated reactive power }=\frac{I_{r m s}^{2}}{2 \pi f C}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 15.6. AC line current harmonic standards

15.6.1. US MIL-STD-461B<br>15.6.2. International Electrotechnical Commission Standard 555<br>15.6.3. IEEE/ANSI Standard 519

### 15.6.1. US MIL-STD-461B

- For loads of 1 kW or greater, no current harmonic magnitude may be greater than $3 \%$ of the fundamental magnitude.
- For the nth harmonic with $n>33$, the harmonic magnitude may not exceed ( $1 / n$ ) times the fundamental magnitude.
- Harmonic limits are now employed by all of the US armed forces. The specific limits are often tailored to the specific application.
- The shipboard application is a good example of the problems faced in a relatively small stand-alone power system having a large fraction of electronic loads.


### 15.6.2. International Electrotechnical Commission Standard 555

- First draft of their IEC-555 standard:1982. It has since undergone a number of revisions.
- Enforcement of IEC-555 is the prerogative of each individual country, and hence it has been sometimes difficult to predict whether and where this standard will actually be given the force of law.
- Nonetheless, IEC-555 is now enforced in Europe, making it a de facto standard for commercial equipment intended to be sold worldwide.
- IEC-555 covers a number of different types of low power equipment, with differing harmonic limits. Harmonics for equipment having an input current of up to 16 A , connected to 50 or $60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 220 \mathrm{~V}$ to 240 V single phase circuits (two or three wire), as well as 380 V to 415 V three phase (three or four wire) circuits, are limited.


## Low-power harmonic limits

- In a city environment such as a large building, a large fraction of the total power system load can be nonlinear
- Example: a major portion of the electrical load in a building is comprised of fluorescent lights, which present a very nonlinear characteristic to the utility system.
- A modern office may also contain a large number of personal computers, printers, copiers, etc., each of which may employ peak detection rectifiers.
- Although each individual load is a negligible fraction of the total local load, these loads can collectively become significant.


## IEC-555: Class A and B

Class A: Balanced three-phase equipment, and any equipment which does not fit into the other categories. This class includes low harmonic rectifiers for computer and other office equipment. These limits are given in Table 15.1, and are absolute ampere limits.

Class B: Portable tools, and similar devices. The limits are equal to the Table 15.1 limits, multiplied by 1.5 .

## Class A limits

Table 15.1. IEC-555 Harmonic current limits, Class A and certain Class C

| Odd harmonics |  | Even harmonics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harmonic number | Maximum current | Harmonic number | Maximum curre |
| 3 | 2.30 A | 2 | 1.08 A |
| 5 | 1.14 A | 4 | 0.43 A |
| 7 | 0.77 A | 6 | 0.30 A |
| 9 | 0.40 A | $8 \leq n \leq 40$ | $0.23 \mathrm{~A} \cdot(8 / n)$ |
| 11 | 0.33 A |  |  |
| 13 | 0.21 A |  |  |
| $15 \leq n \leq 39$ | $0.15 \mathrm{~A} \cdot(15 / n)$ |  |  |

## IEC-555: Class C

Class C: Lighting equipment, including dimmers and gas discharge lamps. The input current harmonics of ballasted lamps with an input power of more than 25W must meet the limits of Table 15.2, expressed as a percent of the fundamental current. If the input power is less than 25 W , then Table 15.3 applies. Incandescent lamp fixtures containing phasecontrol dimmers, rated at greater than 600W, must meet the limits of Table 15.1. When testing for compliance, the dimmer must drive a rated-power lamp, with the phase control set to a firing angle of $90^{\circ} \pm 5^{\circ}$. Incandescent lamp dimmers rated at less than 600W are not covered by the standard. Discharge lamps containing dimmers must meet the limits of both Tables 15.2 and 15.3 at maximum load. Harmonic currents at any dimming position may not exceed the maximum load harmonic currents.

## Class C limits

Table 15.2. IEC-555 Harmonic current limits, certain Class C

| Harmonic number | Maximum current, percent of fundamental |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $2 \%$ |
| 3 | $(30 \%) \cdot($ power factor $)$ |
| 5 | $10 \%$ |
| 7 | $7 \%$ |
| 9 | $5 \%$ |
| $11 \leq n \leq 39$ | $3 \%$ |

## IEC-555: Class D

Class D: Equipment not covered by Class B or C, which has a special waveshape as defined below. This class is directed at diode-capacitor peak-detection rectifiers. Equipment is placed in class D if the ac input current waveshape lies within the shaded area for at least $95 \%$ of the duration of each half-cycle. The center line of the shaded area is set to
coincide with the peak of the current waveform. A sinusoid, and a typical peak detection rectifier waveform, are shown for reference; the sinusoid is not Class D but the peak detection rectifier waveform is. The limits for Class D equipment are given in Table 15.3.


## Class D limits

Table 15.3. IEC-555 Harmonic current limits, Class D and certain Class C

| Odd harmonics |  |  | Even harmonics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harmonic number | Relative limit ( $m A / W$ ) | Absolute limit <br> (A) | Harmonic number | Relative limit ( $m A / W$ ) | Absolute <br> limit (A) |
| 3 | 3.6 | 2.30 A | 2 | 1.0 | 0.3 A |
| 5 | 2.0 | 1.14 A | 4 | 0.5 | 0.15 A |
| 7 | 1.5 | 0.77A |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1.0 | 0.40 A |  |  |  |
| $11 \leq n \leq 39$ | $0.6 \cdot(11 / n)$ | 0.33A |  |  |  |

### 15.6.3. IEEE / ANSI Standard 519

- In 1993, the IEEE published a revised draft standard limiting the amplitudes of current harmonics, IEEE Guide for Harmonic Control and Reactive Compensation of Static Power Converters.
- Harmonic limits are based on the ratio of the fundamental component of the load current IL to the short circuit current at the point of common (PCC) coupling at the utility $I_{s c}$.
- Stricter limits are imposed on large loads than on small loads. The limits are similar in magnitude to IEC-555, and cover high voltage loads (of much higher power) not addressed by IEC-555. Enforcement of this standard is presently up to the local utility company.
- The odd harmonic limits are listed in Tables 15.4 and 15.5. The limits for even harmonics are $25 \%$ of the odd harmonic limits. Dc current components and half-wave rectifiers are not allowed.


## IEEE-519 current limits, low voltage systems

Table 15.4. IEEE-519 Maximum odd harmonic current limits for general distribution systems, 120 V through 69 kV

| $I_{S C} I_{L}$ | $n<11$ | $11 \leq n<17$ | $17 \leq n<23$ | $23 \leq n<35$ | $35 \leq n$ | $T H D$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<20$ | $4.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| $20-50$ | $7.0 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| $50-100$ | $10.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |
| $100-1000$ | $12.0 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| $>1000$ | $15.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |

## IEEE-519 current limits, high voltage systems

Table 15.5. IEEE-519 Maximum odd harmonic current limits for general distribution systems, 69.001 kV through 161 kV

| $I_{S C} I_{L}$ | $n<11$ | $11 \leq n<17$ | $17 \leq n<23$ | $23 \leq n<35$ | $35 \leq n$ | $T H D$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<20$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.75 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.15 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| $20-50$ | $3.5 \%$ | $1.75 \%$ | $1.25 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.25 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| $50-100$ | $5.0 \%$ | $2.25 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.75 \%$ | $0.35 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| $100-1000$ | $6.0 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| $>1000$ | $7.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $1.25 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |

## IEEE-519 voltage limits

Table 15.6. IEEE-519 voltage distortion limits

| Bus voltage at PCC | Individual harmonics | THD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 69 kV and below | $3.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| $69.001 \mathrm{kV}-161 \mathrm{kV}$ | $1.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| above 161 kV | $1.0 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |

It is the responsibility of the utility to meet these limits.
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### 16.1 The single-phase full-wave rectifier



Full-wave rectifier with dc-side $L$ - $C$ filter
Two common reasons for including the dc-side $L-C$ filter:

- Obtain good dc output voltage (large $C$ ) and acceptable ac line current waveform (large $L$ )
- Filter conducted EMI generated by dc load (small $L$ and $C$ )


### 16.1.1 Continuous conduction mode

Large $L$
Typical ac line waveforms for CCM :

As $L \rightarrow \infty$, ac line current approaches a square wave


CCM results, for $L \rightarrow \infty$ :

### 16.1.2 Discontinuous conduction mode

## Small $L$

Typical ac line waveforms for DCM :

As $L \rightarrow 0$, ac line current approaches impulse functions (peak detection)


As the inductance is reduced, the THD rapidly increases, and the distortion factor decreases.

Typical distortion factor of a full-wave rectifier with no inductor is in the range $55 \%$ to $65 \%$, and is governed by ac system inductance.

### 16.1.3 Behavior when $C$ is large

Solution of the $P F, M \quad$ THD $\beta$
full-wave rectifier circuit for infinite $C$ :
Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{L} & =\frac{2 L}{R T_{L}} \\
M & =\frac{V}{V_{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$



### 16.1.4 Minimizing $T H D$ when $C$ is small

Sometimes the $L-C$ filter is present only to remove high-frequency conducted EMI generated by the dc load, and is not intended to modify the ac line current waveform. If $L$ and $C$ are both zero, then the load resistor is connected directly to the output of the diode bridge, and the ac line current waveform is purely sinusoidal.

An approximate argument: the $L-C$ filter has negligible effect on the ac line current waveform provided that the filter input impedance $Z_{i}$ has zero phase shift at the second harmonic of the ac line frequency, $2 f_{L}$.


## Approximate THD

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{L C}} \\
& R_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} \\
& Q=\frac{R}{R_{0}} \\
& f_{p}=\frac{1}{2 \pi R C}=\frac{f_{0}}{Q}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Example



Typical ac line current and voltage waveforms, near the boundary between continuous and discontinuous modes and with small dc filter capacitor. $f_{0} / f_{L}=10, Q=1$

### 16.2 The Three-Phase Bridge Rectifier



### 16.2.1 Continuous conduction mode

## Fourier series:

$$
i_{a}(t)=\sum_{n=1,5,7,111, \ldots}^{\infty} \frac{4}{n \pi} I_{L} \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{3}\right) \sin (n \omega t)
$$

- Similar to square wave, but missing triplen harmonics
- $\mathrm{THD}=31 \%$

- Distortion factor $=3 / \pi=95.5 \%$
- In comparison with single phase case:
the missing $60^{\circ}$ of current improves the distortion factor from $90 \%$ to $95 \%$, because the triplen harmonics are removed


## A typical CCM waveform



Inductor current contains sixth harmonic ripple ( 360 Hz for a 60 Hz ac system). This ripple is superimposed on the ac line current waveform, and influences the fifth and seventh harmonic content of $i_{a}(t)$.

### 16.2.2 Discontinuous conduction mode



Phase a current contains pulses at the positive and negative peaks of the line-to-line voltages $v_{a b}(t)$ and $v_{a c}(t)$. Distortion factor and THD are increased. Distortion factor of the typical waveform illustrated above is $71 \%$.

### 16.3 Phase control

## Replace diodes with SCRs:



Average (dc) output voltage:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V & =\frac{3}{\pi} \int_{30^{\circ}+\alpha}^{90^{\circ}+\alpha} \sqrt{3} V_{m} \sin \left(\theta+30^{\circ}\right) d \theta \\
& =\frac{3 \sqrt{2}}{\pi} V_{L-L, r m s} \cos \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

## Phase control waveforms:



## Dc output voltage vs. delay angle $\alpha$



Chapter 16: Line-commutated rectifiers

### 16.3.1 Inverter mode



If the load is capable of supplying power, then the direction of power flow can be reversed by reversal of the dc output voltage $V$. The delay angle $\alpha$ must be greater than $90^{\circ}$. The current direction is unchanged.

### 16.3.2 Harmonics and power factor

Fourier series of ac line current waveform, for large dc-side inductance:

$$
i_{a}(t)=\sum_{n=1,5,7,11, \ldots}^{\infty} \frac{4}{n \pi} I_{L} \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{3}\right) \sin (n \omega t-n \alpha)
$$

Same as uncontrolled rectifier case, except that waveform is delayed by the angle $\alpha$. This causes the current to lag, and decreases the displacement factor. The power factor becomes:

$$
\text { power factor }=0.955|\cos (\alpha)|
$$

When the dc output voltage is small, then the delay angle $\alpha$ is close to $90^{\circ}$ and the power factor becomes quite small. The rectifier apparently consumes reactive power, as follows:

$$
Q=\sqrt{3} I_{a, r m s} V_{L-L, r m s} \sin \alpha=I_{L} \frac{3 \sqrt{2}}{\pi} V_{L-L, r m s} \sin \alpha
$$

## Real and reactive power in controlled rectifier at fundamental frequency



$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=I_{L} \frac{3 \sqrt{2}}{\pi} V_{L-L, r m s} \cos \alpha \\
& Q=\sqrt{3} I_{a, r m s} V_{L-L, r m s} \sin \alpha=I_{L} \frac{3 \sqrt{2}}{\pi} V_{L-L, r m s} \sin \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

### 16.4 Harmonic trap filters

A passive filter, having resonant zeroes tuned to the harmonic frequencies


## Harmonic trap



## Filter transfer function

$$
H(s)=\frac{i_{s}(s)}{i_{R}(s)}=\frac{Z_{1}\left\|Z_{2}\right\| \cdots}{Z_{s}+Z_{1}\left\|Z_{2}\right\| \cdots} \quad \text { or } \quad H(s)=\frac{i_{s}(s)}{i_{R}(s)}=\frac{Z_{s}\left\|Z_{1}\right\| Z_{2} \| \cdots}{Z_{s}}
$$



## Simple example



## Simple example: transfer function



- Series resonance: fifth harmonic trap
- Parallel resonance: $C_{1}$ and $L_{s}$
- Parallel resonance tends to increase amplitude of third harmonic
- $Q$ of parallel resonance is larger than $Q$ of series resonance


## Example 2



## Approximate impedance asymptotes



## Transfer function asymptotes



## Bypass resistor



## Harmonic trap filter with high-frequency roll-off



### 16.5 Transformer connections

Three-phase transformer connections can be used to shift the phase of the voltages and currents
This shifted phase can be used to cancel out the low-order harmonics Three-phase delta-wye transformer connection shifts phase by $30^{\circ}$ :



Primary voltages


Secondary voltages

## Twelve-pulse rectifier



## Waveforms of 12 pulse rectifier



- Ac line current contains 1st, 11th, 13th, 23rd, 25th, etc. These harmonic amplitudes vary as $1 / n$
- 5th, 7th, 17th, 19th, etc. harmonics are eliminated


## Rectifiers with high pulse number

Eighteen-pulse rectifier:

- Use three six-pulse rectifiers
- Transformer connections shift phase by $0^{\circ},+20^{\circ}$, and $-20^{\circ}$
- No 5th, 7th, 11th, 13th harmonics

Twenty-four-pulse rectifier

- Use four six-pulse rectifiers
- Transformer connections shift phase by $0^{\circ}, 15^{\circ},-15^{\circ}$, and $30^{\circ}$
- No 5th, 7th, 11th, 13th, 17th, or 19th harmonics

If $p$ is pulse number, then rectifier produces line current harmonics of number $n=p k \pm 1$, with $k=0,1,2, \ldots$

## Chapter 17 The Ideal Rectifier

17.1 Properties of the ideal rectifier
17.2 Realization of a near-ideal rectifier
17.3 Single-phase converter systems employing ideal rectifiers
17.4 RMS values of rectifier waveforms
17.5 Ideal three-phase rectifiers

### 17.1 Properties of the ideal rectifier

It is desired that the rectifier present a resistive load to the ac power system. This leads to

- unity power factor
- ac line current has same waveshape as voltage

$$
i_{a c}(t)=\frac{v_{a c}(t)}{R_{e}}
$$

$R_{e}$ is called the emulated resistance


## Control of power throughput

$$
P_{a v}=\frac{V_{a c, r m s}^{2}}{R_{e}\left(v_{\text {control }}\right)}
$$

Power apparently "consumed" by $R_{e}$ is actually transferred to rectifier dc output port. To control the amount of output power, it must be possible to adjust the value of $R_{e}$.


## Output port model

The ideal rectifier is lossless and contains no internal energy storage. Hence, the instantaneous input power equals the instantaneous output power. Since the instantaneous power is independent of the dc load characteristics, the output port obeys a power source characteristic.


## The dependent power source


power
source

power sink

$i-v$ characteristic

## Equations of the ideal rectifier / LFR

Defining equations of the ideal rectifier:

$$
\begin{aligned}
i_{a c}(t) & =\frac{v_{a c}(t)}{R_{e}\left(v_{\text {control }}\right)} \\
v(t) i(t) & =p(t) \\
p(t) & =\frac{v_{a c}^{2}(t)}{R_{e}\left(v_{\text {control }}(t)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

When connected to a resistive load of value $R$, the input and output rms voltages and currents are related as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{V_{r m s}}{V_{a c, r m s}}=\sqrt{\frac{R}{R_{e}}} \\
& \frac{I_{a c, r m s}}{I_{r m s}}=\sqrt{\frac{R}{R_{e}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 17.2 Realization of a near-ideal rectifier

Control the duty cycle of a dc-dc converter, such that the input current is proportional to the input voltage:


## Waveforms
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## Choice of converter

$$
M(d(t))=\frac{v(t)}{v_{g}(t)}=\frac{V}{V_{M}|\sin (\omega t)|}
$$



- To avoid distortion near line voltage zero crossings, converter should be capable of producing $M(d(t))$ approaching infinity
- Above expression neglects converter dynamics
- Boost, buck-boost, Cuk, SEPIC, and other converters with similar conversion ratios are suitable
- We will see that the boost converter exhibits lowest transistor stresses. For this reason, it is most often chosen


## Boost converter

with controller to cause input current to follow input voltage


## Variation of duty cycle in boost rectifier

$$
M(d(t))=\frac{v(t)}{v_{g}(t)}=\frac{V}{V_{M}|\sin (\omega t)|}
$$

Since $M \geq 1$ in the boost converter, it is required that $V \geq V_{M}$ If the converter operates in CCM, then

$$
M(d(t))=\frac{1}{1-d(t)}
$$

The duty ratio should therefore follow

$$
d(t)=1-\frac{v_{g}(t)}{V} \quad \text { in CCM }
$$

## CCM/DCM boundary, boost rectifier

Inductor current ripple is

$$
\Delta i_{g}(t)=\frac{v_{g}(t) d(t) T_{s}}{2 L}
$$

Low-frequency (average) component of inductor current waveform is

$$
\left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{v_{g}(t)}{R_{e}}
$$

The converter operates in CCM when

$$
\left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}>\Delta i_{g}(t) \Rightarrow d(t)<\frac{2 L}{R_{e} T_{s}}
$$

Substitute CCM expression for $d(t)$ :

$$
R_{e}<\frac{2 L}{T_{s}\left(1-\frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}\right)} \quad \text { for } \mathrm{CCM}
$$

## CCM/DCM boundary

$$
R_{e}<\frac{2 L}{T_{s}\left(1-\frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}\right)} \text { for } \mathrm{CCM}
$$

Note that $v_{g}(t)$ varies with time, between 0 and $V_{M}$. Hence, this equation may be satisfied at some points on the ac line cycle, and not at others. The converter always operates in CCM provided that

$$
R_{e}<\frac{2 L}{T_{s}}
$$

The converter always operates in DCM provided that

$$
R_{e}>\frac{2 L}{T_{s}\left(1-\frac{V_{M}}{V}\right)}
$$

For $R_{e}$ between these limits, the converter operates in DCM when $v_{g}(t)$ is near zero, and in CCM when $v_{g}(t)$ approaches $V_{M}$.

## Static input characteristics of the boost converter

A plot of input current $i_{g}(t)$ vs input voltage $v_{g}(t)$, for various duty cycles $d(t)$. In CCM, the boost converter equilibrium equation is

$$
\frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}=1-d(t)
$$

The input characteristic in DCM is found by solution of the averaged DCM model (Fig. 10.12(b)):


Beware! This DCM $R_{e}(d)$ from Chapter 10 is not the same as the rectifier emulated resistance $R_{e}=v_{g} / i_{g}$

Solve for input current:

$$
\begin{gathered}
i_{g}(t)=\frac{v_{g}(t)}{R_{e}(d(t))}+\frac{p(t)}{V-v_{g}(t)} \\
\text { with } \quad p(t)=\frac{v_{g}^{2}(t)}{R_{e}(d(t))} \\
R_{e}(d(t))=\frac{2 L}{d^{2}(t) T_{s}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Static input characteristics of the boost converter

Now simplify DCM current expression, to obtain

$$
\frac{2 L}{V T_{s}} i_{g}(t)\left(1-\frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}\right)=d^{2}(t) \frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}
$$

CCM/DCM mode boundary, in terms of $v_{g}(t)$ and $i_{g}(t)$ :

$$
\frac{2 L}{V T_{s}} i_{g}(t)>\left(\frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}\right)\left(1-\frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}\right)
$$

## Boost input characteristics <br> with superimposed resistive characteristic



CCM:
$\frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}=1-d(t)$
DCM:
$\frac{2 L}{V T_{s}} i_{g}(t)\left(1-\frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}\right)=d^{2}(t) \frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}$
CCM when

$$
\frac{2 L}{V T_{s}} i_{g}(t)>\left(\frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}\right)\left(1-\frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}\right)
$$

## $R_{e}$ of the multiplying (average current) controller

Solve circuit to find $R_{e}$ :


Current sensor gain

$$
v_{a}(t)=i_{g}(t) R_{s}
$$

when the error signal is small,

$$
v_{a}(t) \approx v_{r e f}(t)
$$

multiplier equation

$$
v_{\text {ref }}(t)=k_{x} v_{g}(t) v_{\text {control }}(t)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { then } R_{e} \text { is } \\
& R_{e}=\frac{v_{g}(t)}{i_{g}(t)}=\frac{\left(\frac{v_{\text {ref }}(t)}{k_{x} v_{\text {control }}(t)}\right)}{\left(\frac{v_{a}(t)}{R_{s}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

simplify:

$$
R_{e}\left(v_{\text {control }}(t)\right)=\frac{R_{s}}{k_{x} v_{\text {control }}(t)}
$$

Chapter 17: The Ideal Rectifier

## Low frequency system model



$$
R_{e}\left(v_{\text {control }}(t)\right)=\frac{R_{s}}{k_{x} v_{\text {control }}(t)}
$$

This model also applies to other converters that are controlled in the same manner, including buck-boost, Cuk, and SEPIC.

## Open-loop DCM approach

We found in Chapter 10 that the buck-boost, SEPIC, and Cuk converters, when operated open-loop in DCM, inherently behave as loss-free resistors. This suggests that they could also be used as near-ideal rectifiers, without need for a multiplying controller.

Advantage: simple control
Disadvantages: higher peak currents, larger input current EMI
Like other DCM applications, this approach is usually restricted to low power (<200W).

The boost converter can also be operated in DCM as a low harmonic rectifier. Input characteristic is

$$
\left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{v_{g}(t)}{R_{e}}+\frac{v_{g}^{2}(t)}{R_{e}\left(v(t)-v_{g}(t)\right)}
$$

Input current contains harmonics. If $v$ is sufficiently greater than $v_{g}$, then harmonics are small.

### 17.3 Single-phase converter systems containing ideal rectifiers

- It is usually desired that the output voltage $v(t)$ be regulated with high accuracy, using a wide-bandwidth feedback loop
- For a given constant load characteristic, the instantaneous load current and power are then also constant:

$$
p_{\text {load }}(t)=v(t) i(t)=V I
$$

- The instantaneous input power of a single-phase ideal rectifier is not constant:

$$
p_{a c}(t)=v_{g}(t) i_{g}(t)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{g}(t)=V_{M}|\sin (\omega t)| \quad i_{g}(t)=\frac{v_{g}(t)}{R_{e}} \\
& p_{a c}(t)=\frac{V_{M}^{2}}{R_{e}} \sin ^{2}(\omega t)=\frac{V_{M}^{2}}{2 R_{e}}(1-\cos (2 \omega t))
\end{aligned}
$$

so

## Power flow in single-phase ideal rectifier system

- Ideal rectifier is lossless, and contains no internal energy storage.
- Hence instantaneous input and output powers must be equal
- An energy storage element must be added
- Capacitor energy storage: instantaneous power flowing into capacitor is equal to difference between input and output powers:

$$
p_{C}(t)=\frac{d E_{C}(t)}{d t}=\frac{d\left(\frac{1}{2} C v_{C}^{2}(t)\right)}{d t}=p_{a c}(t)-p_{\text {load }}(t)
$$

Energy storage capacitor voltage must be allowed to vary, in accordance with this equation

## Capacitor energy storage in 10 system

$$
\text { Fundamentals of Power Electronics } P_{\text {load }}(t)
$$

## Single-phase system with internal energy storage



Energy storage capacitor voltage $v_{C}(t)$ must be independent of input and output voltage waveforms, so that it can vary according to

$$
\frac{d\left(\frac{1}{2} C v_{C}^{2}(t)\right)}{d t}=p_{a c}(t)-p_{\text {load }}(t)
$$

This system is capable of

- Wide-bandwidth control of output voltage
- Wide-bandwidth control of input current waveform
- Internal independent energy storage


## Hold up time

Internal energy storage allows the system to function in other situations where the instantaneous input and output powers differ.

A common example: continue to supply load power in spite of failure of ac line for short periods of time.

Hold up time: the duration which the dc output voltage $v(t)$ remains regulated after $v_{a c}(t)$ has become zero

A typical hold-up time requirement: supply load for one complete missing ac line cycle, or 20 msec in a 50 Hz system

During the hold-up time, the load power is supplied entirely by the energy storage capacitor

## Energy storage element

Instead of a capacitor, and inductor or higher-order LC network could store the necessary energy.

But, inductors are not good energy-storage elements
Example
100V 100 $\mu$ F capacitor
100A $100 \mu \mathrm{H}$ inductor
each store 1 Joule of energy
But the capacitor is considerably smaller, lighter, and less expensive

So a single big capacitor is the best solution

## Inrush current

A problem caused by the large energy storage capacitor: the large inrush current observed during system startup, necessary to charge the capacitor to its equilibrium value.

Boost converter is not capable of controlling this inrush current.
Even with $d=0$, a large current flows through the boost converter diode to the capacitor, as long as $v(t)<v_{g}(t)$.
Additional circuitry is needed to limit the magnitude of this inrush current.

Converters having buck-boost characteristics are capable of controlling the inrush current. Unfortunately, these converters exhibit higher transistor stresses.

## Universal input

The capability to operate from the ac line voltages and frequencies found everywhere in the world:

50 Hz and 60 Hz
Nominal rms line voltages of 100 V to 260 V :
$100 \mathrm{~V}, 110 \mathrm{~V}, 115 \mathrm{~V}, 120 \mathrm{~V}, 132 \mathrm{~V}, 200 \mathrm{~V}, 220 \mathrm{~V}, 230 \mathrm{~V}, 240 \mathrm{~V}, 260 \mathrm{~V}$
Regardless of the input voltage and frequency, the near-ideal rectifier produces a constant nominal dc output voltage. With a boost converter, this voltage is 380 or 400 V .

## Low-frequency model of dc-dc converter

Dc-dc converter produces well-regulated dc load voltage $V$.
Load therefore draws constant current $I$.
Load power is therefore the constant value $P_{\text {load }}=V I$.
To the extent that dc-dc converter losses can be neglected, then dc-dc converter input power is $P_{\text {load }}$, regardless of capacitor voltage $v_{c}(t)$.
Dc-dc converter input port behaves as a power sink. A low frequency converter model is


## Low-frequency energy storage process, $1 \varnothing$ system

A complete low-frequency system model:


- Difference between rectifier output power and dc-dc converter input power flows into capacitor
- In equilibrium, average rectifier and load powers must be equal
- But the system contains no mechanism to accomplish this
- An additional feeback loop is necessary, to adjust $R_{e}$ such that the rectifier average power is equal to the load power


## Obtaining average power balance



If the load power exceeds the average rectifier power, then there is a net discharge in capacitor energy and voltage over one ac line cycle.

There is a net increase in capacitor charge when the reverse is true.
This suggests that rectifier and load powers can be balanced by regulating the energy storage capacitor voltage.

## A complete $1 \varnothing$ system containing three feedback loops



## Bandwidth of capacitor voltage loop

- The energy-storage-capacitor voltage feedback loop causes the dc component of $v_{c}(t)$ to be equal to some reference value
- Average rectifier power is controlled by variation of $R_{e}$.
- $\quad R_{e}$ must not vary too quickly; otherwise, ac line current harmonics are generated
- Extreme limit: loop has infinite bandwidth, and $v_{c}(t)$ is perfectly regulated to be equal to a constant reference value
- Energy storage capacitor voltage then does not change, and this capacitor does not store or release energy
- Instantaneous load and ac line powers are then equal
- Input current becomes

$$
i_{a c}(t)=\frac{p_{a c}(t)}{v_{a c}(t)}=\frac{p_{\text {load }}(t)}{v_{a c}(t)}=\frac{P_{\text {load }}}{V_{M} \sin (\omega t)}
$$

## Input current waveform, extreme limit

$$
i_{a c}(t)=\frac{p_{a c}(t)}{v_{a c}(t)}=\frac{p_{l o a d}(t)}{v_{a c}(t)}=\frac{P_{l o a d}}{V_{M} \sin (\omega t)}
$$

$$
\mathrm{THD} \rightarrow \infty
$$

Power factor $\rightarrow 0$



So bandwidth of capacitor voltage loop must be limited, and THD increases rapidly with increasing bandwidth

### 17.4 RMS values of rectifier waveforms

Doubly-modulated transistor current waveform, boost rectifier:


Computation of rms value of this waveform is complex and tedious
Approximate here using double integral
Generate tables of component rms and average currents for various rectifier converter topologies, and compare

## RMS transistor current

RMS transistor current is

$$
I_{Q r m s}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{T_{a c}} \int_{0}^{T_{a c}} i_{Q}^{2}(t) d t}
$$

Express as sum of integrals over all switching periods contained
 in one ac line period:

$$
I_{Q r m s}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{T_{a c}} T_{s} \sum_{n=1}^{T_{k} / T_{s}}\left(\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{(n-1) T_{s}}^{n T_{s}} i_{Q}^{2}(t) d t\right)}
$$

Quantity in parentheses is the value of $i_{Q}{ }^{2}$, averaged over the $n^{\text {th }}$ switching period.

## Approximation of RMS expression

$$
I_{Q r m s}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{T_{a c}} T_{s} \sum_{n=1}^{T_{a c}{ }^{d T_{s}}}\left(\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{(n-1) T_{s}}^{n T_{s}} i_{Q}^{2}(t) d t\right)}
$$

When $T_{s} \ll T_{a c}$, then the summation can be approximated by an integral, which leads to the double-average:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{Q r m s} & \approx \sqrt{\frac{1}{T_{a c}} \lim _{T_{s} \rightarrow 0}\left[T_{s} \sum_{n=1}^{T_{a c} / T_{s}}\left(\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{(n-1) T_{s}}^{n T_{s}} i_{Q}^{2}(\tau) d \tau\right)\right]} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{1}{T_{a c}} \int_{0}^{T_{a c}} \frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{t}^{t+T_{s}} i_{Q}^{2}(\tau) d \tau d t} \\
& =\sqrt{\left\langle\left\langle i_{Q}^{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\right\rangle_{T_{a c}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 17.4.1 Boost rectifier example

For the boost converter, the transistor current $i_{Q}(t)$ is equal to the input current when the transistor conducts, and is zero when the transistor is off. The average over one switching period of $i_{Q}{ }^{2}(t)$ is therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle i_{Q}^{2}\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{t}^{t+T_{s}} i_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \\
& =d(t) i_{a c}^{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

If the input voltage is

$$
v_{a c}(t)=V_{M}|\sin \omega t|
$$

then the input current will be given by

$$
i_{a c}(t)=\frac{V_{M}}{R_{e}}|\sin \omega t|
$$

and the duty cycle will ideally be

$$
\frac{V}{v_{a c}(t)}=\frac{1}{1-d(t)}
$$

(this neglects converter dynamics)

## Boost rectifier example

Duty cycle is therefore

$$
d(t)=1-\frac{V_{M}}{V}|\sin \omega t|
$$

Evaluate the first integral:

$$
\left\langle i_{Q}^{2}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{V_{M}^{2}}{R_{e}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{V_{M}}{V}|\sin \omega t|\right) \sin ^{2}(\omega t)
$$

Now plug this into the RMS formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{Q r m s} & =\sqrt{\frac{1}{T_{a c}} \int_{0}^{T_{a c}}\left\langle i_{Q}^{2}\right\rangle_{T_{s}} d t} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{1}{T_{a c}} \int_{0}^{T_{a c}} \frac{V_{M}^{2}}{R_{e}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{V_{M}}{V}|\sin \omega t|\right) \sin ^{2}(\omega t) d t} \\
I_{Q r m s} & =\sqrt{\frac{2}{T_{a c}} \frac{V_{M}^{2}}{R_{e}^{2}} \int_{0}^{T_{a c} / 2}\left(\sin ^{2}(\omega t)-\frac{V_{M}}{V} \sin ^{3}(\omega t)\right) d t}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Integration of powers of $\sin \theta$ over complete half-cycle



## Boost example: Transistor RMS current

$$
I_{Q r m s}=\frac{V_{M}}{\sqrt{2} R_{e}} \sqrt{1-\frac{8}{3 \pi} \frac{V_{M}}{V}}=I_{a c r m s} \sqrt{1-\frac{8}{3 \pi} \frac{V_{M}}{V}}
$$

Transistor RMS current is minimized by choosing $V$ as small as possible: $V=V_{M}$. This leads to

$$
I_{Q r m s}=0.39 I_{a c r m s}
$$

When the dc output voltage is not too much greater than the peak ac input voltage, the boost rectifier exhibits very low transistor current.
Efficiency of the boost rectifier is then quite high, and $95 \%$ is typical in a 1 kW application.

## Table of rectifier current stresses for various topologies

Table 17.2 Summary of rectifier current stresses for several converter topologies

| CCM boost | $m s$ | Average | Peak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transistor | $I_{a c r m s} \sqrt{1-\frac{8}{3 \pi} \frac{V_{M}}{V}}$ | $I_{a c r m s} \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\pi}\left(1-\frac{\pi}{8} \frac{V_{M}}{V}\right)$ | $I_{a c r m s} \sqrt{2}$ |
| Diode | $I_{d c} \sqrt{\frac{16}{3 \pi} \frac{V}{V_{M}}}$ | $I_{d c}$ | $2 I_{d c} \frac{V}{V_{M}}$ |
| Inductor | $I_{a c r m s}$ | $I_{a c r m s} \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\pi}$ | $I_{a c r m s} \sqrt{2}$ |

CCM flyback, with $n: 1$ isolation transformer and input filter

| Transistor, <br> xfmr primary | $I_{a c r m s} \sqrt{1+\frac{8}{3 \pi} \frac{V_{M}}{n V}}$ | $I_{a c r m s} \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\pi}$ | $I_{a c r m s} \sqrt{2}\left(1+\frac{l}{n}\right.$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L_{1}$ | $I_{a c r m s}$ | $I_{a c r m s} \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\pi}$ | $I_{a c r m s} \sqrt{2}$ |
| $C_{1}$ | $I_{a c r m s} \sqrt{\frac{8}{3 \pi} \frac{V_{M}}{n V}}$ | 0 | $I_{a c r m s} \sqrt{2} \max \left(1, \frac{V_{L}}{n V}\right.$ |
| Diode, <br> xfmr secondary | $I_{d c} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{16}{3 \pi} \frac{n V}{V_{M}}}$ | $I_{d c}$ | $2 I_{d c}\left(1+\frac{n V}{V_{M}}\right)$ |

## Table of rectifier current stresses continued

| CCM SEPIC, nonisolated |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transistor | $I_{a c r m s} \sqrt{1+\frac{8}{3 \pi} \frac{V_{M}}{V}}$ | $I_{\text {acrms }} \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\pi}$ | $I_{\text {acrms }} \sqrt{2}\left(1+\frac{V_{l}}{V}\right.$ |
| $L_{1}$ | $I_{\text {ac rms }}$ | $I_{\text {ac rms }} \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\pi}$ | $I_{a c r m s} \sqrt{2}$ |
| $C_{1}$ | $I_{a c r m s} \sqrt{\frac{8}{3 \pi} \frac{V_{M}}{V}}$ | 0 | $I_{\text {acrms }} \max \left(1, \frac{V_{l}}{V}\right.$ |
| $L_{2}$ | $I_{\text {ac rms }} \frac{V_{M}}{V} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ | $\frac{I_{\text {acrms }}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{V_{M}}{V}$ | $I_{\text {ac rms }} \frac{V_{M}}{V} \sqrt{2}$ |
| Diode | $I_{d c} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{16}{3 \pi} \frac{V}{V_{M}}}$ | $I_{d c}$ | $2 I_{d c}\left(1+\frac{V}{V_{M}}\right)$ |
| CCM SEPIC, with $n: 1$ isolation transformer |  |  |  |
| transistor | $I_{a c r m s} \sqrt{1+\frac{8}{3 \pi} \frac{V_{M}}{n V}}$ | $I_{\text {acrms }} \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\pi}$ | $I_{\text {acrms }} \sqrt{2}\left(1+\frac{V_{l}}{n 1}\right.$ |
| $L_{1}$ | $I_{\text {acrms }}$ | $I_{\text {ac rms }} \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\pi}$ | $I_{a c r m s} \sqrt{2}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & C_{1}, \\ & \text { xfmr primary } \end{aligned}$ | $I_{a c r m s} \sqrt{\frac{8}{3 \pi} \frac{V_{M}}{n V}}$ | 0 | $I_{\text {acrms }} \sqrt{2} \max (1$, |
| Diode, xfmr secondary | $I_{d c} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{16}{3 \pi} \frac{n V}{V_{M}}}$ | $I_{d c}$ | $2 I_{d c}\left(1+\frac{n V}{V_{M}}\right)$ |
| with, in all cases, $\frac{I_{a c r m s}}{I_{d c}}=\sqrt{2} \frac{V}{V_{M}}$, ac input voltage $=V_{M} \sin (\omega t)$ dc output voltage $=V$ |  |  |  |

## Comparison of rectifier topologies

## Boost converter

- Lowest transistor rms current, highest efficiency
- Isolated topologies are possible, with higher transistor stress
- No limiting of inrush current
- Output voltage must be greater than peak input voltage


## Buck-boost, SEPIC, and Cuk converters

- Higher transistor rms current, lower efficiency
- Isolated topologies are possible, without increased transistor stress
- Inrush current limiting is possible
- Output voltage can be greater than or less than peak input voltage


## Comparison of rectifier topologies

1kW, 240Vrms example. Output voltage: 380Vdc. Input current: 4.2Arms

| Converter | Transistor rms <br> current | Transistor <br> voltage | Diode rms <br> current | Transistor rms <br> current, 120 V | Diode rms <br> current, 120V |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boost | 2 A | 380 V | 3.6 A | 6.6 A | 5.1 A |
| Nonisolated <br> SEPIC | 5.5 A | 719 V | 4.85 A | 9.8 A | 6.1 A |
| Isolated <br> SEPIC | 5.5 A | 719 V | 36.4 A | 11.4 A | 42.5 A |

Isolated SEPIC example has 4:1 turns ratio, with 42V 23.8A dc load

### 17.5 Ideal three-phase rectifiers

Ideal $3 \varnothing$ rectifier, modeled as three $1 \varnothing$ ideal rectifiers:


## Ideal $3 \varnothing$ rectifier model

Combine parallel-connected power sources into a single source $p_{\text {tot }}(t)$ :


## Value of $p_{t o t}(t)$

Ac input voltages:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{a n}(t)=V_{M} \sin (\omega t) \\
& v_{b n}(t)=V_{M} \sin \left(\omega t-120^{\circ}\right) \\
& v_{c n}(t)=V_{M} \sin \left(\omega t-240^{\circ}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Instantaneous phase powers:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{a}(t)=\frac{v_{a n}^{2}(t)}{R_{e}}=\frac{V_{M}^{2}}{2 R_{e}}(1-\cos (2 \omega t)) \\
& p_{b}(t)=\frac{v_{b n}^{2}(t)}{R_{e}}=\frac{V_{M}^{2}}{2 R_{e}}\left(1-\cos \left(2 \omega t-240^{\circ}\right)\right) \\
& p_{c}(t)=\frac{v_{c n}^{2}(t)}{R_{e}}=\frac{V_{M}^{2}}{2 R_{e}}\left(1-\cos \left(2 \omega t-120^{\circ}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Total $3 \varnothing$ instantaneous power:

$$
p_{\text {tot }}(t)=p_{a}(t)+p_{b}(t)+p_{c}(t)=\frac{3}{2} \frac{V_{M}^{2}}{R_{e}}
$$

- $2^{\text {nd }}$ harmonic terms add to zero
- total $3 \varnothing$ power $p_{\text {tot }}(t)$ is constant


## Instantaneous power in ideal $3 \varnothing$ rectifier

$$
p_{t o t}(t)=p_{a}(t)+p_{b}(t)+p_{c}(t)=\frac{3}{2} \frac{V_{M}^{2}}{R_{e}}
$$

- In a balanced system, the ideal $3 \varnothing$ rectifier supplies constant power to its dc output
- a constant power load can be supplied, without need for lowfrequency internal energy storage



### 17.5.1 Three-phase rectifiers operating in CCM

3øac-dc boost rectifier


- Uses six current-bidirectional switches
- Operation of each individual phase is similar to the $1 \varnothing$ boost rectifier


## The 3øac-dc boost rectifier

- Voltage-source inverter, operated backwards as a rectifier
- Converter is capable of bidirectional power flow
- Dc output voltage $V$ must be greater than peak ac line-line voltage $V_{L, p k}$.
- Ac input currents are nonpulsating. In CCM, input EMI filtering is relatively easy
- Very low RMS transistor currents and conduction loss
- The leading candidate to replace uncontrolled $3 \varnothing$ rectifiers
- Requires six active devices
- Cannot regulate output voltage down to zero:
no current limiting
cannot replace traditional buck-type controlled rectifiers


## Control of switches in CCM 3øac-dc boost rectifier

## Pulse-width modulation:

Drive lower transistors $\left(Q_{4}-Q_{6}\right)$ with complements of duty cycles of respective upper transistors $\left(Q_{1}-Q_{3}\right)$. Each phase operates independently, with its own duty cycle.
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## Average switch waveforms

## Average the switch voltages:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle v_{10}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d_{1}(t)\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& \left\langle v_{20}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d_{2}(t)\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& \left\langle v_{30}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d_{3}(t)\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Average line-line voltages:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle v_{12}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle v_{10}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-\left\langle v_{20}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left(d_{1}(t)-d_{2}(t)\right)\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& \left\langle v_{23}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle v_{20}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-\left\langle v_{30}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left(d_{2}(t)-d_{3}(t)\right)\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& \left\langle v_{31}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle v_{30}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-\left\langle v_{10}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left(d_{3}(t)-d_{1}(t)\right)\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Average switch output-side currents:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d_{1}(t)\left\langle i_{a}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& \left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d_{2}(t)\left\langle i_{b}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& \left\langle i_{3}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d_{3}(t)\left\langle i_{c}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Averaged circuit model



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\langle v_{12}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle v_{10}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-\left\langle v_{20}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left(d_{1}(t)-d_{2}(t)\right)\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & \left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d_{1}(t)\left\langle i_{a}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\left\langle v_{23}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle v_{20}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-\left\langle v_{30}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left(d_{2}(t)-d_{3}(t)\right)\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & \left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d_{2}(t)\left\langle i_{b}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\left\langle v_{31}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle v_{30}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-\left\langle v_{10}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left(d_{3}(t)-d_{1}(t)\right)\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & \left\langle i_{3}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d_{3}(t)\left\langle i_{c}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{array}
$$

Q: $\quad$ How to vary $d(t)$ such that the desired ac and dc waveforms are obtained?

Solution is not unique.

## Sinusoidal PWM

A simple modulation scheme: Sinusoidal PWM
Vary duty cycles sinusoidally, in synchronism with ac line

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{1}(t)=D_{0}+\frac{1}{2} D_{m} \sin (\omega t-\varphi) \\
& d_{2}(t)=D_{0}+\frac{1}{2} D_{m} \sin \left(\omega t-\varphi-120^{\circ}\right) \\
& d_{3}(t)=D_{0}+\frac{1}{2} D_{m} \sin \left(\omega t-\varphi-240^{\circ}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$\omega$ is the ac line frequency
$D_{0}$ is a dc bias
$D_{m}$ is the modulation index
For $D_{0}=0.5, D_{m}$ in the above equations must be less than 1 .
The modulation index is defined as one-half of the peak amplitude of the fundamental component of the duty cycle modulation. In some other modulation schemes, it is possible that $D_{m}>1$.

## Solution, linear sinusoidal PWM

If the switching frequency is high, then the inductors can be small and have negligible effect at the ac line frequency. The averaged switch voltage and ac line voltage are then equal:

$$
\left\langle v_{12}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left(d_{1}(t)-d_{2}(t)\right)\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} \approx v_{a b}(t)
$$

Substitute expressions for duty cycle and ac line voltage variations:
$\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\left[\sin (\omega t-\varphi)-\sin \left(\omega t-\varphi-120^{\circ}\right)\right]\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}=V_{M}\left[\sin (\omega t)-\sin \left(\omega t-120^{\circ}\right)\right]$
For small $L, \varphi$ tends to zero. The expression then becomes

$$
\frac{1}{2} D_{m} V=V_{M}
$$

Solve for the output voltage:

$$
V=\frac{2 V_{M}}{D_{m}} \quad V=\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{V_{L, p k}}{D_{m}}=1.15 \frac{V_{L, p k}}{D_{m}}
$$

## Boost rectifier with sinusoidal PWM

$$
V=\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{V_{L, p k}}{D_{m}}=1.15 \frac{V_{L, p k}}{D_{m}}
$$

With sinusoidal PWM, the dc output voltage must be greater than 1.15 times the peak line-line input voltage. Hence, the boost rectifier increases the voltage magnitude.

## Nonlinear modulation

- Triplen harmonics can be added to the duty ratio modulation, without appearing in the line-line voltages.
- Overmodulation, in which the modulation index $D_{m}$ is increased
 beyond 1, also leads to undistorted line-line voltages provided that $D_{m} \leq 1.15$. The pulse width modulator saturates, but the duty ratio variations contain only triplen harmonics. $V=V_{L, p k}$ is obtained at $D_{m}=1.15$. Further increases in $D_{m}$ cause distorted ac line waveforms.


## Buck-type 3øac-dc rectifier



- Can produce controlled dc output voltages in the range $0 \leq V \leq V_{L, p k}$
- Requires two-quadrant voltage-bidirectional switches
- Exhibits greater active semiconductor stress than boost topology
- Can operate in inverter mode by reversal of output voltage polarity


## Buck-boost topology



## Cuk topology



## Use of three single-phase rectifiers



Fundamentals of Power Electronics

- Each rectifier must include isolation between input and output
- Isolation transformers must be rated to carry the pulsating single-phase ac power $p_{a c}(t)$
- Outputs can be connected in series or parallel
- Because of the isolation requirement, semiconductor stresses are greater than in 3ø boost rectifier
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### 17.5.2 Some other approaches to three-phase rectification

Low-harmonic rectification requires active semiconductor devices that are much more expensive than simple peak-detection diode rectifiers.

What is the minimum active silicon required to perform the function of $3 \varnothing$ low-harmonic rectification?

- No active devices are needed: diodes and harmonic traps will do the job, but these require low-frequency reactive elements
- When control of the output voltage is needed, then there must be at least one active device
- To avoid low-frequency reactive elements, at least one highfrequency switch is needed

So let's search for approaches that use just one active switch, and only high-frequency reactive elements

## The single-switch DCM boost $3 \varnothing$ rectifier



Inductors $L_{1}$ to $L_{3}$ operate in discontinuous conduction mode, in conjunction with diodes $D_{1}$ to $D_{6}$. Average input currents $\left\langle i_{a}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$, $\left\langle i_{b}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$, and $\left\langle i_{c}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$ are approximately proportional to the instantaneous input line-neutral voltages. Transistor is operated with constant duty cycle; slow variation of the duty cycle allows control of output power.

## The single-switch DCM boost $3 \varnothing$ rectifier



## The single-switch $3 \varnothing$ DCM flyback rectifier



## The single-switch $3 \varnothing$ DCM flyback rectifier

This converter is effectively three independent single-phase DCM flyback converters that share a common switch.

Since the open-loop DCM flyback converter can be modeled as a LossFree Resistor, three-phase low-harmonic rectification is obtained naturally.


Basic converter has a boost characteristic, but buck-boost characteristic is possible (next slide).

Inrush current limiting and isolation are obtained easily.

High peak currents, needs an input EMI filter

## $3 ø$ Flyback rectifier with buck-boost conversion ratio



## Single-switch three-phase zero-currentswitching quasi-resonant buck rectifier



Inductors $L_{r}$ and capacitor $C_{r}$ form resonant tank circuits, having resonant frequency slightly greater than the switching frequency.

Turning on $Q_{1}$ initiates resonant current pulses, whose amplitudes depend on the instantaneous input line-neutral voltages.

When the resonant current pulses return to zero, diodes $D_{1}$ to $D_{6}$ are reverse-biased. Transistor $Q_{1}$ can then be turned off at zero current.

## Single-switch three-phase zero-currentswitching quasi-resonant buck rectifier



Input line currents are approximately sinusoidal pulses, whose amplitudes follow the input line-neutral voltages.

Lowest total active semiconductor stress of all buck-type 3ø low harmonic rectifiers

## Multiresonant single-switch zero-current switching $3 \varnothing$ buck rectifier



Inductors $L_{r}$ and capacitors $C_{r 1}$ and $C_{r 2}$ form resonant tank circuits, having resonant frequency slightly greater than the switching frequency.

Turning on $Q_{1}$ initiates resonant voltage pulses in $v_{\text {cra }}(t)$, whose amplitudes depend on the instantaneous input line-neutral currents $i_{a}(t)$ to $i_{c}(t)$.
All diodes switch off when their respective tank voltages reach zero.
Transistor $Q_{1}$ is turned off at zero current.

## Multiresonant single-switch zero-current switching $3 ø$ buck rectifier



Input-side resonant voltages are approximately sinusoidal pulses, whose amplitudes follow the input currents. Input filter inductors operate in CCM.
Higher total active semiconductor stress than previous approach, but less EMI filtering is needed. Low THD: < 4\% THD can be obtained.

## Harmonic correction
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## Harmonic correction

- An active filter that is controlled to cancel the harmonic currents created by a nonlinear load.
- Does not need to conduct the average load power.
- Total active semiconductor stress is high when the nonlinear load generates large harmonic currents having high THD.
- In the majority of applications, this approach exhibits greater total active semiconductor stress than the simple $3 \varnothing$ CCM boost rectifier.


### 17.6 Summary of key points

1. The ideal rectifier presents an effective resistive load, the emulated resistance $R_{e}$, to the ac power system. The power apparently "consumed" by $R_{e}$ is transferred to the dc output port. In a three-phase ideal rectifier, input resistor emulation is obtained in each phase. In both the single-phase and three-phase cases, the output port follows a power source characteristic, dependent on the instantaneous ac input power. Ideal rectifiers can perform the function of low-harmonic rectification, without need for low-frequency reactive elements.
2. The dc-dc boost converter, as well as other converters capable of increasing the voltage, can be adapted to the ideal rectifier application. A control system causes the input current to be proportional to the input voltage. The converter may operate in CCM, DCM, or in both modes. The mode boundary is expressed as a function of $R_{e}, 2 L / T_{s}$, and the instantaneous voltage ratio $v_{g}(t) / V$. A well-designed average current controller leads to resistor emulation regardless of the operating mode; however, other schemes discussed in the next chapter may lead to distorted current waveforms when the mode boundary is crossed.

## Summary of key points

3. In a single-phase system, the instantaneous ac input power is pulsating, while the dc load power is constant. Whenever the instantaneous input and output powers are not equal, the ideal rectifier system must contain energy storage. A large capacitor is commonly employed; the voltage of this capacitor must be allowed to vary independently, as necessary to store and release energy. A slow feedback loop regulates the dc component of the capacitor voltage, to ensure that the average ac input power and dc load power are balanced.
4. RMS values of rectifiers waveforms can be computed by double integration. In the case of the boost converter, the rms transistor current can be as low as $39 \%$ of the rms ac input current, when $V$ is close in value to $V_{M}$. Other converter topologies such as the buck-boost, SEPIC, and Cuk converters exhibit significantly higher rms transistor currents but are capable of limiting the converter inrush current.

## Summary of key points

5. In the three-phase case, a boost-type rectifier based on the PWM voltagesource inverter also exhibits low rms transistor currents. This approach requires six active switching elements, and its dc output voltage must be greater than the peak input line-to-line voltage. Average current control can be used to obtain input resistor emulation. An equivalent circuit can be derived by averaging the switch waveforms. The converter operation can be understood by assuming that the switch duty cycles vary sinusoidally; expressions for the average converter waveforms can then be derived.
6. Other three-phase rectifier topologies are known, including six-switch rectifiers having buck and buck-boost characteristics. In addition, threephase low-harmonic rectifiers having a reduced number of active switches, as few as one, are discussed here.

## Chapter 18 <br> Low Harmonic Rectifier Modeling and Control

18.1 Modeling losses and efficiency in CCM high-quality rectifiers

Expression for controller duty cycle $d(t)$
Expression for the dc load current
Solution for converter efficiency $\eta$
Design example
18.2 Controller schemes

Average current control
Feedforward
Current programmed control
Hysteretic control
Nonlinear carrier control
18.3 Control system modeling

Modeling the outer low-bandwidth control system
Modeling the inner wide-bandwidth average current controller

### 18.1 Modeling losses and efficiency in CCM high-quality rectifiers

Objective: extend procedure of Chapter 3, to predict the output voltage, duty cycle variations, and efficiency, of PWM CCM Iow harmonic rectifiers.
Approach: Use the models developed in Chapter 3. Integrate over one ac line cycle to determine steady-state waveforms and average power.

## Boost example



## Modeling the ac-dc boost rectifier



Averaged model


## Boost rectifier waveforms



## Typical waveforms

(low frequency components)

$$
i_{g}(t)=\frac{v_{g}(t)}{R_{e}}
$$




## Example: boost rectifier with MOSFET on-resistance



Averaged model
Inductor dynamics are neglected, a good approximation when the ac line variations are slow compared to the converter natural frequencies

### 18.1.1 Expression for controller duty cycle $d(t)$

Solve input side of model:
$i_{g}(t) d(t) R_{o n}=v_{g}(t)-d^{\prime}(t) v$
with $\quad i_{g}(t)=\frac{v_{g}(t)}{R_{e}}$

$$
v_{g}(t)=V_{M}|\sin \omega t|
$$

eliminate $i_{g}(t)$ :

$$
\frac{v_{g}(t)}{R_{e}} d(t) R_{o n}=v_{g}(t)-d^{\prime}(t) v
$$


solve for $d(t)$ :

$$
d(t)=\frac{v-v_{g}(t)}{v-v_{g}(t) \frac{R_{o n}}{R_{e}}}
$$

Again, these expressions neglect converter dynamics, and assume that the converter always operates in CCM.

### 18.1.2 Expression for the dc load current

Solve output side of model, using charge balance on capacitor $C$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
I=\left\langle i_{d}\right\rangle_{T_{a c}} \\
i_{d}(t)=d^{\prime}(t) i_{g}(t)=d^{\prime}(t) \frac{v_{g}(t)}{R_{e}}
\end{gathered}
$$



Butd ${ }^{\prime}(t)$ is:

$$
d^{\prime}(t)=\frac{v_{g}(t)\left(1-\frac{R_{o n}}{R_{e}}\right)}{v-v_{g}(t) \frac{R_{o n}}{R_{e}}} \quad \quad \text { hence } i_{d}(t) \text { can be expressed as }
$$

Next, average $i_{d}(t)$ over an ac line period, to find the dc load current $I$.

## Dc load current $I$

Now substitute $v_{g}(t)=V_{M} \sin \omega t$, and integrate to find $\left\langle i_{d}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{a c}}$ :

$$
I=\left\langle i_{d}\right\rangle_{T_{a c}}=\frac{2}{T_{a c}} \int_{0}^{T_{a c}{ }^{2}}\left(\frac{V_{M}^{2}}{R_{e}}\right) \frac{\left(1-\frac{R_{o n}}{R_{e}}\right) \sin ^{2}(\omega t)}{\left(v-\frac{V_{M} R_{o n}}{R_{e}} \sin (\omega t)\right)} d t
$$

This can be written in the normalized form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad I=\frac{2}{T_{a c}} \frac{V_{M}^{2}}{V R_{e}}\left(1-\frac{R_{o n}}{R_{e}}\right) \int_{0}^{T_{a c} / 2} \frac{\sin ^{2}(\omega t)}{1-a \sin (\omega t)} d t \\
& \text { with } \quad a=\left(\frac{V_{M}}{V}\right)\left(\frac{R_{o n}}{R_{e}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Integration

By waveform symmetry, we need only integrate from 0 to $T_{a c} / 4$. Also, make the substitution $\theta=\omega t$ :

$$
I=\frac{V_{M}^{2}}{V R_{e}}\left(1-\frac{R_{o n}}{R_{e}}\right) \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{\sin ^{2}(\theta)}{1-a \sin (\theta)} d \theta
$$

This integral is obtained not only in the boost rectifier, but also in the buck-boost and other rectifier topologies. The solution is

$$
\frac{4}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{\sin ^{2}(\theta)}{1-a \sin (\theta)} d \theta=F(a)=\frac{2}{a^{2} \pi}\left(-2 a-\pi+\frac{4 \sin ^{-1}(a)+2 \cos ^{-1}(a)}{\sqrt{1-a^{2}}}\right)
$$

- Result is in closed form
- $a$ is a measure of the loss resistance relative to $R_{e}$
- $a$ is typically much smaller than unity


## The integral $F(a)$

$$
\frac{4}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{\sin ^{2}(\theta)}{1-a \sin (\theta)} d \theta=F(a)=\frac{2}{a^{2} \pi}\left(-2 a-\pi+\frac{4 \sin ^{-1}(a)+2 \cos ^{-1}(a)}{\sqrt{1-a^{2}}}\right)
$$

Approximation via polynomial:
$F(a) \approx 1+0.862 a+0.78 a^{2}$
For $|a| \leq 0.15$, this approximate expression is within $0.1 \%$ of the exact value. If the $a^{2}$ term is omitted, then the accuracy drops to $\pm 2 \%$ for $|a| \leq 0.15$. The accuracy of $F(a)$ coincides with the accuracy of the rectifier efficiency $\eta$.


### 18.1.4 Solution for converter efficiency $\eta$

Converter average input power is

$$
P_{i n}=\left\langle p_{i n}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{a c}}=\frac{V_{M}^{2}}{2 R_{e}}
$$

Average load power is

$$
P_{o u t}=V I=(V)\left(\frac{V_{M}^{2}}{V R_{e}}\left(1-\frac{R_{o n}}{R_{e}}\right) \frac{F(a)}{2}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad a=\left(\frac{V_{M}}{V}\right)\left(\frac{R_{o n}}{R_{e}}\right)
$$

So the efficiency is

$$
\eta=\frac{P_{\text {out }}}{P_{\text {in }}}=\left(1-\frac{R_{o n}}{R_{e}}\right) F(a)
$$

Polynomial approximation:

$$
\eta \approx\left(1-\frac{R_{o n}}{R_{e}}\right)\left(1+0.862 \frac{V_{M}}{V} \frac{R_{o n}}{R_{e}}+0.78\left(\frac{V_{M}}{V} \frac{R_{o n}}{R_{e}}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

## Boost rectifier efficiency



### 18.1.5 Design example

Let us design for a given efficiency. Consider the following specifications:

| Output voltage | 390 V |
| :--- | :--- |
| Output power | 500 W |
| rms input voltage | 120 V |
| Efficiency | $95 \%$ |

Assume that losses other than the MOSFET conduction loss are negligible.

Average input power is

$$
P_{\text {in }}=\frac{P_{\text {out }}}{\eta}=\frac{500 \mathrm{~W}}{0.95}=526 \mathrm{~W}
$$

Then the emulated resistance is

$$
R_{e}=\frac{V_{g, r m s}^{2}}{P_{i n}}=\frac{(120 \mathrm{~V})^{2}}{526 \mathrm{~W}}=27.4 \Omega
$$

## Design example



### 18.2 Controller schemes

Average current control<br>Feedforward<br>Current programmed control<br>Hysteretic control<br>Nonlinear carrier control

### 18.2.1 Average current control

Boost example
Low frequency (average) component of input current is controlled to follow input voltage


## Use of multiplier to control average power

As discussed in Chapter 17, an output voltage feedback loop adjusts the emulated resistance $R_{e}$ such that the rectifier power equals the dc load power:

$$
P_{a v}=\frac{V_{g, r m s}^{2}}{R_{e}}=P_{\text {load }}
$$

An analog multiplier introduces the dependence of $R_{e}$ on $v(t)$.


### 18.2.2 Feedforward

Feedforward is sometimes used to cancel out disturbances in the input voltage $v_{g}(t)$.
To maintain a given power throughput $P_{a v}$, the reference voltage $v_{\text {ref1 }}(t)$ should be

$$
v_{r e f 1}(t)=\frac{P_{a v} v_{g}(t) R_{s}}{V_{g, r m s}^{2}}
$$



## Feedforward, continued

Controller with feedforward produces the following reference:

$$
v_{\text {ref } 1}(t)=\frac{k_{v} v_{\text {control }}(t) v_{g}(t)}{V_{M}^{2}}
$$

The average power is then given by

$$
P_{a v}=\frac{k_{v} v_{\text {control }}(t)}{2 R_{s}}
$$

### 18.2.3 Current programmed control

Current programmed control is a natural approach to obtain input resistor emulation:

Peak transistor current is programmed to follow input voltage.

Peak transistor current differs from average inductor current, because of inductor current ripple and artificial ramp. This leads to significant input current waveform distortion.


Current-programmed controller

## CPM boost converter: Static input characteristics

$$
\left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
v_{g}(t) \frac{L i_{c}^{2}(t) f_{s}}{\left(V-v_{g}(t)\right)\left(\frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}+\frac{m_{a} L}{V}\right)} & \text { in DCM } \\
i_{c}(t)+m_{a} T_{s}\left(\frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}-1\right)+\frac{v_{g}^{2}(t) T_{s}}{2 L V} & \text { in CCM }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Mode boundary: CCM occurs when

$$
\left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}>\frac{T_{s} V}{2 L} \frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}\left(1-\frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}\right)
$$

or, $\quad i_{c}(t)>\frac{T_{s} V}{L}\left(\frac{m_{a} L}{V}+\frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}\right)\left(1-\frac{v_{g}(t)}{V}\right)$
It is desired that

$$
i_{c}(t)=\frac{v_{g}(t)}{R_{e}}
$$

Minimum slope compensation:

$$
m_{a}=\frac{V}{2 L}
$$

Static input characteristics of CPM boost, with minimum slope compensation:

### 18.3 Control system modeling of high quality rectifiers

Two loops:


Outer low-bandwidth controller
Low-bandwidth energy-storage capacitor voltage controller

Inner wide-bandwidth controller

### 18.3.1 Modeling the outer low-bandwidth control system

This loop maintains power balance, stabilizing the rectifier output voltage against variations in load power, ac line voltage, and component values

The loop must be slow, to avoid introducing variations in $R_{e}$ at the harmonics of the ac line frequency

Objective of our modeling efforts: low-frequency small-signal model that predicts transfer functions at frequencies below the ac line frequency

## Large signal model

 averaged over switching period $T_{s}$

Ideal rectifier model, assuming that inner wide-bandwidth loop operates ideally

High-frequency switching harmonics are removed via averaging
Ac line-frequency harmonics are included in model
Nonlinear and time-varying

## Predictions of large-signal model

If the input voltage is

$$
v_{s}(t)=\sqrt{2} v_{g, r m s}|\sin (\omega t)|
$$

Then the instantaneous power is:


$$
\langle p(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}^{2}}{R_{e}\left(v_{\text {control }}(t)\right)}=\frac{v_{g, r m s}^{2}}{R_{e}\left(v_{\text {control }}(t)\right)}(1-\cos (2 \omega t))
$$

which contains a constant term plus a secondharmonic term

## Separation of power source into its constant and time-varying components



The second-harmonic variation in power leads to second-harmonic variations in the output voltage and current

## Removal of even harmonics via averaging



$$
T_{2 L}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{2 \pi}{\omega}=\frac{\pi}{\omega}
$$

## Resulting averaged model



Time invariant model
Power source is nonlinear

## Perturbation and linearization

The averaged model predicts that the rectifier output current is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{2 L}} & =\frac{\langle p(t)\rangle_{T_{2 L}}}{\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{2 L}}}=\frac{v_{g, r m s}^{2}(t)}{R_{e}\left(v_{\text {control }}(t)\right)\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{2 L}}} \\
& \left.=f\left(v_{g, r m s}(t),\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{2 L}}, v_{\text {control }}(t)\right)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{2 L}}=V+\hat{v}(t) \\
& \left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{2 L}}=I_{2}+\hat{i}_{2}(t) \\
& v_{g, \text { rms }}=V_{g, r m s}+\hat{v}_{g, \text { rms }}(t) \\
& v_{\text {control }}(t)=V_{\text {control }}+\hat{v}_{\text {control }}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
V & >|\hat{v}(t)| \\
I_{2} & \gg\left|\hat{i}_{2}(t)\right| \\
V_{g, r m s} & \gg\left|\hat{v}_{g, r m s}(t)\right| \\
V_{\text {control }} & \gg\left|\hat{v}_{\text {control }}(t)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linearized result

$$
I_{2}+\hat{i}_{2}(t)=g_{2} \hat{v}_{g, r m s}(t)+j_{2} \hat{v}(t)-\frac{\hat{v}_{\text {control }}(t)}{r_{2}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{2}=\left.\frac{d f\left(v_{g, r m s}, V, V_{\text {control }}\right)}{d v_{g, r m s}}\right|_{v_{g, r m s}=V_{g, r m s}}=\frac{2}{R_{e}\left(V_{\text {control }}\right)} \frac{V_{g, r m s}}{V} \\
& \left(-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right)=\left.\frac{\left.d f\left(V_{g, r m s},\langle v\rangle_{T_{2 L}}, V_{\text {control }}\right)\right)}{d\langle v\rangle_{T_{2 L}}}\right|_{\langle\nu\rangle_{T_{2 L}}=V}=-\frac{I_{2}}{V} \\
& j_{2}=\left.\frac{\left.d f\left(V_{g, r m s}, V, v_{\text {control }}\right)\right)}{d v_{\text {control }}}\right|_{v_{\text {control }}=V_{\text {control }}}=-\left.\frac{V_{g, r m s}^{2}}{V R_{e}^{2}\left(V_{\text {control }}\right)} \frac{d R_{e}\left(v_{\text {control }}\right)}{d v_{\text {control }}}\right|_{v_{\text {control }}=V_{\text {control }}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Small-signal equivalent circuit



Predicted transfer functions


## Model parameters

Table 18.1 Small-signal model parameters for several types of rectifier control schemes

| Controller type | $g_{2}$ | $j_{2}$ | $r_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average current control with <br> feedforward, Fig. 18.9 | 0 | $\frac{P_{a v}}{V V_{c o n t r o l}}$ | $\frac{V^{2}}{P_{a v}}$ |
| Current-programmed control, | $\frac{2 P_{a v}}{V V_{g, r m s}}$ | $\frac{P_{a v}}{V V_{c o n t r o l}}$ | $\frac{V^{2}}{P_{a v}}$ |
| Fig. 18.10 | $\frac{2 P_{a v}}{V V_{g, r m s}}$ | $\frac{P_{a v}}{V V_{c o n t r o l}}$ | $\frac{V^{2}}{2 P_{a v}}$ |
| of boost rectifier, Fig. 18.14 | $\frac{2 P_{a v}}{V V_{g, r m s}}$ | $\frac{P_{a v}}{V T_{o n}}$ | $\frac{V^{2}}{P_{a v}}$ |
| Boost with hysteretic control, | $\frac{2 P_{a v}}{V V_{g, r m s}}$ | $\frac{2 P_{a v}}{V D}$ | $\frac{V^{2}}{P_{a v}}$ |
| Fig. 18.13(b) |  |  |  |
| DEPIC, or Cuk converters |  |  |  |

## Constant power load



Rectifier and dc-dc converter operate with same average power Incremental resistance $R$ of constant power load is negative, and is

$$
R=-\frac{V^{2}}{P_{a v}}
$$

which is equal in magnitude and opposite in polarity to rectifier incremental output resistance $r_{2}$ for all controllers except NLC

## Transfer functions with constant power load

When $r_{2}=-R$, the parallel combination $r_{2} \| R$ becomes equal to zero.
The small-signal transfer functions then reduce to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\hat{v}(s)}{\hat{v}_{\text {control }}(s)}=\frac{j_{2}}{s C} \\
& \frac{\hat{v}(s)}{\hat{v}_{g, r m s}(s)}=\frac{g_{2}}{s C}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 18.3.2 Modeling the inner wide-bandwidth average current controller

Averaged (but not linearized) boost converter model, Fig. 7.42:


In Chapter 7, $\quad\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=V_{g}+\hat{v}_{g}(t)$
we perturbed $\quad d(t)=D+\hat{d}(t) \Rightarrow d^{\prime}(t)=D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t)$
and linearized $\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=I+\hat{i}(t)$
using the assumptions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=V+\hat{v}(t) \\
& \left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=V_{1}+\hat{v}_{1}(t) \\
& \left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=I_{2}+\hat{i}_{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: variations in $v_{g}$, $i_{1}$, and $d$ are not small.

So we are faced with the design of a control system that exhibits significant nonlinear time-varying behavior.

## Linearizing the equations of the boost rectifier

When the rectifier operates near steady-state, it is true that

$$
\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}=V+\hat{v}(t)
$$

with

$$
|\hat{v}(t)| \ll|V|
$$

In the special case of the boost rectifier, this is sufficient to linearize the equations of the average current controller.

The boost converter average inductor voltage is

$$
L \frac{d\left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t}=\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-d^{\prime}(t) V-d^{\prime}(t) \hat{v}(t)
$$

substitute:

$$
L \frac{d\left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t}=\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-d^{\prime}(t) V-d^{\prime}(t) \hat{v}(t)
$$

## Linearized boost rectifier model

$$
L \frac{d\left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t}=\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-d^{\prime}(t) V-d^{\prime}(t) \hat{v}(t)
$$

The nonlinear term is much smaller than the linear ac term. Hence, it can be discarded to obtain

$$
L \frac{d\left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d t}=\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}-d^{\prime}(t) V
$$

Equivalent circuit:


## The quasi-static approximation

The above approach is not sufficient to linearize the equations needed to design the rectifier averaged current controllers of buck-boost, Cuk, SEPIC, and other converter topologies. These are truly nonlinear timevarying systems.

An approximate approach that is sometimes used in these cases: the quasi-static approximation

Assume that the ac line variations are much slower than the converter dynamics, so that the rectifier always operates near equilibrium. The quiescent operating point changes slowly along the input sinusoid, and we can find the slowly-varying "equilibrium" duty ratio as in Section 18.1.

The converter small-signal transfer functions derived in Chapters 7 and 8 are evaluated, using the time-varying operating point. The poles, zeroes, and gains vary slowly as the operating point varies. An average current controller is designed, that has a positive phase margin at each operating point.

## Quasi-static approximation: discussion

In the literature, several authors have reported success using this method

Should be valid provided that the converter dynamics are suffieiently fast, such that the converter always operates near the assumed operating points

No good condition on system parameters, which can justify the approximation, is presently known for the basic converter topologies It is well-understood in the field of control systems that, when the converter dynamics are not sufficiently fast, then the quasi-static approximation yields neither necessary nor sufficient conditions for stability. Such behavior can be observed in rectifier systems. Worstcase analysis to prove stability should employ simulations.
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19.2 Examples
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## Introduction to Resonant Conversion

Resonant power converters contain resonant L-C networks whose voltage and current waveforms vary sinusoidally during one or more subintervals of each switching period. These sinusoidal variations are large in magnitude, and the small ripple approximation does not apply.

Some types of resonant converters:

- Dc-to-high-frequency-ac inverters
- Resonant dc-dc converters
- Resonant inverters or rectifiers producing line-frequency ac


## A basic class of resonant inverters

## Basic circuit



## Several resonant tank networks
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## Tank network responds only to fundamental component of switched waveforms





Tank current and output voltage are essentially sinusoids at the switching frequency $f_{s}$.

Output can be controlled by variation of switching frequency, closer to or away from the tank resonant frequency

## Derivation of a resonant dc-dc converter

Rectify and filter the output of a dc-high-frequency-ac inverter


The series resonant dc-dc converter

## A series resonant link inverter

Same as dc-dc series resonant converter, except output rectifiers are replaced with four-quadrant switches:


## Quasi-resonant converters

In a conventional PWM converter, replace the PWM switch network with a switch network containing resonant elements.

Buck converter example


Two switch networks:


## Resonant conversion: advantages

The chief advantage of resonant converters: reduced switching loss
Zero-current switching
Zero-voltage switching
Turn-on or turn-off transitions of semiconductor devices can occur at zero crossings of tank voltage or current waveforms, thereby reducing or eliminating some of the switching loss mechanisms. Hence resonant converters can operate at higher switching frequencies than comparable PWM converters

Zero-voltage switching also reduces converter-generated EMI
Zero-current switching can be used to commutate SCRs
In specialized applications, resonant networks may be unavoidable
High voltage converters: significant transformer leakage inductance and winding capacitance leads to resonant network

## Resonant conversion: disadvantages

Can optimize performance at one operating point, but not with wide range of input voltage and load power variations

Significant currents may circulate through the tank elements, even when the load is disconnected, leading to poor efficiency at light load

Quasi-sinusoidal waveforms exhibit higher peak values than equivalent rectangular waveforms

These considerations lead to increased conduction losses, which can offset the reduction in switching loss

Resonant converters are usually controlled by variation of switching frequency. In some schemes, the range of switching frequencies can be very large

Complexity of analysis

## Resonant conversion: Outline of discussion

- Simple steady-state analysis via sinusoidal approximation
- Simple and exact results for the series and parallel resonant converters
- Mechanisms of soft switching
- Circulating currents, and the dependence (or lack thereof) of conduction loss on load power
- Quasi-resonant converter topologies
- Steady-state analysis of quasi-resonant converters
- Ac modeling of quasi-resonant converters via averaged switch modeling


### 19.1 Sinusoidal analysis of resonant converters



If tank responds primarily to fundamental component of switch network output voltage waveform, then harmonics can be neglected.

Let us model all ac waveforms by their fundamental components.

## The sinusoidal approximation





Tank current and output voltage are essentially sinusoids at the switching frequency $f_{s}$.

Neglect harmonics of switch output voltage waveform, and model only the fundamental component.

Remaining ac waveforms can be found via phasor analysis.

### 19.1.1 Controlled switch network model



If the switch network produces a square wave, then its output voltage has the following Fourier series:

$$
v_{s}(t)=\frac{4 V_{g}}{\pi} \quad \sum_{n=1,3,5, \ldots} \frac{1}{n} \sin \left(n \omega_{s} t\right)
$$



The fundamental component is

$$
v_{s 1}(t)=\frac{4 V_{g}}{\pi} \sin \left(\omega_{s} t\right)=V_{s 1} \sin \left(\omega_{s} t\right)
$$

So model switch network output port with voltage source of value $v_{s 1}(t)$

## Model of switch network input port



Assume that switch network output current is

$$
i_{s}(t) \approx I_{s 1} \sin \left(\omega_{s} t-\varphi_{s}\right)
$$

It is desired to model the dc component (average value) of the switch network input current.


$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle i_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\frac{2}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s} / 2} i_{g}(\tau) d \tau \\
& \approx \frac{2}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s} / 2} I_{s 1} \sin \left(\omega_{s} \tau-\varphi_{s}\right) d \tau \\
& =\frac{2}{\pi} I_{s 1} \cos \left(\varphi_{s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Switch network: equivalent circuit



- Switch network converts dc to ac
- Dc components of input port waveforms are modeled
- Fundamental ac components of output port waveforms are modeled
- Model is power conservative: predicted average input and output powers are equal


### 19.1.2 Modeling the rectifier and capacitive filter networks



Assume large output filter capacitor, having small ripple.
$v_{R}(t)$ is a square wave, having zero crossings in phase with tank output current $i_{R}(t)$.

If $i_{R}(t)$ is a sinusoid:

$$
i_{R}(t)=I_{R 1} \sin \left(\omega_{s} t-\varphi_{R}\right)
$$

Then $v_{R}(t)$ has the following
Fourier series:

$$
v_{R}(t)=\frac{4 V}{\pi} \sum_{n=1,3,5, \ldots}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sin \left(n \omega_{s} t-\varphi_{R}\right)
$$

## Sinusoidal approximation: rectifier

Again, since tank responds only to fundamental components of applied waveforms, harmonics in $v_{R}(t)$ can be neglected. $v_{R}(t)$ becomes

$$
v_{R 1}(t)=\frac{4 V}{\pi} \sin \left(\omega_{s} t-\varphi_{R}\right)=V_{R 1} \sin \left(\omega_{s} t-\varphi_{R}\right)
$$

Actual waveforms

with harmonics ignored


## Rectifier dc output port model



## Equivalent circuit of rectifier

Rectifier input port:
Fundamental components of current and voltage are sinusoids that are in phase Hence rectifier presents a resistive load to tank network

Effective resistance $R_{e}$ is

$$
R_{e}=\frac{v_{R 1}(t)}{i_{R}(t)}=\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} \frac{V}{I}
$$



With a resistive load $R$, this becomes

$$
R_{e}=\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} R=0.8106 R
$$

### 19.1.3 Resonant tank network



Model of ac waveforms is now reduced to a linear circuit. Tank network is excited by effective sinusoidal voltage (switch network output port), and is load by effective resistive load (rectifier input port).

Can solve for transfer function via conventional linear circuit analysis.

## Solution of tank network waveforms

Transfer function:

$$
\frac{v_{R 1}(s)}{v_{s 1}(s)}=H(s)
$$

Ratio of peak values of input and output voltages:

$$
\frac{V_{R 1}}{V_{s 1}}=\|H(s)\|_{s=j \omega_{s}}
$$

Solution for tank output current:


$$
i_{R}(s)=\frac{v_{R 1}(s)}{R_{e}}=\frac{H(s)}{R_{e}} v_{s 1}(s)
$$

which has peak magnitude

$$
I_{R 1}=\frac{\|H(s)\|_{s=j \omega_{s}}}{R_{e}} V_{s 1}
$$

### 19.1.4 Solution of converter voltage conversion ratio $M=V / V_{g}$



$$
\begin{aligned}
M=\frac{V}{V_{g}}= & \underbrace{(R)} \underbrace{\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{R_{e}}\right)}_{\left(\frac{V_{R 1}}{V_{e}}\right)} \underbrace{\left(\|H(s)\|_{s=j \omega_{s}}\right)}_{\left(\frac{I}{I_{R 1}}\right)\left(\frac{I_{R 1}}{V_{R 1}}\right)} \underbrace{\left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text { Eliminate } R_{e}: \\
\left.\frac{V}{V_{g}}\right)
\end{array} \quad\|H(s)\|_{s=j \omega_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Conversion ratio $M$

$$
\frac{V}{V_{g}}=\|H(s)\|_{s=j \omega_{s}}
$$

So we have shown that the conversion ratio of a resonant converter, having switch and rectifier networks as in previous slides, is equal to the magnitude of the tank network transfer function. This transfer function is evaluated with the tank loaded by the effective rectifier input resistance $R_{e}$.

### 19.2 Examples 19.2.1 Series resonant converter



## Model: series resonant converter
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## Construction of $Z_{i}$



## Construction of $H$



### 19.2.2 Subharmonic modes of the SRC





Example: excitation of tank by third harmonic of switching frequency

Can now approximate $v_{s}(t)$ by its third harmonic:
$v_{s}(t) \approx v_{s n}(t)=\frac{4 V_{g}}{n \pi} \sin \left(n \omega_{s} t\right)$

Result of analysis:

$$
M=\frac{V}{V_{g}}=\frac{\left\|H\left(j n \omega_{s}\right)\right\|}{n}
$$
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## Subharmonic modes of SRC



### 19.2.3 Parallel resonant dc-dc converter



Differs from series resonant converter as follows:
Different tank network
Rectifier is driven by sinusoidal voltage, and is connected to inductive-input low-pass filter

Need a new model for rectifier and filter networks

## Model of uncontrolled rectifier with inductive filter network
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Fundamental component of $i_{R}(t)$ :

$$
i_{R 1}(t)=\frac{4 I}{\pi} \sin \left(\omega_{s} t-\varphi_{R}\right)
$$
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## Effective resistance $R_{e}$

## Again define

$$
R_{e}=\frac{v_{R 1}(t)}{i_{R 1}(t)}=\frac{\pi V_{R 1}}{4 I}
$$

In steady state, the dc output voltage $V$ is equal to the average value of $\left|v_{R}\right|$ :

$$
V=\frac{2}{T_{S}} \int_{0}^{T_{s} / 2} V_{R 1}\left|\sin \left(\omega_{s} t-\varphi_{R}\right)\right| d t=\frac{2}{\pi} V_{R 1}
$$

For a resistive load, $V=I R$. The effective resistance $R_{e}$ can then be expressed

$$
R_{e}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{8} R=1.2337 R
$$

## Equivalent circuit model of uncontrolled rectifier with inductive filter network

$$
R_{e}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{8} R
$$



## Equivalent circuit model Parallel resonant dc-dc converter



## Construction of $Z_{o}$



## Construction of $H$



## Dc conversion ratio of the PRC

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =\frac{8}{\pi^{2}}\left\|\frac{Z_{o}(s)}{s L}\right\|_{s=j \omega_{s}}=\frac{8}{\pi^{2}}\left\|\frac{1}{1+\frac{s}{Q_{e} \omega_{0}}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}\right\|_{s=j \omega_{s}} \\
& =\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(1-F^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{F}{Q_{e}}\right)^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

At resonance, this becomes

$$
M=\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} \frac{R_{e}}{R_{0}}=\frac{R}{R_{0}}
$$

- PRC can step up the voltage, provided $R>R_{0}$
- PRC can produce $M$ approaching infinity, provided output current is limited to value less than $V_{g} / R_{0}$


### 19.3 Exact characteristics of the series and parallel resonant dc-dc converters

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{f_{0}}{k+1}<f_{s}<\frac{f_{0}}{k} \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{1}{k+1}<F<\frac{1}{k} \quad \text { mode index } k \\
& \xi=k+\frac{1+(-1)^{k}}{2} \\
& \text { subharmonic index } \xi \\
& \longleftarrow \xi=3 \longrightarrow \longleftarrow \xi=1 \longrightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

### 19.3.1 Exact characteristics of the series resonant converter



Normalized load voltage and current:

$$
M=\frac{V}{n V_{g}} \quad J=\frac{I n R_{0}}{V_{g}}
$$

## Continuous conduction mode, SRC

Tank current rings continuously for entire length of switching period Waveforms for type $k$ CCM, odd $k$ :


## Series resonant converter

Waveforms for type $k$ CCM, even $k$ :


## Exact steady-state solution, CCM Series resonant converter

$$
M^{2} \xi^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\xi^{2}}\left(\frac{J \gamma}{2}+(-1)^{k}\right)^{2} \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)=1
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M=\frac{V}{n V_{g}} & J=\frac{\operatorname{In} R_{0}}{V_{g}} \\
\gamma=\frac{\omega_{0} T_{s}}{2}=\frac{\pi}{F}
\end{array}
$$

- Output characteristic, i.e., the relation between $M$ and $J$, is elliptical
- $M$ is restricted to the range

$$
0 \leq M \leq \frac{1}{\xi}
$$

## Control-plane characteristics

For a resistive load, eliminate $J$ and solve for $M$ vs. $\gamma$
$M=\frac{\left(\frac{Q \gamma}{2}\right)}{\xi^{4} \tan ^{2}\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{Q \gamma}{2}\right)^{2}}\left[(-1)^{k+1}+\sqrt{1+\frac{\left[\xi^{2}-\cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\right]\left[\xi^{4} \tan ^{2}\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{Q \gamma}{2}\right)^{2}\right]}{\left(\frac{Q \gamma}{2}\right)^{2} \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)}}\right]$

Exact, closed-form, valid for any CCM

## Discontinuous conduction mode

Type $k$ DCM: during each half-switching-period, the tank rings for $k$ complete half-cycles. The output diodes then become reverse-biased for the remainder of the half-switching-period.


## Steady-state solution: type $k$ DCM, odd $k$

$$
M=\frac{1}{k}
$$

Conditions for operation in type $k$ DCM, odd $k$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{s}<\frac{f_{0}}{k} \\
\frac{2(k+1)}{\gamma}>J>\frac{2(k-1)}{\gamma}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Steady-state solution: type $k$ DCM, even $k$

$$
J=\frac{2 k}{\gamma}
$$

Conditions for operation in type $k$ DCM, even $k$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{s}<\frac{f_{0}}{k} \\
\frac{1}{k-1}>M>\frac{1}{k+1}
\end{gathered}
$$



## Control plane characteristics, SRC
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## Mode boundaries, SRC



## Output characteristics, SRC above resonance
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## Output characteristics, SRC below resonance
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### 19.3.2 Exact characteristics of the parallel resonant converter



Normalized load voltage and current:

$$
M=\frac{V}{n V_{g}} \quad J=\frac{\operatorname{InR} R_{0}}{V_{g}}
$$

## Parallel resonant converter in CCM

## CCM closed-form solution

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { CCM closed-form solution } \\
M=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{2}{\gamma}\right)\left(\varphi-\frac{\sin (\varphi)}{\cos \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)}\right) \\
-\cos ^{-1}\left(\cos \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)+J \sin \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\right) \\
+\cos ^{-1}\left(\cos \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)+J \sin \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\right) \\
\text { for } 0<\gamma<\pi \square
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Parallel resonant converter in DCM



## Output characteristics of the PRC



Solid curves: CCM
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Shaded curves: DCM

## Control characteristics of the PRC with resistive load



### 19.4 Soft switching

Soft switching can mitigate some of the mechanisms of switching loss and possibly reduce the generation of EMI
Semiconductor devices are switched on or off at the zero crossing of their voltage or current waveforms:

Zero-current switching: transistor turn-off transition occurs at zero current. Zero-current switching eliminates the switching loss caused by IGBT current tailing and by stray inductances. It can also be used to commutate SCR's.
Zero-voltage switching: transistor turn-on transition occurs at zero voltage. Diodes may also operate with zero-voltage switching. Zero-voltage switching eliminates the switching loss induced by diode stored charge and device output capacitances.
Zero-voltage switching is usually preferred in modern converters.
Zero-voltage transition converters are modified PWM converters, in which an inductor charges and discharges the device capacitances. Zero-voltage switching is then obtained.

### 19.4.1 Operation of the full bridge below resonance: Zero-current switching

## Series resonant converter example



Operation below resonance: input tank current leads voltage
Zero-current switching (ZCS) occurs

## Tank input impedance

Operation below resonance: tank input impedance $Z_{i}$ is dominated by tank capacitor.
$\angle Z_{i}$ is positive, and tank input current leads tank input voltage.
Zero crossing of the tank input current
 waveform $i_{s}(t)$ occurs before the zero crossing of the voltage $v_{s}(t)$.

## Switch network waveforms, below resonance

 Zero-current switching
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Conduction sequence: $Q_{1}-D_{1}-Q_{2}-D_{2}$
$Q_{1}$ is turned off during $D_{1}$ conduction interval, without loss

## ZCS turn-on transition: hard switching


$Q_{1}$ turns on while $D_{2}$ is conducting. Stored charge of $D_{2}$ and of semiconductor output capacitances must be removed. Transistor turn-on transition is identical to hardswitched PWM, and switching loss occurs.

### 19.4.2 Operation of the full bridge below resonance: Zero-voltage switching

## Series resonant converter example



Operation above resonance: input tank current lags voltage
Zero-voltage switching (ZVS) occurs

## Tank input impedance

Operation above resonance: tank input impedance $Z_{i}$ is dominated by tank inductor.
$\angle Z_{i}$ is negative, and tank input current lags tank input voltage.
Zero crossing of the tank input current waveform $i_{s}(t)$ occurs
 after the zero crossing of the voltage $v_{s}(t)$.

## Switch network waveforms, above resonance

 Zero-voltage switching
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Conduction sequence: $D_{1}-Q_{1}-D_{2}-Q_{2}$
$Q_{1}$ is turned on during $D_{1}$ conduction interval, without loss

## ZVS turn-off transition: hard switching?



When $Q_{1}$ turns off, $D_{2}$ must begin conducting. Voltage across $Q_{1}$ must increase to $V_{g}$. Transistor turn-off transition is identical to hard-switched PWM. Switching loss may occur (but see next slide).

## Soft switching at the ZVS turn-off transition



- Introduce small capacitors $C_{\text {leg }}$ across each device (or use device output capacitances).
- Introduce delay between turn-off of $Q_{1}$ and turn-on of $Q_{2}$.
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Tank current $i_{s}(t)$ charges and discharges $C_{l e g}$. Turn-off transition becomes lossless. During commutation interval, no devices conduct.

So zero-voltage switching exhibits low switching loss: losses due to diode stored charge and device output capacitances are eliminated.

### 19.4.3 The zero-voltage transition converter

Basic version based on full-bridge PWM buck converter
$V_{g}$


- Can obtain ZVS of all primaryside MOSFETs and diodes
- Secondary-side diodes switch at zero-current, with loss
- Phase-shift control



### 19.5 Load-dependent properties of resonant converters

## Resonant inverter design objectives:

1. Operate with a specified load characteristic and range of operating points

- With a nonlinear load, must properly match inverter output characteristic to load characteristic

2. Obtain zero-voltage switching or zero-current switching

- Preferably, obtain these properties at all loads
- Could allow ZVS property to be lost at light load, if necessary

3. Minimize transistor currents and conduction losses

- To obtain good efficiency at light load, the transistor current should scale proportionally to load current (in resonant converters, it often doesn't!)


## Topics of Discussion

## Section 19.5

Inverter output $i-v$ characteristics
Two theorems

- Dependence of transistor current on load current
- Dependence of zero-voltage/zero-current switching on load resistance
- Simple, intuitive frequency-domain approach to design of resonant converter

Examples and interpretation

- Series
- Parallel
- LCC


## Inverter output characteristics

Let $H_{\infty}$ be the open-circuit ( $R \rightarrow \infty$ ) transfer function:

$$
\left.\frac{v_{o}(j \omega)}{v_{i}(j \omega)}\right|_{R \rightarrow \infty}=H_{\infty}(j \omega)
$$

and let $Z_{o 0}$ be the output impedance
(with $v_{i} \rightarrow$ short-circuit). Then,

$$
v_{o}(j \omega)=H_{\infty}(j \omega) v_{i}(j \omega) \frac{R}{R+Z_{o 0}(j \omega)}
$$

The output voltage magnitude is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|v_{o}\right\|^{2}=v_{o} v_{o}^{*}=\frac{\left\|H_{\infty}\right\|^{2}\left\|v_{i}\right\|^{2}}{\left(1+\left\|Z_{o o}\right\|^{2} / R^{2}\right)} \\
& \text { with } \quad R=\left\|v_{o}\right\| /\left\|i_{o}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$



This result can be rearranged to obtain

$$
\left\|v_{o}\right\|^{2}+\left\|i_{o}\right\|^{2}\left\|Z_{o 0}\right\|^{2}=\left\|H_{\infty}\right\|^{2}\left\|v_{i}\right\|^{2}
$$

Hence, at a given frequency, the output characteristic (i.e., the relation between $\left\|v_{o}\right\|$ and $\left.\left\|i_{o}\right\|\right)$ of any resonant inverter of this class is elliptical.

## Inverter output characteristics

General resonant inverter output characteristics are elliptical, of the form

$$
\frac{\left\|v_{o}\right\|^{2}}{V_{o c}^{2}}+\frac{\left\|i_{o}\right\|^{2}}{I_{s c}^{2}}=1
$$

with $\quad V_{o c}=\left\|H_{\infty}\right\|\left\|v_{i}\right\|$


$$
I_{s c}=\frac{\left\|H_{\infty}\right\|\left\|v_{i}\right\|}{\left\|Z_{o o}\right\|}
$$

This result is valid provided that (i) the resonant network is purely reactive, and (ii) the load is purely resistive.

# Matching ellipse to application requirements 

Electronic ballast


Electrosurgical generator


## Input impedance of the resonant tank network

$$
Z_{i}(s)=Z_{i 0}(s) \frac{1+\frac{R}{Z_{o 0}(s)}}{1+\frac{R}{Z_{o \infty}(s)}}=Z_{i o}(s) \frac{1+\frac{Z_{o 0}(s)}{R}}{1+\frac{Z_{o \infty}(s)}{R}}
$$


where

$$
Z_{i 0}=\left.\frac{v_{i}}{i_{i}}\right|_{R \rightarrow 0} \quad Z_{i \infty}=\left.\frac{v_{i}}{i_{i}}\right|_{R \rightarrow \infty}
$$

$$
Z_{o 0}=\left.\frac{v_{o}}{-i_{o}}\right|_{v_{i} \rightarrow \text { short circuit }}
$$

$$
Z_{o \infty}=\left.\frac{v_{o}}{-i_{o}}\right|_{v_{i} \rightarrow \text { open circuit }}
$$

## Other relations

## Reciprocity

$$
\frac{Z_{i 0}}{Z_{i \infty}}=\frac{Z_{o 0}}{Z_{o \infty}}
$$

Tank transfer function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(s)=\frac{H_{\infty}(s)}{1+\frac{R}{Z_{o 0}}} \\
& \text { where } \quad H_{\infty}=\left.\frac{v_{o}(s)}{v_{i}(s)}\right|_{R \rightarrow \infty} \\
& \left\|H_{\infty}\right\|^{2}=Z_{o 0}\left(\frac{1}{Z_{i 0}}-\frac{1}{Z_{i \infty}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If the tank network is purely reactive, then each of its impedances and transfer functions have zero real parts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{i 0} & =-Z_{i 0}^{*} \\
Z_{i \infty}^{*} & =-Z_{i \infty}^{*} \\
Z_{o 0} & =-Z_{o 0}^{*} \\
Z_{o \infty} & =-Z_{o \infty}^{*} \\
H_{\infty} & =-H_{\infty}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the input impedance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { magnitude is } \\
& \qquad\left\|Z_{i}\right\|^{2}=Z_{i} Z_{i}^{*}=\left\|Z_{i 0}\right\|^{2} \frac{\left(1+\frac{R^{2}}{\left\|Z_{o 0}\right\|^{2}}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{R^{2}}{\left\|Z_{o \infty}\right\|^{2}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Chapter 19: Resonant Conversion

## $Z_{i 0}$ and $Z_{i \infty}$ for 3 common inverters



$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{i 0}(s)=s L+\frac{1}{s C_{s}} \\
& Z_{i \infty}(s)=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

$Z_{i 0}(s)=s L$
$Z_{i \infty}(s)=s L+\frac{1}{s C_{p}}$
$Z_{i 0}(s)=s L+\frac{1}{s C_{s}}$
$Z_{i \infty}(s)=s L+\frac{1}{s C_{p}}+\frac{1}{s C_{s}}$

Chapter 19: Resonant Conversion

## A Theorem relating transistor current variations to load resistance R

Theorem 1: If the tank network is purely reactive, then its input impedance $\left\|Z_{i}\right\|$ is a monotonic function of the load resistance $R$.

- So as the load resistance $R$ varies from 0 to $\infty$, the resonant network input impedance $\left\|Z_{i}\right\|$ varies monotonically from the short-circuit value $\left\|Z_{i o}\right\|$ to the open-circuit value $\left\|Z_{i o}\right\|$.
- The impedances $\left\|Z_{i \infty}\right\|$ and $\left\|Z_{i 0}\right\|$ are easy to construct.
- If you want to minimize the circulating tank currents at light load, maximize || $Z_{i o} \|$.
- Note: for many inverters, $\left\|Z_{i o}\right\|<\left\|Z_{i o}\right\|$ ! The no-load transistor current is therefore greater than the short-circuit transistor current.


## Proof of Theorem 1

Previously shown:

$$
\left\|Z_{i}\right\|^{2}=\left\|Z_{i 0}\right\|^{2} \frac{\left(1+\frac{R}{\left\|Z_{o 0}\right\|^{2}}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{R}{\left\|Z_{o o}\right\|^{2}}\right)}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Derivative has roots at:
(i) $R=0$
(ii) $R=\infty$
(iii) $\left\|Z_{o 0}\right\|=\left\|Z_{o \infty}\right\|$, or $\left\|Z_{i 0}\right\|=\left\|Z_{i \infty}\right\|$

- Differentiate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ditrerentiate: } \\
& \frac{d\left\|Z_{i}\right\|^{2}}{d R}=2\left\|Z_{i 0}\right\|^{2} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\left\|Z_{o 0}\right\|^{2}}-\frac{1}{\left\|Z_{o \infty}\right\|^{2}}\right) R}{\left(1+\frac{R^{2}}{\left\|Z_{o \infty}\right\|^{2}}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

So the resonant network input impedance is a monotonic function of $R$, over the range $0<R<\infty$.

In the special case || $Z_{i 0}\|=\| Z_{i o} \|$, || $Z_{i}| |$ is independent of $R$.

## Example: || $Z_{i}$ || of LCC

- for $f<f_{m},\left\|Z_{i}\right\|$ increases with increasing $R$.
- for $f>f_{m},\left\|Z_{i}\right\|$ decreases with increasing $R$.
- at a given frequency $f,\left\|Z_{i}\right\|$ is a monotonic function of $R$.
- It's not necessary to draw the entire plot: just construct $\left\|Z_{i o}\right\|$ and $\left\|Z_{i \infty}\right\|$.



## Discussion: LCC

|| $Z_{i 0} \|$ and $\left\|Z_{i \infty}\right\|$ both represent series resonant impedances, whose Bode diagrams are easily constructed.
|| $Z_{i o} \|$ and $\left\|Z_{i o}\right\|$ intersect at frequency $f_{m}$.
For $f<f_{m}$
then $\left\|Z_{i 0}\right\|<\left\|Z_{i \infty}\right\|$; hence transistor current decreases as load current decreases
For $f>f_{m}$
then $\left\|Z_{i 0}\right\|>\left\|Z_{i \infty}\right\|$; hence transistor current increases as load current decreases, and transistor current is greater than or equal to short-circuit current for all $R$


## Discussion -series and parallel



- No-load transistor current $=0$, both above and below resonance.
- ZCS below resonance, ZVS above resonance
- Above resonance: no-load transistor current is greater than short-circuit transistor current. ZVS.
- Below resonance: no-load transistor current is less than short-circuit current (for $f<f_{m}$ ), but determined by $\left\|Z_{i \infty}\right\|$. ZCS.


## A Theorem relating the ZVS/ZCS boundary to load resistance R

Theorem 2: If the tank network is purely reactive, then the boundary between zero-current switching and zero-voltage switching occurs when the load resistance $R$ is equal to the critical value $R_{c r i t}$, given by

$$
R_{c r i t}=\left\|Z_{o o}\right\| \sqrt{\frac{-Z_{i o}}{Z_{i 0}}}
$$

It is assumed that zero-current switching (ZCS) occurs when the tank input impedance is capacitive in nature, while zero-voltage switching (ZVS) occurs when the tank is inductive in nature. This assumption gives a necessary but not sufficient condition for ZVS when significant semiconductor output capacitance is present.

## Proof of Theorem 2

Previously shown:

$$
Z_{i}=Z_{i \infty} \frac{1+\frac{Z_{o 0}}{R}}{1+\frac{Z_{o \infty}}{R}}
$$

If ZCS occurs when $Z_{i}$ is capacitive, while ZVS occurs when $Z_{i}$ is inductive, then the boundary is determined by $\angle Z_{i}=0$. Hence, the critical load $R_{\text {crit }}$ is the resistance which causes the imaginary part of $Z_{i}$ to be zero:

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(Z_{i}\left(R_{\text {crit }}\right)\right)=0
$$

Note that $Z_{i \infty}, Z_{o 0}$, and $Z_{o \infty}$ have zero real parts. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Im}\left(Z_{i}\left(R_{\text {crit }}\right)\right) & =\operatorname{Im}\left(Z_{i \infty}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1+\frac{Z_{o 0}}{R_{\text {crit }}}}{1+\frac{Z_{o \infty}}{R_{\text {crit }}}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Im}\left(Z_{i \infty}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1-\frac{Z_{o 0} Z_{o \infty}}{R_{\text {crit }}^{2}}}{1+\frac{\left\|Z_{o \infty}\right\|^{2}}{R_{\text {crit }}^{2}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution for $R_{\text {crit }}$ yields

$$
R_{c r i t}=\left\|Z_{o 0}\right\| \sqrt{\frac{-Z_{i \infty}}{Z_{i 0}}}
$$

## Discussion —Theorem 2

$$
R_{c i t}=\left|Z_{o 0}\right| \left\lvert\, \sqrt{\frac{-Z_{i o}}{Z_{i 0}}}\right.
$$

- Again, $Z_{i \infty}, Z_{i 0}$, and $Z_{o o}$ are pure imaginary quantities.
- If $Z_{i \infty}$ and $Z_{i 0}$ have the same phase (both inductive or both capacitive), then there is no real solution for $R_{\text {crit }}$.
- Hence, if at a given frequency $Z_{i \infty}$ and $Z_{i 0}$ are both capacitive, then ZCS occurs for all loads. If $Z_{i \infty}$ and $Z_{i 0}$ are both inductive, then ZVS occurs for all loads.
- If $Z_{i \infty}$ and $Z_{i 0}$ have opposite phase (one is capacitive and the other is inductive), then there is a real solution for $R_{\text {crit }}$. The boundary between ZVS and ZCS operation is then given by $R=R_{\text {crit }}$.
- Note that $R=\left\|Z_{o o}\right\|$ corresponds to operation at matched load with maximum output power. The boundary is expressed in terms of this matched load impedance, and the ratio $Z_{i o} / Z_{i 0}$.


## LCC example

- For $f>f_{\infty}$, ZVS occurs for all $R$.
- For $f<f_{0}$, ZCS occurs for all $R$.
- For $f_{0}<f<f_{\infty}$, ZVS occurs for $R<R_{\text {crit }}$, and ZCS occurs for $R>R_{\text {crit }}$.
- Note that $R=\left\|Z_{o o}\right\|$ corresponds to operation at matched load with maximum output power. The boundary is expressed in terms of this matched load impedance, and the ratio $Z_{i \infty} / Z_{i 0}$.


$$
R_{c r i t}=\left\|Z_{o 0}\right\| \sqrt{\frac{-Z_{i \infty}}{Z_{i 0}}}
$$

## LCC example, continued



Typical dependence of $R_{\text {crit }}$ and matched-load impedance || $Z_{o 0}| |$ on frequency $f$, LCC example.


Typical dependence of tank input impedance phase vs. load $R$ and frequency, LCC example.

### 19.6 Summary of Key Points

1. The sinusoidal approximation allows a great deal of insight to be gained into the operation of resonant inverters and dc-dc converters. The voltage conversion ratio of dc-dc resonant converters can be directly related to the tank network transfer function. Other important converter properties, such as the output characteristics, dependence (or lack thereof) of transistor current on load current, and zero-voltageand zero-current-switching transitions, can also be understood using this approximation. The approximation is accurate provided that the effective $Q$-factor is sufficiently large, and provided that the switching frequency is sufficiently close to resonance.
2. Simple equivalent circuits are derived, which represent the fundamental components of the tank network waveforms, and the dc components of the dc terminal waveforms.

## Summary of key points

3. Exact solutions of the ideal dc-dc series and parallel resonant converters are listed here as well. These solutions correctly predict the conversion ratios, for operation not only in the fundamental continuous conduction mode, but in discontinuous and subharmonic modes as well.
4. Zero-voltage switching mitigates the switching loss caused by diode recovered charge and semiconductor device output capacitances. When the objective is to minimize switching loss and EMI, it is preferable to operate each MOSFET and diode with zero-voltage switching.
5. Zero-current switching leads to natural commutation of SCRs, and can also mitigate the switching loss due to current tailing in IGBTs.

## Summary of key points

6. The input impedance magnitude $\left\|Z_{i}\right\|$, and hence also the transistor current magnitude, are monotonic functions of the load resistance $R$. The dependence of the transistor conduction loss on the load current can be easily understood by simply plotting $\left\|Z_{i}\right\|$ in the limiting cases as $R \rightarrow \infty$ and as $R \rightarrow 0$, or $\left\|Z_{i \infty}\right\|$ and $\left\|Z_{i 0}\right\|$.
7. The $\mathrm{ZVS} / Z C S$ boundary is also a simple function of $Z_{i \infty}$ and $Z_{i 0}$. If ZVS occurs at open-circuit and at short-circuit, then ZVS occurs for all loads. If ZVS occurs at short-circuit, and ZCS occurs at open-circuit, then ZVS is obtained at matched load provided that $\left\|Z_{i \infty}\right\|>\left\|Z_{i 0}\right\|$.
8. The output characteristics of all resonant inverters considered here are elliptical, and are described completely by the open-circuit transfer function magnitude $\left\|H_{\infty}\right\|$, and the output impedance $\left\|Z_{o 0}\right\|$. These quantities can be chosen to match the output characteristics to the application requirements.

## Chapter 20 <br> Quasi-Resonant Converters
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20.1 The zero-current-switching quasi-resonant switch cell
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20.3 Ac modeling of quasi-resonant converters
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## The resonant switch concept

A quite general idea:

1. PWM switch network is replaced by a resonant switch network
2. This leads to a quasi-resonant version of the original PWM converter

Example: realization of the switch cell in the buck converter


## Two quasi-resonant switch cells



Insert either of the above switch cells into the buck converter, to obtain a ZCS quasi-resonant version of the buck converter. $L_{r}$ and $C_{r}$ are small in value, and their resonant frequency $f_{0}$ is greater than the switching frequency $f_{s}$.

$$
f_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{L_{r} C_{r}}}=\frac{\omega_{0}}{2 \pi}
$$



### 20.1 The zero-current-switching quasi-resonant switch cell

Tank inductor $L_{r}$ in series with transistor: transistor switches at zero crossings of inductor current waveform

Tank capacitor $C_{r}$ in parallel with diode $D_{2}$ : diode switches at zero crossings of capacitor voltage waveform

Two-quadrant switch is required:
Half-wave: $Q_{1}$ and $D_{1}$ in series, transistor turns off at first zero crossing of current waveform

Full-wave: $Q_{1}$ and $D_{1}$ in parallel, transistor turns off at second zero crossing of current waveform

Performances of half-wave and full-wave cells differ significantly.


Chapter 20: Quasi-Resonant Converters

## Averaged switch modeling of ZCS cells

It is assumed that the converter filter elements are large, such that their switching ripples are small. Hence, we can make the small ripple approximation as usual, for these elements:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{2}(t) \approx\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& v_{1}(t) \approx\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In steady state, we can further approximate these quantities by their dc values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{2}(t) \approx I_{2} \\
& v_{1}(t) \approx V_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Modeling objective: find the average values of the terminal waveforms

$$
\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \text { and }\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

## The switch conversion ratio $\mu$

A generalization of the duty cycle $d(t)$

The switch conversion ratio $\mu$ is the ratio of the average terminal voltages of the switch network. It can be applied to non-PWM switch networks. For the CCM PWM case, $\mu=d$.

If $V / V_{g}=M(d)$ for a PWM CCM converter, then $V / V_{g}=M(\mu)$ for the same converter with a switch network having conversion ratio $\mu$.
Generalized switch averaging, and $\mu$, are defined and discussed in Section 10.3.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{2}(t) \approx\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& v_{1}(t) \approx\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned} \quad \mu=\frac{\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\left\langle v_{1 r}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}=\frac{\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\left\langle i_{2 r}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}
$$

In steady state:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{2}(t) \approx I_{2} \\
& v_{1}(t) \approx V_{1}
\end{aligned} \quad \mu=\frac{V_{2}}{V_{1}}=\frac{I_{1}}{I_{2}}
$$

### 20.1.1 Waveforms of the half-wave ZCS quasi-resonant switch cell

The half-wave ZCS quasi-resonant switch cell, driven by the terminal quantities $\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T s}$ and $\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T s}$.


Each switching period contains four subintervals

Waveforms:


## Subinterval 1

Diode $D_{2}$ is initially conducting the filter inductor current $I_{2}$. Transistor $Q_{1}$ turns on, and the tank inductor current $i_{1}$ starts to increase. So all semiconductor devices conduct during this subinterval, and the circuit reduces to:


Circuit equations:

$$
\frac{d i_{1}(t)}{d t}=\frac{V_{1}}{L_{r}} \quad \text { with } i_{1}(0)=0
$$

Solution: $\quad i_{1}(t)=\frac{V_{1}}{L_{r}} t=\omega_{0} t \frac{V_{1}}{R_{0}}$
where $\quad R_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{L_{r}}{C_{r}}}$

This subinterval ends when diode $D_{2}$ becomes reverse-biased. This occurs at time $\omega_{0} t=\alpha$, when $i_{1}(t)=I_{2}$.

$$
i_{1}(\alpha)=\alpha \frac{V_{1}}{R_{0}}=I_{2} \quad \alpha=\frac{I_{2} R_{0}}{V_{1}}
$$

## Subinterval 2

Diode $D_{2}$ is off. Transistor $Q_{1}$ conducts, and the tank inductor and tank capacitor ring sinusoidally. The circuit reduces to:


The circuit equations are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
L_{r} \frac{d i_{1}\left(\omega_{0} t\right)}{d t}=V_{1}-v_{2}\left(\omega_{0} t\right) & v_{2}(\alpha)=0 \\
C_{r} \frac{d v_{2}\left(\omega_{0} t\right)}{d t}=i_{1}\left(\omega_{0} t\right)-I_{2} & i_{1}(\alpha)=I_{2}
\end{array}
$$

The solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{1}\left(\omega_{0} t\right)=I_{2}+\frac{V_{1}}{R_{0}} \sin \left(\omega_{0} t-\alpha\right) \\
& v_{2}\left(\omega_{0} t\right)=V_{1}\left(1-\cos \left(\omega_{0} t-\alpha\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The dc components of these waveforms are the dc solution of the circuit, while the sinusoidal components have magnitudes that depend on the initial conditions and on the characteristic impedance $R_{0}$.

## Subinterval 2

## continued

Peak inductor current:

$$
I_{1 p k}=I_{2}+\frac{V_{1}}{R_{0}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{1}\left(\omega_{0} t\right)=I_{2}+\frac{V_{1}}{R_{0}} \sin \left(\omega_{0} t-\alpha\right) \\
& v_{2}\left(\omega_{0} t\right)=V_{1}\left(1-\cos \left(\omega_{0} t-\alpha\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This subinterval ends at the first zero crossing of $i_{1}(t)$. Define $\beta=$ angular length of subinterval 2. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{1}(\alpha+\beta)=I_{2}+\frac{V_{1}}{R_{0}} \sin (\beta)=0 \\
& \sin (\beta)=-\frac{I_{2} R_{0}}{V_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Must use care to select the correct branch of the arcsine function. Note (from the $i_{1}(t)$ waveform) that $\beta>\pi$.


Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta=\pi+\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{I_{2} R_{0}}{V_{1}}\right) \\
& -\frac{\pi}{2}<\sin ^{-1}(x) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \\
& I_{2}<\frac{V_{1}}{R_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Boundary of zero current switching

If the requirement

$$
I_{2}<\frac{V_{1}}{R_{0}}
$$

is violated, then the inductor current never reaches zero. In consequence, the transistor cannot switch off at zero current.

The resonant switch operates with zero current switching only for load currents less than the above value. The characteristic impedance must be sufficiently small, so that the ringing component of the current is greater than the dc load current.

Capacitor voltage at the end of subinterval 2 is

$$
v_{2}(\alpha+\beta)=V_{c 1}=V_{1}\left(1+\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{I_{2} R_{0}}{V_{1}}\right)^{2}}\right)
$$

## Subinterval 3

All semiconductor devices are off. The circuit reduces to:


The circuit equations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{r} \frac{d v_{2}\left(\omega_{0} t\right)}{d t}=-I_{2} \\
& v_{2}(\alpha+\beta)=V_{c 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Subinterval 3 ends when the tank capacitor voltage reaches zero, and diode $D_{2}$ becomes forward-biased.
Define $\delta=$ angular length of subinterval 3. Then

$$
v_{2}(\alpha+\beta+\delta)=V_{c 1}-I_{2} R_{0} \delta=0
$$

$$
\delta=\frac{V_{c 1}}{I_{2} R_{0}}=\frac{V_{1}}{I_{2} R_{0}}\left(1-\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{I_{2} R_{0}}{V_{1}}\right)^{2}}\right)
$$

The solution is

$$
v_{2}\left(\omega_{0} t\right)=V_{c 1}-I_{2} R_{0}\left(\omega_{0} t-\alpha-\beta\right)
$$

## Subinterval 4

Subinterval 4, of angular length $\xi$, is identical to the diode conduction interval of the conventional PWM switch network.

Diode $D_{2}$ conducts the filter inductor current $I_{2}$
The tank capacitor voltage $v_{2}(t)$ is equal to zero.
Transistor $Q_{1}$ is off, and the input current $i_{1}(t)$ is equal to zero.
The length of subinterval 4 can be used as a control variable. Increasing the length of this interval reduces the average output voltage.

## Maximum switching frequency

The length of the fourth subinterval cannot be negative, and the switching period must be at least long enough for the tank current and voltage to return to zero by the end of the switching period.

The angular length of the switching period is

$$
\omega_{0} T_{s}=\alpha+\beta+\delta+\xi=\frac{2 \pi f_{0}}{f_{s}}=\frac{2 \pi}{F}
$$

where the normalized switching frequency $F$ is defined as

$$
F=\frac{f_{s}}{f_{0}}
$$

So the minimum switching period is

$$
\omega_{0} T_{s} \geq \alpha+\beta+\delta
$$

Substitute previous solutions for subinterval lengths:

$$
\frac{2 \pi}{F} \geq \frac{I_{2} R_{0}}{V_{1}}+\pi+\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{I_{2} R_{0}}{V_{1}}\right)+\frac{V_{1}}{I_{2} R_{0}}\left(1-\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{I_{2} R_{0}}{V_{1}}\right)^{2}}\right)
$$

### 20.1.2 The average terminal waveforms

Averaged switch modeling: we need to determine the average values of $i_{1}(t)$ and $v_{2}(t)$. The average switch input current is given by

$$
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{t}^{t+T_{s}} i_{1}(t) d t=\frac{q_{1}+q_{2}}{T_{s}}
$$


$q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are the areas under the current waveform during subintervals 1 and 2. $q_{1}$ is given by the triangle area formula:

$$
q_{1}=\int_{0}^{\frac{\alpha}{\omega_{0}}} i_{1}(t) d t=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\omega_{0}}\right)\left(I_{2}\right)
$$



## Charge arguments: computation of $q_{2}$

$$
q_{2}=\int_{\frac{\alpha}{\omega_{0}}}^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\omega_{0}}} i_{1}(t) d t
$$

Node equation for subinterval 2 :

$$
i_{1}(t)=i_{C}(t)+I_{2}
$$

Substitute:


$$
q_{2}=\int_{\frac{\alpha}{\omega_{0}}}^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\omega_{0}}} i_{C}(t) d t+\int_{\frac{\alpha}{\omega_{0}}}^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\omega_{0}}} I_{2} d t
$$

Second term is integral of constant $I_{2}$ :

$$
\int_{\frac{\alpha}{\omega_{0}}}^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\omega_{0}}} I_{2} d t=I_{2} \frac{\beta}{\omega_{0}}
$$



Circuit during subinterval 2

## Charge arguments <br> continued

$$
q_{2}=\int_{\frac{\alpha}{\omega_{0}}}^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\omega_{0}}} i_{C}(t) d t+\int_{\frac{\alpha}{\omega_{0}}}^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\omega_{0}}} I_{2} d t
$$

First term: integral of the capacitor current over subinterval 2 . This can be related to the change in capacitor voltage :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\frac{\alpha}{\omega_{0}}}^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\omega_{0}}} i_{C}(t) d t=C\left(v_{2}\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\omega_{0}}\right)-v_{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\omega_{0}}\right)\right) \\
& \int_{\frac{\alpha}{\omega_{0}}}^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\omega_{0}}} i_{C}(t) d t=C\left(V_{c 1}-0\right)=C V_{c 1}
\end{aligned}
$$



Substitute results for the two integrals:

$$
q_{2}=C V_{c 1}+I_{2} \frac{\beta}{\omega_{0}}
$$

Substitute into expression for average switch input current:

$$
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{\alpha I_{2}}{2 \omega_{0} T_{s}}+\frac{C V_{c 1}}{T_{s}}+\frac{\beta I_{2}}{\omega_{0} T_{s}}
$$

## Switch conversion ratio $\mu$

$$
\mu=\frac{\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{I_{2}}=\frac{\alpha}{2 \omega_{0} T_{s}}+\frac{C V_{c 1}}{I_{2} T_{s}}+\frac{\beta}{\omega_{0} T_{s}}
$$

Eliminate $\alpha, \beta, V_{c 1}$ using previous results:

$$
\mu=F \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[\left.\frac{1}{2} J_{s}+\pi+\sin ^{-1}\left(J_{s}\right)+\frac{1}{J_{s}}\left(1+\sqrt{1-J_{s}^{2}}\right) \right\rvert\,\right.
$$

where

$$
J_{s}=\frac{I_{2} R_{0}}{V_{1}}
$$

## Analysis result: switch conversion ratio $\mu$

Switch conversion ratio: $\quad \mu=F \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[\frac{1}{2} J_{s}+\pi+\sin ^{-1}\left(J_{s}\right)+\frac{1}{J_{s}}\left(1+\sqrt{1-J_{s}^{2}}\right)\right]$

$$
\text { with } J_{s}=\frac{I_{2} R_{0}}{V_{1}}
$$

This is of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu=F P_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(J_{s}\right) \\
P_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(J_{s}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\frac{1}{2} J_{s}+\pi+\sin ^{-1}\left(J_{s}\right)+\frac{1}{J_{s}}\left(1+\sqrt{1-J_{s}^{2}}\right)\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

## Characteristics of the half-wave ZCS resonant switch



Switch
characteristics:

$$
\mu=F P_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(J_{s}\right)
$$

Mode boundary:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{s} \leq 1 \\
& \mu \leq 1-\frac{J_{s} F}{4 \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Buck converter containing half-wave ZCS quasi-resonant switch

Conversion ratio of the buck converter is (from inductor volt-second balance):

$$
M=\frac{V}{V_{g}}=\mu
$$

For the buck converter,

$$
J_{s}=\frac{I R_{0}}{V_{g}}
$$

ZCS occurs when

$$
I \leq \frac{V_{g}}{R_{0}}
$$

Output voltage varies over the range

$$
0 \leq V \leq V_{g}-\frac{F I R_{0}}{4 \pi}
$$



## Boost converter example

For the boost converter,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =\frac{V}{V_{g}}=\frac{1}{1-\mu} \\
J_{s} & =\frac{I_{2} R_{0}}{V_{1}}=\frac{I_{g} R_{0}}{V} \\
I_{g} & =\frac{I}{1-\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

Half-wave ZCS equations:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu=F P_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(J_{s}\right) \\
& P_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(J_{s}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\frac{1}{2} J_{s}+\pi+\sin ^{-1}\left(J_{s}\right)+\frac{1}{J_{s}}\left(1+\sqrt{1-J_{s}^{2}}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

### 20.1.3 The full-wave ZCS quasi-resonant switch cell
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## Analysis: full-wave ZCS

Analysis in the full-wave case is nearly the same as in the half-wave case. The second subinterval ends at the second zero crossing of the tank inductor current waveform. The following quantities differ:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta= \begin{cases}\pi+\sin ^{-1}\left(J_{s}\right) & \text { (half wave) } \\
2 \pi-\sin ^{-1}\left(J_{s}\right) & \text { (full wave) }\end{cases} \\
& V_{c 1}= \begin{cases}V_{1}\left(1+\sqrt{1-J_{s}^{2}}\right) & \text { (half wave) } \\
V_{1}\left(1-\sqrt{1-J_{s}^{2}}\right) & \text { (full wave) }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

In either case, $\mu$ is given by

$$
\mu=\frac{\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{I_{2}}=\frac{\alpha}{2 \omega_{0} T_{s}}+\frac{C V_{c 1}}{I_{2} T_{s}}+\frac{\beta}{\omega_{0} T_{s}}
$$

## Full-wave cell: switch conversion ratio $\mu$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}\left(J_{s}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\frac{1}{2} J_{s}+2 \pi-\sin ^{-1}\left(J_{s}\right)+\frac{1}{J_{s}}\left(1-\sqrt{1-J_{s}^{2}}\right)\right| \\
& \mu=F P_{1}\left(J_{s}\right) \\
& \text { case: } P_{1} \text { can be } \\
& \text { ted as } \\
& P_{1}\left(J_{s}\right) \approx 1 \\
& \mu \approx F=\frac{1}{f_{s}} \\
& f_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Full-wave case: $P_{1}$ can be approximated as
so

Chapter 20: Quasi-Resonant Converters

### 20.2 Resonant switch topologies

Basic ZCS switch cell:

SPST switch $S W$ :


- Voltage-bidirectional two-quadrant switch for half-wave cell
- Current-bidirectional two-quadrant switch for full-wave cell

Connection of resonant elements:
Can be connected in other ways that preserve high-frequency components of tank waveforms

## Connection of tank capacitor

Connection of tank capacitor to two other points at ac ground.
This simply changes the dc component of tank capacitor voltage.

The ac highfrequency components of the tank waveforms are unchanged.


## A test to determine the topology of a resonant switch network

Replace converter elements by their high-frequency equivalents:

- Independent voltage source $V_{g}$ : short circuit
- Filter capacitors: short circuits
- Filter inductors: open circuits

The resonant switch network remains.

If the converter contains a ZCS quasi-resonant switch, then the result of these operations is


## Zero-current and zero-voltage switching

ZCS quasi-resonant switch:

- Tank inductor is in series with switch; hence $S W$ switches at zero current
- Tank capacitor is in parallel with diode $D_{2}$; hence $D_{2}$ switches at zero voltage


Discussion

- Zero voltage switching of $D_{2}$ eliminates switching loss arising from $D_{2}$ stored charge.
- Zero current switching of SW: device $Q_{1}$ and $D_{1}$ output capacitances lead to switching loss. In full-wave case, stored charge of diode $D_{1}$ leads to switching loss.
- Peak transistor current is $\left(1+J_{s}\right) V_{g} / R_{0}$, or more than twice the PWM value.


### 20.2.1 The zero-voltage-switching quasi-resonant switch cell

When the previously-described operations are followed, then the converter reduces to

A full-wave version based on the PWM buck converter:


## ZVS quasi-resonant switch cell

Switch conversion ratio

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mu=1-F P_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{J_{s}}\right) & \text { half-wave } \\
\mu=1-F P_{1}\left(\frac{1}{J_{s}}\right) & \text { full-wave }
\end{array}
$$

ZVS boundary

$$
J_{s} \geq 1
$$

peak transistor voltage $V_{c r, p k}=\left(1+J_{s}\right) V_{1}$
A problem with the quasi-resonant ZVS switch cell: peak transistor voltage becomes very large when zero voltage switching is required for a large range of load currents.

### 20.2.2 The ZVS multiresonant switch

When the previously-described operations are followed, then the converter reduces to

A half-wave version based on the
 PWM buck converter:


### 20.2.3 Quasi-square-wave resonant switches

When the previouslydescribed operations are followed, then the converter reduces to

ZCS


ZVS


## A quasi-square-wave ZCS buck with input filter



- The basic ZCS QSW switch cell is restricted to $0 \leq \mu \leq 0.5$
- Peak transistor current is equal to peak transistor current of PWM cell
- Peak transistor voltage is increased
- Zero-current switching in all semiconductor devices


## A quasi-square-wave ZVS buck



- The basic ZVS QSW switch cell is restricted to $0.5 \leq \mu \leq 1$
- Peak transistor voltage is equal to peak transistor voltage of PWM cell
- Peak transistor current is increased
- Zero-voltage switching in all semiconductor devices


### 20.3 Ac modeling of quasi-resonant converters

Use averaged switch modeling technique: apply averaged PWM model, with d replaced by $\mu$

Buck example with full-wave ZCS quasi-resonant cell:


## Small-signal ac model

Averaged switch equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\mu\left\langle v_{1 r}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
& \left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\mu\left\langle i_{2 r}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Resulting ac model:


## Low-frequency model

Tank dynamics occur only at frequency near or greater than switching frequency -discard tank elements

—same as PWM buck, with d replaced by F

## Example 2: Half-wave ZCS quasi-resonant buck

Now, $\mu$ depends on $j_{s}: \quad \mu(t)=\frac{f_{s}(t)}{f_{0}} P_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(j_{s}(t)\right) \quad j_{s}(t)=R_{0} \frac{\left\langle i_{2 r}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\left\langle v_{1 r}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}$


## Small-signal modeling

Perturbation and linearization of $\mu\left(v_{1 r}, i_{2 r}, f_{s}\right)$ :

$$
\mathfrak{\mu}(t)=K_{v} \hat{v}_{1 r}(t)+K_{i} \hat{i}_{2 r}(t)+K_{c} \hat{f}_{s}(t)
$$

with $\quad K_{v}=-\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial j_{s}} \frac{R_{0} I_{2}}{V_{1}^{2}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{i} & =-\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial j_{s}} \frac{R_{0}}{V_{1}} \\
K_{c} & =\frac{\mu_{0}}{F_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Linearized terminal equations of switch network:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{i}_{1}(t)=\hat{\mu}(t) I_{2}+\hat{i}_{2 r}(t) \mu_{0} \\
& \hat{v}_{2}(t)=\mu_{0} \hat{v}_{1 r}(t)+\hat{\mu}(t) V_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equivalent circuit model



## Low frequency model: set tank elements to zero



## Predicted small-signal transfer functions

Half-wave ZCS buck

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{v g}(s)=G_{g 0} \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{Q} \frac{s}{\omega_{0}}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}} \\
& G_{v c}(s)=G_{c 0} \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{Q} \frac{s}{\omega_{0}}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Full-wave: poles and zeroes are same as PWM

Half-wave: effective feedback reduces Q-factor and dc gains

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{g 0} & =\frac{\mu_{0}+K_{v} V_{g}}{1+\frac{K_{i} V_{g}}{R}} \\
G_{c 0} & =\frac{K_{c} V_{g}}{1+\frac{K_{i} V_{g}}{R}} \\
\omega_{0} & =\sqrt{\frac{1+\frac{K_{i} V_{g}}{R}}{L_{r} C_{r}}} \\
Q & =\frac{\sqrt{1+\frac{K_{i} V_{g}}{R}}}{\frac{R_{0}}{R}+K_{i} V_{g} \frac{R}{R_{0}}} \\
R_{0} & =\sqrt{\frac{L_{r}}{C_{r}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 20.4 Summary of key points

1. In a resonant switch converter, the switch network of a PWM converter is replaced by a switch network containing resonant elements. The resulting hybrid converter combines the properties of the resonant switch network and the parent PWM converter.
2. Analysis of a resonant switch cell involves determination of the switch conversion ratio $\mu$. The resonant switch waveforms are determined, and are then averaged. The switch conversion ratio $\mu$ is a generalization of the PWM CCM duty cycle $d$. The results of the averaged analysis of PWM converters operating in CCM can be directly adapted to the related resonant switch converter, simply by replacing $d$ with $\mu$.
3. In the zero-current-switching quasi-resonant switch, diode $D_{2}$ operates with zero-voltage switching, while transistor $Q_{1}$ and diode $D_{1}$ operate with zero-current switching.

## Summary of key points

4. In the zero-voltage-switching quasi-resonant switch, the transistor $Q_{1}$ and diode $D_{1}$ operate with zero-voltage switching, while diode $D_{2}$ operates with zero-current switching.
5. Full-wave versions of the quasi-resonant switches exhibit very simple control characteristics: the conversion ratio $\mu$ is essentially independent of load current. However, these converters exhibit reduced efficiency at light load, due to the large circulating currents. In addition, significant switching loss is incurred due to the recovered charge of diode $D_{1}$.
6. Half-wave versions of the quasi-resonant switch exhibit conversion ratios that are strongly dependent on the load current. These converters typically operate with wide variations of switching frequency.
7. In the zero-voltage-switching multiresonant switch, all semiconductor devices operate with zero-voltage switching. In consequence, very low switching loss is observed.

## Summary of key points

8. In the quasi-square-wave zero-voltage-switching resonant switches, all semiconductor devices operate with zero-voltage switching, and with peak voltages equal to those of the parent PWM converter. The switch conversion ratio is restricted to the range $0.5 \leq \mu \leq 1$.
9. The small-signal ac models of converters containing resonant switches are similar to the small-signal models of their parent PWM converters. The averaged switch modeling approach can be employed to show that the quantity $d(t)$ is simply replaced by $\mu(t)$.
10. In the case of full-wave quasi-resonant switches, $\mu$ depends only on the switching frequency, and therefore the transfer function poles and zeroes are identical to those of the parent PWM converter.
11. In the case of half-wave quasi-resonant switches, as well as other types of resonant switches, the conversion ratio $\mu$ is a strong function of the switch terminal quantities $v_{1}$ and $i_{2}$. This leads to effective feedback, which modifies the poles, zeroes, and gains of the transfer functions.

## Appendix 2

## Magnetics Design Tables

Geometrical data for several standard ferrite core shapes are listed here. The geometrical constant $K_{g}$ is a measure of core size, useful for designing inductors and transformers which attain a given copper loss [1]. The $K_{g}$ method for inductor design is described in Chapter 13. $K_{g}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{g}=\frac{A_{c}^{2} W_{A}}{M L T} \tag{A2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{c}$ is the core cross-sectional area, $W_{A}$ is the window area, and $M L T$ is the winding mean-length-per-turn. The geometrical constant $K_{g f e}$ is a similar measure of core size, which is useful for designing ac inductors and transformers when the total copper plus core loss is constrained. The $K_{g f e}$ method for magnetics design is described in Chapter 14. $K_{g f e}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{g f e}=\frac{W_{A} A_{c}^{2(1-1 / \beta)}}{M L T l_{e}^{2 / \beta}} u(\beta) \tag{A2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{e}$ is the core mean magnetic path length, and $\beta$ is the core loss exponent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{f e}=K_{f e} B_{\max }^{\beta} \tag{A2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For modern ferrite materials, $\beta$ typically lies in the range 2.6 to 2.8 . The quantity $u(\beta)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\beta)=\left[\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{-\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+2}\right)}+\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{\left(\frac{2}{\beta+2}\right)}\right]^{-\left(\frac{\beta+2}{\beta}\right)} \tag{A2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$u(\beta)$ is equal to 0.305 for $\beta=2.7$. This quantity varies by roughly $5 \%$ over the range $2.6 \leq$ $\beta \leq 2.8$. Values of $K_{\text {gfe }}$ are tabulated for $\beta=2.7$; variation of $K_{\text {gfe }}$ over the range $2.6 \leq \beta \leq$ 2.8 is typically quite small.

| A2.1 | Pot core d |  |  | $A$ |  |  |  | $\downarrow{ }^{\uparrow}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Core type | Geometrical constant | Geometrical constant | Crosssectional area | Bobbin winding area | Mean length per turn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Magnetic } \\ & \text { path } \\ & \text { length } \end{aligned}$ | Thermal resistance | Core weight |
| $\begin{aligned} & (A H) \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{g_{5}} \\ \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{\text {gfex }} \\ & \mathrm{cm}_{x} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} A_{c} \\ \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{A} \\ \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} M L T \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} l_{m} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{t h} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}\right) \end{gathered}$ | (g) |
| 704 | $0.738 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $1.61 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | 0.070 | $0.22 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 1.46 | 1.0 |  | 0.5 |
| 905 | $0.183 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $256 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | 0.101 | 0.034 | 1.90 | 1.26 |  | 1.0 |
| 1107 | $0.667 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $554 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | 0.167 | 0.055 | 2.30 | 1.55 |  | 1.8 |
| 1408 | $2.107 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $1.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.251 | 0.097 | 2.90 | 2.00 | 100 | 3.2 |
| 1811 | $9.45 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $2.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.433 | 0.187 | 3.71 | 2.60 | 60 | 7.3 |
| 2213 | $27.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $4.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.635 | 0.297 | 4.42 | 3.15 | 38 | 13 |
| 2616 | $69.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $8.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.948 | 0.406 | 5.28 | 3.75 | 30 | 20 |
| 3019 | 0.180 | $14.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 1.38 | 0.587 | 6.20 | 4.50 | 23 | 34 |
| 3622 | 0.411 | $21.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 2.02 | 0.748 | 7.42 | 5.30 | 19 | 57 |
| 4229 | 1.15 | $41.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 2.66 | 1.40 | 8.60 | 6.81 | 13.5 | 104 |


| A2.2 EE | core data |  |  |  |  |  | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Core type <br> (A) (mm) | Geometrical constant $\begin{gathered} K_{g_{5}} \\ \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | Geometrical constant $\begin{aligned} & K_{g f_{e}} \\ & \mathrm{~cm}^{x} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cross- } \\ \text { sectional } \\ \text { area } \\ A_{c} \\ \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Bobbin winding area $W_{A}$ $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | Mean length per turn MLT (cm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Magnetic } \\ \text { path } \\ \text { length } \\ l_{m} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Core weight $(\mathrm{g})$ |
| EE12 | $0.731 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $0.458 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.14 | 0.085 | 2.28 | 2.7 | 2.34 |
| EE16 | $2.02 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $0.842 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.19 | 0.190 | 3.40 | 3.45 | 3.29 |
| EE19 | $4.07 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $1.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.23 | 0.284 | 3.69 | 3.94 | 4.83 |
| EE22 | $8.26 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $1.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.41 | 0.196 | 3.99 | 3.96 | 8.81 |
| EE30 | $85.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $6.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 1.09 | 0.476 | 6.60 | 5.77 | 32.4 |
| EE40 | 0.209 | $11.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 1.27 | 1.10 | 8.50 | 7.70 | 50.3 |
| EE50 | 0.909 | $28.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 2.26 | 1.78 | 10.0 | 9.58 | 116 |
| EE60 | 1.38 | $36.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 2.47 | 2.89 | 12.8 | 11.0 | 135 |
| EE70/68/19 | 5.06 | $127 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 3.24 | 6.75 | 14.0 | 9.0 | 280 |

## A2.3 EC core data



| Core <br> type | Geometrical <br> constant | Geometrical <br> constant | Cross- <br> sectional <br> area | Bobbin <br> winding <br> area | Mean <br> length <br> per turn | Magnetic <br> path <br> length <br> $l_{m}$ | Thermal <br> resistance | Core <br> weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(A)$ <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $K_{g_{5}}$ <br> $\mathrm{~cm}_{5}$ | $K_{\text {gfe }}$ <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{x}$ | $A_{c}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ | $W_{A}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ | $M L T$ <br> $(\mathrm{~cm})$ | $l_{m}$ <br> $(\mathrm{~cm})$ | $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}\right)$ | $(\mathrm{g})$ |
| EC35 | 0.131 | $9.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.843 | 0.975 | 5.30 | 7.74 | 18.5 | 35.5 |
| EC41 | 0.374 | $19.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 1.21 | 1.35 | 5.30 | 8.93 | 16.5 | 57.0 |
| EC52 | 0.914 | $31.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 1.80 | 2.12 | 7.50 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 111 |
| EC70 | 2.84 | $56.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 2.79 | 4.71 | 12.9 | 14.4 | 7.5 | 256 |

## A2.4 ETD core data



| Core type <br> (A) (mm) | Geometrical constant $\begin{gathered} K_{g_{5}} \\ \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Geometrical constant $\begin{aligned} & K_{\text {kfe }} \\ & \mathrm{cm}_{x} \end{aligned}$ | Crosssectional area $A_{c}$ $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | Bobbin winding area $W_{A}$ $\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ | Mean length per turn MLT (cm) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Magnetic } \\ \text { path } \\ \text { length } \\ l_{m} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Thermal resistance $\begin{gathered} R_{t h} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{W}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Core weight (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ETD29 | 0.0978 | $8.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.76 | 0.903 | 5.33 | 7.20 |  | 30 |
| ETD34 | 0.193 | $13.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.97 | 1.23 | 6.00 | 7.86 | 19 | 40 |
| ETD39 | 0.397 | $19.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 1.25 | 1.74 | 6.86 | 9.21 | 15 | 60 |
| ETD44 | 0.846 | $30.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 1.74 | 2.13 | 7.62 | 10.3 | 12 | 94 |
| ETD49 | 1.42 | $41.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 2.11 | 2.71 | 8.51 | 11.4 | 11 | 124 |

## A2.5 PQ core data



| $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Core } \\ \text { type } \end{array} \\ \left(A_{1} / 2 D\right) \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{gathered}$ | Geometrical constant $\begin{gathered} K_{g_{5}} \\ \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | Geometrical constant $\begin{aligned} & K_{\text {Kfe }} \\ & \mathrm{cm}^{x} \end{aligned}$ | Crosssectional area $A_{c}$ $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | Bobbin winding area $W_{A}$ $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | Mean length per turn MLT (cm) | Magnetic path length $l_{m}$ (cm) | Core weight <br> (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PQ 20/16 | $22.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $3.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.62 | 0.256 | 4.4 | 3.74 | 13 |
| PQ 20/20 | 33.6.10 ${ }^{-3}$ | $4.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.62 | 0.384 | 4.4 | 4.54 | 15 |
| PQ 26/20 | $83.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 7.2.10 ${ }^{-3}$ | 1.19 | 0.333 | 5.62 | 4.63 | 31 |
| PQ 26/25 | 0.125 | $9.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 1.18 | 0.503 | 5.62 | 5.55 | 36 |
| PQ 32/20 | 0.203 | $11.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 1.70 | 0.471 | 6.71 | 5.55 | 42 |
| PQ 32/30 | 0.384 | $18.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 1.61 | 0.995 | 6.71 | 7.46 | 55 |
| PQ 35/35 | 0.820 | $30.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 1.96 | 1.61 | 7.52 | 8.79 | 73 |
| PQ 40/40 | 1.20 | $39.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 2.01 | 2.50 | 8.39 | 10.2 | 95 |

## A2.6 American wire gauge data

| AWG\# | Bare area, $10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ | Resistance, $10^{-6} \Omega / \mathrm{cm}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diameter, } \\ \mathrm{cm} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0000 | 1072.3 | 1.608 | 1.168 |
| 000 | 850.3 | 2.027 | 1.040 |
| 00 | 674.2 | 2.557 | 0.927 |
| 0 | 534.8 | 3.224 | 0.825 |
| 1 | 424.1 | 4.065 | 0.735 |
| 2 | 336.3 | 5.128 | 0.654 |
| 3 | 266.7 | 6.463 | 0.583 |
| 4 | 211.5 | 8.153 | 0.519 |
| 5 | 167.7 | 10.28 | 0.462 |
| 6 | 133.0 | 13.0 | 0.411 |
| 7 | 105.5 | 16.3 | 0.366 |
| 8 | 83.67 | 20.6 | 0.326 |
| 9 | 66.32 | 26.0 | 0.291 |
| 10 | 52.41 | 32.9 | 0.267 |
| 11 | 41.60 | 41.37 | 0.238 |
| 12 | 33.08 | 52.09 | 0.213 |
| 13 | 26.26 | 69.64 | 0.190 |
| 14 | 20.02 | 82.80 | 0.171 |
| 15 | 16.51 | 104.3 | 0.153 |
| 16 | 13.07 | 131.8 | 0.137 |
| 17 | 10.39 | 165.8 | 0.122 |
| 18 | 8.228 | 209.5 | 0.109 |
| 19 | 6.531 | 263.9 | 0.0948 |
| 20 | 5.188 | 332.3 | 0.0874 |
| 21 | 4.116 | 418.9 | 0.0785 |
| 22 | 3.243 | 531.4 | 0.0701 |
| 23 | 2.508 | 666.0 | 0.0632 |
| 24 | 2.047 | 842.1 | 0.0566 |
| 25 | 1.623 | 1062.0 | 0.0505 |
| 26 | 1.280 | 1345.0 | 0.0452 |
| 27 | 1.021 | 1687.6 | 0.0409 |
| 28 | 0.8046 | 2142.7 | 0.0366 |
| 29 | 0.6470 | 2664.3 | 0.0330 |
| 30 | 0.5067 | 3402.2 | 0.0294 |
| 31 | 0.4013 | 4294.6 | 0.0267 |
| 32 | 0.3242 | 5314.9 | 0.0241 |
| 33 | 0.2554 | 6748.6 | 0.0236 |
| 34 | 0.2011 | 8572.8 | 0.0191 |
| 35 | 0.1589 | 10849 | 0.0170 |
| 36 | 0.1266 | 13608 | 0.0152 |
| 37 | 0.1026 | 16801 | 0.0140 |
| 38 | 0.08107 | 21266 | 0.0124 |
| 39 | 0.06207 | 27775 | 0.0109 |
| 40 | 0.04869 | 35400 | 0.0096 |
| 41 | 0.03972 | 43405 | 0.00863 |
| 42 | 0.03166 | 54429 | 0.00762 |
| 43 | 0.02452 | 70308 | 0.00685 |

## Appendix 3: Averaged switch modeling of a CCM SEPIC

SEPIC example: write circuit with switch network explicitly identified


## A few points regarding averaged switch modeling

- The switch network can be defined arbitrarily, as long as
its terminal voltages and currents are independent, and
the switch network contains no reactive elements.
- It is not necessary that some of the switch network terminal quantities
coincide with inductor currents or capacitor voltages of the converter, or be nonpulsating.
- The object is simply to write the averaged equations of the switch network; i.e., to express the average values of half of the switch network terminal waveforms as functions of
the average values of the remaining switch network terminal waveforms, and
the control input.


## SEPIC CCM waveforms

Sketch terminal waveforms of switch network
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Appendix 3: Averaged switch modeling of a CCM SEPIC

## Expressions for average values of switch network terminal waveforms

Use small ripple approximation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d^{\prime}(t)\left(\left\langle v_{C 1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left\langle v_{C 2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\right) \\
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d(t)\left(\left\langle i_{L 1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left\langle i_{L 2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\right) \\
\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d(t)\left(\left\langle v_{C 1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left\langle v_{C 2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\right) \\
\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=d^{\prime}(t)\left(\left\langle i_{L 1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left\langle i_{L 2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Need next to eliminate the capacitor voltages and inductor currents from these expressions, to write the equations of the switch network.

## Derivation of switch network equations (Algebra steps)

We can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle i_{L 1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left\langle i_{L 2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d(t)} \\
& \left\langle v_{C 1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}+\left\langle v_{C 2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}}{d(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\frac{d^{\prime}(t)}{d(t)}\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\frac{d^{\prime}(t)}{d(t)}\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Result


Modeling the switch network via averaged dependent sources

## Steady-state switch model: Dc transformer model



## Steady-state CCM SEPIC model

Replace switch network with dc transformer model


## Small-signal model

Perturb and linearize the switch network averaged waveforms, as usual:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(t) & =D+\hat{d}(t) \\
\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =V_{1}+\hat{v}_{1}(t) \\
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =I_{1}+\hat{i}_{1}(t) \\
\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =V_{2}+\hat{v}_{2}(t) \\
\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =I_{2}+\hat{i}_{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Voltage equation becomes

$$
(D+\hat{d})\left(V_{1}+\hat{v}_{1}\right)=\left(D^{\prime}-\hat{d}\right)\left(V_{2}+\hat{v}_{2}\right)
$$

Eliminate nonlinear terms and solve for $v_{1}$ terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(V_{1}+\hat{v}_{1}\right) & =\frac{D^{\prime}}{D}\left(V_{2}+\hat{v}_{2}\right)-\hat{d}\left(\frac{V_{1}+V_{2}}{D}\right) \\
& =\frac{D^{\prime}}{D}\left(V_{2}+\hat{v}_{2}\right)-\hat{d}\left(\frac{V_{1}}{D D^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linearization, continued

Current equation becomes

$$
(D+\hat{d})\left(I_{2}+\hat{i}_{2}\right)=\left(D^{\prime}-\hat{d}\right)\left(I_{1}+\hat{i}_{1}\right)
$$

Eliminate nonlinear terms and solve for $i_{2}$ terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(I_{2}+\hat{i}_{2}\right) & =\frac{D^{\prime}}{D}\left(I_{1}+\hat{i}_{1}\right)-\hat{d}\left(\frac{I_{1}+I_{2}}{D}\right) \\
& =\frac{D^{\prime}}{D}\left(I_{1}+\hat{i}_{1}\right)-\hat{d}\left(\frac{I_{2}}{D D^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Switch network: Small-signal ac model

Reconstruct equivalent circuit in the usual manner:


## Small-signal ac model of the CCM SEPIC

Replace switch network with small-signal ac model:


